Polk County NPDES Phase I MS4 Annual Report Term 3 – Year 3

Permit No. FLS000015

March 2015



Prepared for:

Florida Department of Transportation - District One 801 North Broadway Avenue Bartow, Florida 33831



ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTAL ECOLOGICAL

March 30, 2015

Mr. Borja Crane-Amores Florida Department of Environmental Protection Mail Station 2500 2600 Blair Stone Road Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400

Subject: FDOT District One – Polk County Phase I NPDES MS4 Annual Report Term 3 – Year 3 Permit Number FLS000015 E Sciences Project No. 1-1464-041

Dear Mr. Crane-Amores:

Attached is the annual report form for the Polk County Phase I NPDES Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit, Permit Number FLS000015, for Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) District One. The form is for annual report Term 3 – Year 3, a reporting time period of October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014. Additionally, FDOT's water quality monitoring summary and year-3 annual pollutant load estimates have been included for your review and use. If you need any other information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely, E SCIENCES, INCORPORATED

leilani Famell

Leilani Farrell Staff Scientist

Attachment

cc: Steven Kelly, FDOT File

Robert Potts Project Manager



ANNUAL REPORT FORM FOR INDIVIDUAL NPDES PERMITS FOR MUNICIPAL SEPARATE STORM SEWER SYSTEMS (RULE 62-624.600(2), F.A.C.)

- This Annual Report Form must be completed and submitted to the Department to satisfy the annual reporting requirements established in Rule 62-621.600, F.A.C.
- Submit this fully completed and signed form and any REQUIRED attachments by email to the NPDES Stormwater Program Administrator or to
 the MS4 coordinator. Their names and email addresses are available at: http://www.dep.state.fl.us/water/stormwater/npdes/contacts.htm. If files
 are larger than 10mb, materials may be placed on the NPDES Stormwater ftp site at: http://ttp.dep.state.fl.us/water/stormwater/npdes/contacts.htm. If files
 uploading the ANNUAL REPORT files, an email must be sent to the MS4 coordinator or the NPDES program administrator notifying them the
 report is ready for downloading
- Refer to the Form Instructions for guidance on completing each section.
- Please print or type information in the appropriate areas below

SECT	ION I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION								
Α.	Permittee Name FDOT District 1								
В.	Permit Name: Polk County Municipal Separa	te Storm Sewer S	System						
C.	Permit Number: FLS000015-003 (Cycle 3)								
D.	Annual Report Year: Year 1 Year 2	🛛 Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Other, specify Year:				
E.	Reporting Time Period (month/year): Octobe	er 1, 2013 through	n September 3	0, 2014					
	Name of the Responsible Authority: Sharon I	Harris							
	Title: District Maintenance Administrator								
-	Mailing Address: 801 N. Broadway Ave.								
г.	City: Bartow	Zip Code: 33830	C	County:	Polk				
	Telephone Number: (863) 519-2300		Fax Number:	: (863) 53	4-7045				
	E-mail Address: Sharon.Hedrickharris@dot.s	state.fl.us							
	Name of the Designated Stormwater Manage Steven Kelly	ement Program C	ontact (if differ	ent from	Section I.F above):				
	Title: Maintenance Environmental Specialist								
	Department: Maintenance								
G.	Mailing Address: 801 N. Broadway Avenue								
	City: Bartow	Zip Code: 33830	C	County:	Polk				
	Telephone Number: (863) 519-2762		Fax Number	: (863) 53	4-7045				
	E-mail Address: <u>Steven.Kelly@dot.state.fl.us</u>								

SECT	ION II. MS4 MAJOR OUTFALL INVENTORY (Not Applicable In Year 1)
Α.	Number of outfalls ADDED to the outfall inventory in the current reporting year (insert "0" if none): 5 (Does this number include non-major outfalls? Yes No Not Applicable)
В.	Number of outfalls REMOVED from the outfall inventory in the current reporting year (insert "0" if none): 4 (Does this number include non-major outfalls? Xes INO INOT Applicable)
C.	Is the change in the total number of outfalls due to lands annexed or vacated?

SECT	ION III. MONITORING PROGRAM
	Provide a brief statement as to the status of monitoring plan implementation:
Α.	The monitoring plan is carried out through an inter-local agreement with Polk County. Please see the Polk County Annual Report for the monitoring information.
	Provide a brief discussion of the monitoring results to date:
В.	This summary represents trends in water quality data obtained from Polk County monitoring stations where FDOT has a major outfall upstream. The overall trend for Total Nitrogen is decreasing at 11 of the 24 monitoring stations included in the analysis. The overall trend for Total Phosphorus is decreasing at 20 of the 24 monitoring stations analyzed. FDOT recognizes the results from ambient water quality monitoring programs can be influenced by many factors, such as: atmospheric deposition, in situ nutrient loading, pollutant loads from non-point sources, and ground water loading which cannot be directly correlated to an individual SWMP. However, FDOT believes its SWMP is being effective at reducing pollutant loads from the Department's MS4 to receiving waters. FDOT's SWMP includes visual monitoring of its MS4 for illicit discharges during routine inspection and maintenance activities, routine construction oversight, scheduled inspection of MS4 infrastructure, stormwater education, cessation of fertilizers within the state highway system, an effective street sweeping and litter control program, and an approach for treating new and existing impervious areas.
	 <u>DEP Note</u>: See Part V of the permit for the monitoring requirements. Each permittee must discuss the monitoring results as it relates to the implementation and effectiveness of their SWMP.
C.	Attach a monitoring data summary, as required by the permit. Please see attached. Also see the Polk County Annual Report for the County's complete ambient monitoring information.

SECTION IV. FISCAL ANALYSIS

A. Total expenditures for the NPDES stormwater management program for the current reporting year: \$1,822,180.00 <u>DEP Note:</u> If program resources have decreased from the previous year, attach a discussion of the impacts on the implementation of the SWMP as per Part II.F of the permit.

B. Total budget for the NPDES stormwater management program for the subsequent reporting year: \$1,739,921.00

SECTION V. MATERIALS TO BE SUBMITTED WITH THIS ANNUAL REPORT FORM

Only the following materials are to be submitted to the Department along with this fully completed and signed Annual Report Form (check the appropriate box to indicate whether the item is attached or is not applicable):

Attached	<u>N/A</u>	*** <u>DEP Note:</u> Please complete Checklists A & B at the end of the tailored form.***
	\boxtimes	Any additional information required to be submitted in this current annual reporting year in accordance with Part III.A of your permit that is not otherwise included in Section VII below.
\boxtimes		A monitoring data summary as directed in Section III.C above and in accordance with Rule 62-624.600(2)(c), F.A.C.
	\boxtimes	Year 1 ONLY: An inventory of all known major outfalls and a map depicting the location of the major outfalls (hard copy or CD-ROM) in accordance with Rule 62-624.600(2)(a), F.A.C.
\boxtimes		Year 3 ONLY: The estimates of pollutant loadings and event mean concentrations for each major outfall or each major watershed in accordance with Rule 62-624.600(2)(b), F.A.C.
	\boxtimes	Year 4 ONLY: Permit re-application information in accordance with Rule 62-624.420(2), F.A.C.
	(such as recor	DO NOT SUBMIT ANY OTHER MATERIALS rds and logs of activities, monitoring raw data, public outreach materials, etc.)

SECTION VI. CERTIFICATION STATEMENT AND SIGNATURE

The Responsible Authority listed in Section I.F above must sign the following certification statement, as per Rule 62-620.305, F.A.C:

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based upon my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name of Res	sponsible Authority (type or print): Sharon L. Harris		
Title:	District Maintenance Administrator		
Signature:	AUN	Date:	3126115

	SECTION	VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY	TABLE			
Α.		B.	С.	D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
Part III.A.1		Structural Controls and Stormwater Collection Systems Operation				
		Maintain an up-to-date inventory of the structural controls and roadway sto of the types of control structures listed in Table II.A.1.a of the permit. Rep <u>DEP Note</u> : The permittee needs to "customize" this section by adding or are planned for the future. The permittee may remove any structure cycle. Please see the attached description of each type of structure. I control to be consistent with the unit of measurement in the document Provide an inventory of all known major outfalls covered by the permit and the outfall inventory and map with the Year 1 Annual Report. Report the number of inspection and maintenance activities conducted for inventory of each type of structure inspected and maintained. If the minim FDOT Statewide Stormwater Management Program (SSWMP) that specifi explanation of why they were not and a description of the actions that will 1 <u>DEP Note</u> : If the minimum inspection frequencies set forth in Table II. structure, the permittee must provide as an attachment an explanation that they will be met. Please provide the title of the attached explanati E.	ormwater collection structures of ort the current known inventory any structural controls to the li- al controls listed that it does no in addition, the permittee may of ration. Unit options include: mill a map depicting the location of each type of structure includer is minimum inspection frequencies set ies minimum inspection freque be taken to ensure that they wi <i>A.1.a, or the revised and appro- n of why they were not and a de- ion in Column D and the name</i>	operated by the pern <i>ist below that are pa</i> <i>it have currently or w</i> <i>choose its own unit o</i> <i>les, linear feet, acres</i> of the major outfalls (d in Table II.A.1.a, al forth in Table II.A.1.a, al forth in Table II.A.1.a, al forth in Table II.A.1.a, al <i>forth in Table II.A.1.a</i> , all <i>forth in Table II.A.1.a, all <i>forth in Table II.A.1.a, all <i>forth in Table II.A.1.a, all <i>forth in Table II.A.1.a, all <i>forth in Table </i></i></i></i></i>	nittee, including, a rt of the permittee ill likely not have of f measurement fo s, etc. hard copy or CD-f nd the percentage a or the revised ar provide as an atta not met for one or ons that will be tak alized the explana	t a minimum, all 's MS4 currently during this permit r each structural ROM). Provide of the total nd approved chment an r more type of ren to ensure tion in Column

	SECTION	VII. STORMWATER N	IANAGEMENT	PROGRAM (SW	MP) SUMM	IARY TABL	_E				
Α.			B.				C.		D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Req	uirement/Quar	/Quantifiable SWMP Activity Number of Activities Performed				Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments	
	ту	vpe of Structure		Number	of Activitie	s Perform	ed		Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
			Total Number of Structures	Number of Inspections	Percentage Inspected	Number of Maintenance Activities Based	on inspections Number of Routine Maintenance Activities	Percentage Maintained			
		Dry retention systems	79	43	54.43%	7	0	100%	NPDES	Consultant and	FDOT follows
	Exfi	Itration trench / French drain systems	7	7	100%	0	0	0%	Database and District One	FDOT Personnel and	the inspection and
	Grass tre	eatment swale systems	18	10	55.56%	0	0	100%	Polk County Storm Water	maintenance contractors	maintenance schedules in
		Dry detention systems	15	9	60%	1	0	100%	Pond Mowing		the approved
		Wet detention systems	111	66	59.46%	11	0	100%	and Litter		2012
		Wet retention systems	1	1	100%	0	0	100%	Removal FPID:		Statewide
		Ditch Block systems	24	15	62.50%	2	0	100%	427725-1-72-01		Stormwater Management Plan. Stormwater treatment facility inspection frequencies are based on Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFWMD) ERP criteria. Number of routine maintenance activities are not tracked by structure type; therefore, they are reported as zero.

	SECTION	VII. STORMWATER M	IANAGEMENT	PROGRAM (SW	MP) SUMM	ARY TABLE	E				
Α.			В.				C.		D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Req	uirement/Quar	ntifiable SWMP A	Activity		Number of A Perform	ctivities led	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
	Ma	ajor stormwater outfalls	62	0	0.00%	0	37,377.14 linear feet	100%	Polk County Major Outfall Inventory spreadsheet	Consultant and FDOT Personnel	FDOT follows the inspection schedule in the approved 2012 Statewide Stormwater Management Plan. Major outfalls are inspected once every permit cycle based on the historic inspection records. Major outfall inspections were completed in 2012. The outfall pipes and culverts do receive routine maintenance under MMS Activity 451.

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER N	IANAGEMENT	PROGRAM (SW	MP) SUMM	ARY TABLE	E				
Α.		В.				С.		D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element	Permit Rec	uirement/Quan	tifiable SWMP A	Activity		Number of A Perform	ctivities led	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
	Weirs	1	1	100%	1	0	0%	NPDES Database	Consultant and FDOT Personnel	Maintenance was completed during the reporting period.
	Other control structures	126	75	59.52%	0	0	0%	NPDES Database	Consultant and FDOT Personnel	Control structures are inspected concurrently with the stormwater detention facilities they are associated with. FDOT follows the inspection schedules for stormwater treatment facilities in the approved 2012 Statewide Stormwater Management Plan. Maintenance was not required for the control structures inspected.

	SECTION	VII. STORMWATER M	IANAGEMENT	PROGRAM (SW	MP) SUMN	IARY TABLE	E				
Α.			В.				C.		D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Req	juirement/Quar	ntifiable SWMP /	Activity		Number of A Perform	ctivities ned	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
Citation/S WMP Element	MS4	Permit Req	uirement/Quar 177,986 4,695	37,377.14 38	Activity 21.00% 0.81%	0	Number of A Perform 37,377.14 37,377.14 linear feet	21.00 % 0%	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity FDOT Personnel FDOT Personnel	Comments
											reported as individual items; however, maintenance is tracked by linear feet.

	SECTION	I VII. STORMWATER M	ANAGEMENT	PROGRAM (SV	WMP) SUMN	IARY TAB	LE				
Α.			В.				C.		D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Req	uirement/Quan	tifiable SWMP	Activity		Number of A Perform	ctivities ed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
	Ditche	es / conveyance swales (linear feet)	3,898,472.16	84 each	0.00%	0	96,097.50	2.47%	RCI Feature 245, 421, Maintenance Rating Program and MMS 461 and 464.	FDOT Personnel	The inspections of these conveyance structures are addressed through the FDOT MRP and the maintenance is addressed through MMS (Activity 461 and 464).
	ATTA Tabl	CH explanation if any of t e II.A.1.a, or in the revise	he minimum ir d and approve	spection frequed of the section of t	uencies in re <u>not</u> met	Not applic	able				
		Year 1 ONLY: At	tach a map of	all known maj	or outfalls	Not Applic	cable				
Part III.A.2	Areas of	New Development and S	ignificant Rede	evelopment							
	Continue system. I to DEP ar Report the	to employ the FDOT Drain FDOT shall refer connectin nd/or the Southwest Florida e number of enforcement r	age Connection g entities failing a Water Manage eferrals complet	Permit (DCP) to to meet the DC ement District, a ted.	to ensure that CP requirements as appropriat	at appropria ents or main e, to regula	ate stormwater t ntain the discha ate the stormwat	reatment a rge of acco er quality	and permitting occu eptable water qualit through local or Sta	rs prior to discharg y, after sufficient v te rules, ordinanc	ge into the FDOT varning by FDOT es, and codes.
				Number of e	nforcement	referrals	0		3/5/2015 E-mail from Joseph Glorioso, Permits Coordinator at Bartow Operations Center	FDOT Personnel	No enforcement referrals occurred during the reporting period.
Part III.A.3	Roadway	/S									
	Annually r including needed, b covered b <u>DEP</u> report squar items	review (and revise, as need rights-of-way, employed wi basis. Report on the litter of by the activities, and an est <u>Note:</u> Please provide an e ting items. Unit options for re feet, linear feet, yards, m	ded) and implen thin the permitte control program, imate of the qua xplanation in Co the amount of l hiles, acres. If a	nent the permitt ee's jurisdiction including the fr antity of litter co olumn F for any litter include: ba Il litter collection	ee's written al area and requency of llected. "0" reported logs, cubic ya n is performe	procedures properly dis itter collect in Column rds, pounds ed by staff o	for the litter con spose of collecte ion, an estimate C. In addition, s, tons. Unit opt or by contractors	ntrol progr d material of the tota the permit tions for th s, but not k	am(s) for public stre I. Implement the pr al number of road m ttee may choose its be amount of area c by both, please rem	eets, roads, and hi ogram on a month illes cleaned or ar own units of meas overed by the acti ove the non-applic	ghways, ly, or on an as nount of area surement for the vity include: cable reporting

A. B. C. D. E. F. Permit Citation/S WMP Element Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity Number of Activities Performed Documentation / Record Entity Performing the Activity Commer PERMITTEE Litter Control Program: Estimated amount of area maintained (acres) 12 / year 3/2/2015 E-mail from Brent FDOT Personnel FDOT Personnel PERMITTEE Litter Control Program: Estimated amount of litter collected (tons) 107.22 MMS / Warranties at Bartow Personnel	SECTION	s
Permit Citation/S WMP Element Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity Number of Activities Performed Documentation / Record Entity Performing the Activity Commer PERMITTEE Litter Control Program: Estimated amount of area maintained (acres) 12 / year 3/2/2015 E-mail from Brent FDOT PERMITTEE Litter Control Program: Estimated amount of area maintained (acres) 1,382 FDOT Personnel PERMITTEE Litter Control Program: Estimated amount of litter collected (tons) 107.22 MMS / Warranties at Bartow Personnel	Α.	Α.
PERMITTEE Litter Control Program: Frequency of litter collection 12 / year 3/2/2015 E-mail FDOT PERMITTEE Litter Control Program: Estimated amount of area maintained (acres) 1,382 from Brent Personnel PERMITTEE Litter Control Program: Estimated amount of litter collected (tons) 107.22 Finger, RCI / MMS / Warranties at Bartow MMS /	Permit Sitation/S WMP Element	Permit tation/S WMP lement
Contractor Contractor Contractor 10 / year 1/22/2015 E- mail from (acres) FDOT Contractors Contractor Contractors FDOT Contractors Contractors Contractor Contractors Yoonne Tucker, Yoonne Tucker, Translield FDOT Contractors Contractors Statistical Statistical Statistical Statistical J22/2015 E- mail from Translield Statistical Statistical Statistical Contractors Statistical Statistical Statistical Statistical Statistical Statistical Statistical Statistical Statistical	PERMIT PERM CONTRA	

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE							
Α.		В.	С.	D.	E.	F.		
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments		
	CONTRA	CTOR Litter Control Program: Estimated amount of litter collected (cubic yards)	294.68	Polk County / I4, MM 25.6 to MM 53.6 / Oct. 1, 2013 to Sept. 30, 2014 / Debris Removal sheets				
	If an Adopt-A-Road or similar program is implemented, report the total number of road miles cleaned and an estimate of the quantity of litter collected. <u>DEP Note:</u> The permittee may choose its own unit of measurement for the amount of litter collected. Unit options include: bags, cubic yards, pounds, tons. Adopt A Road or similar program is not implemented by the permittee, place note that in Column E but do not remove the Adopt A Road Program reporting							
	71000	Adopt-A-Road Program: Total Jane miles cleaned	84	3/2/2015/ E-	Volunteer	There were 21		
		Adopt-A-Road Program: Estimated amount of litter collected (pounds)	630	mail from Brent Finger, RCI / MMS / Warranties at Bartow Operations	groups	active groups during the permit period.		
	nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP) loadings that were removed by the collection of sw explanation of why not in the Year 1 Annual Report. <u>DEP Note:</u> Please provide an explanation in Column F for any "0" reported in Column of sweeping material collected. Unit options include: cubic yards, pounds, tons. <u>DEP Note:</u> If the permittee has curbs and gutters but no street sweeping program is im Annual Report. Refer to Part III.A.3 of the permit for the information that must be include street sweeping). Please provide the title of the attached explanation in Column D and <u>Frequency of street sweeping</u> <u>Total number of curb miles swept (per year)</u> <u>Estimated quantity of sweeping material collected (pounds)</u>		weepings. If no street swe in <i>C. Also, the permittee m</i> implemented, the permittee uded in the explanation (in d the name of the entity w 6/ year 1,075.5 379,360	eping program is in ay choose its own u e must provide an e cluding the alternation ho finalized the exp 1/22/2015 E- mail from Yvonne Tucker, Transfield Services and Polk County I I- 4, MM 25.6 to MM 53.6/ Oct. 1, 2013 to Sept. 30, 2014/	nplemented, provi init of measureme e BMPs used or pu- lanation in Columi FDOT Maintenance Contractor	de the not in the Amount lanned in lieu of n E.		
				Sweeping (Mechanical) sheets				

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE							
Α.		В.	С.	D.	E.	F.		
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments		
		Total nitrogen loadings removed (pounds) Total phosphorus loadings removed (pounds)	207.25 132.64	Polk County - Estimated Quantity of Total Nitrogen and Phosphorous Loadings Removed Spreadsheet	Consultants	Estimated quantities were determined using Method 3 as documented in the approved 2012 Statewide Stormwater Management Plan.		
Year 1 ONLY: If have curbs and gutters, attach explanation of why no street sweeping program and the alternate BMPs used or planned								
	road repair and maintenance, and from permittee-owned or operated equipment yards and maintenance shops that support road maintenance activities. Report the number of applicable facilities and the number of inspections conducted for each facility. <u>DEP Note</u> : The permittee needs to "customize" this section by listing the names of the applicable facilities in Column B and the number of inspections of each facilities in Column C. Add more rows if necessary. If "0" is reported in Column C for the number of inspections conducted and the permittee has one or more applicable facilities, please provide an explanation in Column F for why no inspections were conducted. In addition, if the same facility is applicable under both Parts III.A.3 and III.A.5 of the permit, the same site inspection can count towards both inspection requirements as long as it covers the applicable waste area(s). Be sure to report the site inspection under both Parts III.A.3 and III.A.5.							
			Number of Inspections					
	Name of	facility #1: Bartow Operations Center	1	NPDES MS4 Permit Stormwater Inspection High Risk Industrial Facilities and Municipal Facilities inspection report 4/7/2014	Robert Dwyer, District Maintenance Environmental Manager	Inspection was conducted on 4/7/2014.		
Part III.A.4	Flood Co	ontrol Projects	L		1	1		
	Report the stormwate Report or	e total number of flood control projects that were constructed by the permittee du er treatment. The permittee shall provide a list of the projects where stormwater any stormwater retrofit planning activities and the associated implementation of	ring the reporting period a treatment was not include retrofitting projects to redu	nd the number of th d with an explanatic uce stormwater poll	nose projects that on for each of why utant loads from e	did NOT include it was not. xisting drainage		

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE							
Α.		В.	С.	D.	E.	F.		
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments		
	systems t <u>DEP</u> duplic <u>DEP</u> the er	that do not have treatment BMPs. <u>Note:</u> A "stormwater retrofit project" is one implemented primarily to provide storm <u>Note:</u> The status of the flood control and retrofit projects should be reported as of cation for those reported as planned, for those reported as under construction and <u>Note:</u> If applicable, please provide the title of the attached list of flood control pro- ntity who finalized the list in Column E. Flood control projects completed during the reporting period control projects completed during the reporting period	mwater treatment for area f the last day of the applic d for those reported as co jects that did not include s	s currently without t cable reporting perio mpleted. stormwater treatmen FDOT's Adopted Five Year Work	reatment. d. Therefore, ther nt in Column D and FDOT Personnel	e should be no d the name of FDOT does		
	A	ATTACH a list of the flood control projects that did <u>not</u> include stormwater treatment treatment and an explanation for each of why it was not		Program (July 1, 2014 thru June 30, 2019)		flood control or stormwater retrofit		
		Stormwater retrofit projects planned	0			projects.		
	S	tormwater retrofit projects under construction during the reporting period	0			FDOT adheres		
		Stormwater retrofit projects completed during the reporting period	0			to water quality and attenuation standards based on ERP permit requirements.		
Part III.A.5	Municipa	al Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities Not Covered by an NPD	ES Stormwater Permit					
	 Annually review (and revise, as needed) and implement written procedures for inspections and the implementation of measures to control discharges from the following facilities that are not otherwise covered by an NPDES stormwater permit: FDOT waste transfer stations; FDOT waste fleet maintenance facilities; and Any other FDOT waste treatment, waste storage, and waste disposal facilities. Report the number of applicable facilities and the number of the inspections conducted for each facility. <u>DEP Note:</u> The permittee needs to "customize" this section by listing the names of the applicable facilities in Column B and the number of inspections of each facility in Column C. Add more rows if necessary. If "0" is reported in Column C for the number of inspections conducted. An applicable facilities, please provide an explanation in Column F for why no inspections were conducted. An applicable facility under Part III.A.5 includes, but is not limited to, those facilities/yards where street sweeping material and/or yard waste are temporary stockpiled. In addition, if the same facility is applicable waste area(s). Be sume stic inspection requirements as long as it covers the applicable waste area(s). Be sume stic inspection under both parts III.A.3 and III.A.5 of the permit, the same stic inspection can count towards both inspection requirements as long as it covers the applicable waste area(s). Be sume stic inspection under both parts III.A.3 and III.A.5 of the permit, the same stic inspection can count towards both inspection requirements as long as it covers the applicable waste area(s). Be sume stic inspection under both parts III.A.3 and III.A.5 of the permit, the same stic inspection can count towards both inspection requirements as long as it covers the applicable waste area(s). Be sume sume the print premeticable waste area(s). 							
			Number of Inspections					
	Name of	facility #1: 0	0	2/18/2015 Email from Howard Summers, Deputy District Maintenance Administrator		There are no applicable FDOT facilities in Polk County which meet these criteria.		

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE							
Α.		B.	C.	D.	E.	F.		
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments		
Part III.A.6	Pesticide	es, Herbicides, and Fertilizer Application						
	Continue to require proper certification and licensing by the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS) for all applicators contracted to apply pesticides, herbicides, or fertilizers on permittee-owned property, as well as any permittee personnel employed in the application of these products. Report the number of permittee personnel applicators and contracted commercial applicators of pesticides and herbicides who are FDACS certified / licensed. Report the number of permittee personnel and contractors who have been trained through the Green Industry BMP Program, and the number of contracted commercial applicators of fertilizer who are FDACS certified / licensed. <u>DEP Note:</u> If "0" is reported in Column C for any of the reporting items, please include in Column F an explanation of why training was not provided to / obtained by personnel and contractors during the applicable reporting year, the most recent year that training / certification was previously provided / obtained, and the names of the personnel and contractors periously trained / certified. DEP Note: Florida Department of Comments of the reporting year, the most recent year that training / certification was previously provided / obtained, and the names of the personnel and contractors during the applicable reporting year (SPACS) and the personnel and contractors periously trained / certified.							
	PERSON	INEL: Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS) certified applicators of pesticides and herbicides	2	Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS) License #: PB10222 and PB10414	FDOT Personnel			
		CONTRACTORS: FDACS certified / licensed applicators of pesticides and herbicides	9	Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services Pesticide Certification Office Commercial Applicator License # CM21987, CM20825, CM19236, CM19056, CM19055, CM18344, CM20474, CM22903 and CM20870	FDOT Contractors			

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE					
Α.		В.	С.	D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
		CONTRACTORS: FDACS certified / licensed applicators of fertilizer	0	3/19/2015 Email from Joseph Bell, Maintenance Manager / Contracts	FDOT Contractors	FDOT currently does not have any fertilizer contracts and therefore does not have any certified fertilizer applicators.
		PERSONNEL: Green Industry BMP Program training completed	0	3/18/2015 Email from Mark Barnes, Maintenance Manager	FDOT Personnel	FDOT is requiring all necessary personnel and contractors to
		CONTRACTORS: Green Industry BMP Program training completed	1	FDEP Best Management Practices Florida Green Industries Certificate #GV20040	FDOT Contractors	complete the FDOT Green Industry BMP Program pursuant to the permit and the approved 2012 Statewide Stormwater Management
Part III.A.7.a	Illicit Dis	charges and Improper Disposal — Inspections, Ordinances, and Enforceme	ent Measures			
	{Not Appl	cable to FDOT }				
Part III.A.7.c	Illicit Dis	charges and Improper Disposal — Investigation of Suspected Illicit Dischar	ges and/or Improper Dis	posal		
	During Year 1 of the permit, develop and implement a written proactive inspection program plan for identifying and eliminating sources of illicit discharges, illicit connections, or dumping to the MS4. Beginning with the Year 2 Annual Report, report on the proactive inspection program, including the number of inspections conducted, the number of illicit activities found, and the number of referrals completed. <u>DEP Note:</u> If "0" is reported in Column C for the first reporting item, please include an explanation in Column F for why no proactive inspections were performed. <u>DEP Note:</u> Refer to Part III.A.7.c of the permit for what must be included in the written proactive inspection program plan. Please provide the title of the attached plan in Column D and the name of the entity who finalized the plan in Column E.					

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE							
Α.	B.	C.	D.	E.	F.			
Permit Citation/S WMP Element	Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments			
	Proactive inspections performed by Polk County on behalf of a co-permittee suspected illicit discharges / connections / dum	e for 0 bing			Polk County does not perform proactive inspections on behalf of FDOT.			
	Proactive inspections performed by the permittee for suspected illicit discharge connections / dum	jes / 283 bing	Daily Crew Work Report,	FDOT Personnel and	2 illicit discharges			
	Number of enforcement refer	rals 0	& FDOT - Lakes & Stormwater - Illicit Discharge Stormwater Cooperative Proactive Inspection form	Lakeland staff	during the proactive inspection during the reporting period. Verbal discussions and corrective actions occurred at the time of the inspections. Enforcement was not necessary.			
	Year 1 ONLY: Attach the written proactive inspection program Annually review (and revise, as needed) and implement the permittee's written proc illicit discharges, illicit connections or improper disposal to the FDOT MS4 within the citizens, or other entities regarding suspected illicit activity. Report on the reactive in discharges, including the number of investigations conducted, the number of illicit ac	plan Not Applicable edures to conduct reactive inve FDOT right-of-way, based on re vestigation program as it relate tivities found, and the number of	stigations to identify ports received from to responding to re f enforcement refer	and eliminate the permittee person eports of suspecte rals completed.	source(s) of nel, contractors, d illicit			

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE							
Α.		B.		С.	D.	E.	F.	
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quant	tifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments	
	Re	ports of suspected illicit connection	s / discharges / dumping received	2	3/3/2015 E-mail	FDOT	There were 2	
	Reactive	investigations received by the perm	ittee of reports of suspected illicit	2	from Kevin	Personnel	reports of	
		d	ischarges/ connections / dumping		King,		suspected illicit	
	Illicit dis	charges / connections / dumping fou	ind during a reactive investigation	2	Department of		connections /	
			Number of enforcement referrais	1	2/17/2014 E- mail from Robert Kollinger, Water Resources Manager		dumping received.	
	MS4. Refresher training shall be provided annually. Report the type of training activities, and the number of permittee personnel and contractors trained (both in-house and outside training). <u>DEP Note:</u> If "0" is reported for either reporting item, please include in Column F an explanation of why training was not provided to / obtained by personnel and contractors during the applicable reporting year, the most recent year that training was previously provided / obtained, and the names of the personnel and contractors previously trained.							
	Personn	nitiai Training	4		Environmental	FDOT	EDOT provides	
	train	ed			Process sign-in	Personnel	annual illicit	
	Contracto traine	ed 27	0		sheet from June 30, 2014, Illicit Discharge Safety Meeting sign-in sheet from July 1, 2014 and Environmental Process sign-in sheet from July 15, 2014		discharge training to staff and contractors.	
Part III.A.7.d	Illicit Discha	rges and Improper Disposal — Spill	Prevention and Response	· / · · · ·				
	spills that dis	te: The permittee may report the number	end the permittee's written spill-preven ill prevention and response activities, i er of hazardous material spills separat	ncluding the number of sp	na procedures to pr vills addressed.	ial spills, <u>or</u> report	one combined	
	number,	to more accurately reflect its tracking o	t these spills.					

	SECTION VII. STO	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE						
Α.		B.		С.	D.	E.	F.	
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifi	able SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments	
		Hazardous and non-hazardo	ous material spills responded to	3	FDOT Permit Tracking System (PITS) Database	FDOT Personnel and Contractors		
	During Year 1 of the permit, develop and implement a written plan for the training of all appropriate permittee personnel (including field crews, firefighters, fleet maintenance staff and inspectors) and contractors on proper spill prevention, containment, and response techniques and procedures. Refresher training shall be provided annually. Report the type of training activities, and the number of permittee personnel and contractors trained (both in-house and outside training). <u>DEP Note:</u> If "0" is reported for either reporting item, please include in Column F an explanation of why training was not provided to / obtained by personnel and contractors during the applicable reporting year, the most recent year that training was previously provided / obtained, and the names of the personnel and contractors previously trained.							
		Initial Training	Refresher Training					
Part III.A.7.e	Personnel trained Contractors trained Illicit Discharges and Not Applicable to FDC	71 27 I Improper Disposal — Public F	4 0 Reporting		Environmental Process sign-in sheet from June 30, 2014, Illicit Discharge Safety Meeting sign-in sheet from July 1, 2014 and Environmental Process sign-in sheet from July 15, 2014	FDOT Personnel	Spill response and reporting is a part of the annual illicit discharge training.	
Part	Illicit Discharges and	l Improper Disposal — Oils, To	oxics, and Household Hazardous	Waste Control				
III.A.7.t	Continue to include a notice with each FDOT Drainage Connection Permit with information on used oil recycling, proper hazardous waste disposal, stormwater regulations, and spill reporting. Report the number of notices distributed. DEP Note: If "0" is reported in Column C, please include in Column F an explanation for why no notices were distributed. If the number of notices distributed is different than the number of DCPs issued, please include in Column F an explanation for this difference. Number of notices distributed 27 FDOT Permit Tracking FDOT Permit Tracking System (PITS) Personnel Drainage Drainage						water stributed is NPDES Flyers are distributed with approved Drainage	
							Connection Permits.	

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE							
Α.		В.	С.	D.	E.	F.		
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments		
Part III.A.7.g	Illicit Dis	charges and Improper Disposal — Limitation of Sanitary Sewer Seepage						
	Advise the	e appropriate utility owner of a violation if constituents common to wastewater co	ntamination are discovere	d in FDOT's or Flor	ida Turnpike Ente	rprise's MS4.		
	Report the	e number of violations referred to the appropriate utility owner and the name of the	ne utility owner.			-		
		Number of violations referred to the appropriate utility owner	1	7/30/14 Email from Robert Dwyer, District Maintenance Environmental Manager and 3/3/15 Email from Kevin King, Environmental Supervisor with Department of Health	FDOT Personnel	One violation was reported to the Department of Health and was abated.		
		Name of owner of the sanitary sewer system	Douglas Bark	nealth				
Part	Industrial and High Disk Dunoff Identification of Priorities and Procedures for Inspections							
III.A.8.a	muustna		spections					
	Continue water boo • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	to maintain an up-to-date inventory of all existing high risk facilities discharging in by into which each high risk facility discharges. For the purposes of this permit, h Operating municipal landfills; Hazardous waste treatment, storage, disposal and recovery facilities; Facilities that are subject to EPCRA Title III, Section 313 (also known as the Tox Any other industrial or commercial discharge that the permittee determines is con include facilities identified through the proactive inspection program as per Part I in the high risk facilities inventory, including the type and total number of high risk <u>Note:</u> The TRI is updated every spring / summer by the U.S. EPA at www.epa.g select "Generate Report." Please indicate in Column F when (month / year) you ear 1 of the permit, develop and implement a written plan for conducting inspectio compliance with all appropriate aspects of the stormwater program. While the p shall inspect each identified facility's outfall(s) at least once during the permit ter in program as per Part III.A.7.c of the permit shall be inspected annually. Report is conducted and the number of enforcement referrals completed. <u>Note:</u> If "0" is reported for the number of outfall inspections conducted and the per- min F for why no inspections were conducted.	nto the permittee's MS4. igh risk facilities include: tics Release Inventory (TR ntributing a substantial pol II.A.7.c of the permit. facilities and the number of <i>gov/triexplorer. Select "Fa</i> <i>last checked EPA's TRI fa</i> ons of high risk facility outformittee may determine t rm; however, facilities iden on the high risk facility insp permittee has one or more	The inventory shall i (I) maintained by the lutant loading to the of facilities newly ad <i>cility" on the left, cho</i> or applicable facilitie alls to the FDOT/Flo he order and freque tified as high risk du pection program, ind high risk facilities, p	dentify the outfall e U.S. EPA); and e permittee's MS4. ded each year ose your Geograp es. orida Turnpike Ent incy of the inspect ue to the findings o cluding the numbe olease provide an	and surface This could <i>hic Location, and</i> erprise MS4 to ions, the of the proactive r of outfall <i>explanation in</i>		

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE							
Α.		B.			С.	D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity			Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
			Number of Facilities	Number of Inspections	Number of Enforcement Referrals			
		Total high risk facilities	1	0	0	2013 Toxic	FDOT	1 High Risk
	New h	igh risk facilities added to the inventory	0	0	0	Release	Personnel and	facility was
	during the current reporting period		0	0	0	Inventory and	Consultants	identified
		Operating municipal landfills	0	0	0	PITS Permit		during the
	Hazar	and recovery (HWTSDR) facilities	0	0	0	Dalabase		process last
	EPCR	A Title III, Section 313 facilities (that are not landfills or HWTSDR facilities)	1	0	0			permit year. The inspection will be reported
	permitt	Facilities determined as high risk by the ee through the proactive inspections as per Part III.A.7.c	0	0	0			annual report.
	Other p	facilities determined as high risk by the ermittee (that are <u>not</u> facilities identified through the proactive inspections)	0	0	0			
Part III.A.8.b	Industrial and High-Risk Runoff — Monitoring for High Risk Industries							
	{Not Appli	cable to FDOT}						
Part III.A.9.a	Construc	tion Site Runoff — Site Planning and Non	-Structural an	nd Structural Best N	lanagement Practices			
	Employ F	DOT Drainage Connection Permit (DCP) cor to the MS4 and receiving waters. Report the	nditions that inc	clude the use of storr	nwater, erosion, and sedim	entation control BN	Ps during constru	ction to reduce
		Number	of DCPs/Spe	cial Permits issued	27	FDOT Permit Tracking System (PITS) Database	FDOT Personnel	DCPs approved during the permit year.
Part III.A.9.b	Construc	tion Site Runoff — Inspection and Enforc	ement					
	As an attachment to the Year 1 Annual Report, the permittee shall submit a written plan that details the standard operating procedures for implementation of the stormwater, erosion and sedimentation inspection program for construction sites discharging stormwater to the MS4. The permittee shall implement the plan for inspecting construction sites immediately upon written approval by the Department. Prior to Department approval, the permittee shall continue to perform inspections in accordance with its previously developed construction site inspection procedures. Report on the inspection program for privately-operated and permittee-operated construction sites, including the number of active construction sites during the reporting year, the number of inspections of active construction sites, the percentage of active construction sites inspected, and the number and type of enforcement actions / referrals taken. <u>DEP Note:</u> For FDOT/Florida Turnpike Enterprise, privately-operated sites are those sites within FDOT's right-of-way that were issued a DCP and the inspections are outfall inspections, not site inspections. In addition, FDOT should re-word the "Corrective action notices issued" reporting item to more accurately reflect its							

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE					
Α.		В.	С.	D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
WMP Element	DEP If the an e. DEP in Co	Pote: If "0" is reported in Column C for the number of inspections conducted, pie number of inspections reported is equal to or less than the number of active col xplanation in Column F. Note: Refer to Part III.A.9.b of the permit for what must be included in the construction D and the name of the entity who finalized the plan in Column E. PERMITTEE SITES: Note: PERMITTEE SITES: Inspections of active construction sites PERMITTEE SITES: Inspections of active construction sites for proper stormwater, erosion and sedimentation BMPs PERMITTEE SITES: Percentage of active construction sites inspected PERMITTEE SITES: Percentage of active construction sites inspected	Performed lease provide an explanation instruction sites, or the performance ruction site inspection prog 21 20 66.67%	/ Record	the Activity thy no inspections is less than 100%, provide the title of the Personnel FDOT Personnel and Contractors	were conducted. please provide the attached plan Construction inspections are conducted based on FDOT D1's Standard Operating Procedures. Due to the variations in project start and end dates, not all active construction sites were inspected during the permit period. A deficiency warning letter and a
				Group, Inc. and September 30, 2014 SAI Consulting Engineers letters		deficiency letter was sent to the construction contractor.

	SECTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE					
Α.		В.	С.	D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
		PRIVATE SITES: Active construction sites	5	FDOT Permit	FDOT	
	PRIVA	TE SITES: Inspections of active construction sites for proper stormwater,	6	Tracking	Personnel and	
		erosion and sedimentation BMPs		System (PITS	Contractors	
		PRIVATE SITES: Percentage of active construction sites inspected	100%	Database)		
		PRIVATE SITES: Number of enforcement referrals	0	3/5/2015 E-mail from John Morrisey, Permits Manager at Bartow Operations Center	FDOT Personnel	
	Y	Year 1 ONLY: Attach the written construction site inspection program plan	Not Applicable			
Part III.A.9.c	Construc	tion Site Runoff — Site Operator Training				
	Provide tr managem with the p program a and site o <u>DEP</u> perm <u>DEP</u> then	aining for permittee personnel (employed by <u>or under contract with</u> the permittee hent, erosion, and sedimentation controls. Also provide training for private constru- ermittee) of construction sites shall be certified through the Florida Stormwater, I approved by the Department. Refresher training shall be provided annually. Rep perators trained (both in-house and outside training), and the number of private <u>Note:</u> If "0" is reported for any of these reporting items, please include in Colum- ittee's staff and private construction site operators during the applicable reporting <u>Note:</u> The permittee should report only the number of staff and private construc- note in Column F the number of staff who were previously trained / certified. Pri-	b) involved in the site plan provide in the site plan provide in the site plan provide and Sedimentation port the type of training act construction site operators on F an explanation of why g year. tion site operators trained / ivate site operator training	site plan reviewers review, inspection of permittee inspectors in Control Inspector trained by the perm training was not pro- certified during the can include pre-cor	, site inspectors an or construction of s s (employed by or Training program, of inspectors, site j nittee. ovided to / obtaine applicable reportion instruction meetings	Id site operators. tormwater under contract or an equivalent plan reviewers <i>d by the</i> <i>ng year, and</i> <i>s.</i>

	SECTION	CTION VII. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) SUMMARY TABLE							
Α.				В.		С.	D.	E.	F.
Permit Citation/S WMP Element		Permit Requirement/Quantifiable SWMP Activity			WMP Activity	Number of Activities Performed	Documentation / Record	Entity Performing the Activity	Comments
			Inspector Certification Training	Non-Inspector Initial Training (non- certification)	Refresher Training				
	constru inspe sit reviewe site ope		14	0	10		FDEPLocalStormwater,CopermittErosion ∧ FDOSedimentationPersonneControl sign-insheets and Pre-ConstructionPersonne	Local Copermittees and FDOT Personnel	FDOT continues to promote staff and contractor training for erosion and sediment
	Contra	rivate ctors	49	0	60		conference sign-in sheets		controls. Refresher training is provided to previously trained staff and contractors.

EC	TION VIII. EVALU	ATION OF THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP)
	Permit Citation/ SWMP Element	SWMP EVALUATION
	Part II.A.1 Structural control	Strengths: FDOT District One has a comprehensive inspection and maintenance program for stormwater treatment and conveyance structures. FDOT District One implements a routine stormwater treatment facility inspection program, consistent with WMD ERP inspection criteria. Stormwater conveyance structures are inspected and maintained consistent with the Department's Maintenance Rating Program (MRP) as detailed in the approved 2012 FDOT Statewide Stormwater Management Plan. FDOT District One's inspection and maintenance program is designed to be proactive at identifying and correcting deficiencies to ensure treatment and conveyance systems continue to function as designed and permitted.
	inspection and maintenance	Weaknesses: None noted at this time.
		SWMP Revisions to address deficiencies: None noted at this time.
	Part II.A.2	Strengths: FDOT District One continues to implement Chapter 14-86 FAC to ensure off-site facilities connecting to FDOT's right-of-way through Drainage Connection Permits (DCPs) meet existing water quality standards.
	Significant	Weaknesses: None noted at this time.
	redevelopment	SWMP Revisions to address deficiencies: None noted at this time.
		Strengths: FDOT District One maintains an active roadway management program. This program includes: litter pick-up, Adopt-A-Highway, street sweeping and annual inspections of its maintenance yards.
	Part II.A.3 Roadways	Weaknesses: None noted at this time.
۸.		SWMP Revisions to address deficiencies: None noted at this time.
		Strengths: FDOT District One does not construct flood control or stormwater retrofit projects. FDOT District One continues to adhere to state water quality and attenuation criteria for new roadway and road widening projects based on ERP permit requirements.
	Part II.A.4 Flood control	Weaknesses: None noted at this time.
		SWMP Revisions to address deficiencies: None noted at this time.
	Part II.A.5	Strengths: There are no applicable FDOT facilities in Polk County which meet the criteria listed. Currently, FDOT does not temporarily stockpile street sweeping material and/or yard waste at its maintenance yards.
	Waste TSD Facilities	Weaknesses: None noted at this time.
	I won	SWMP Revisions to address deficiencies: None noted at this time.
	Part II.A.6 Pesticide, herbicide,	Strengths: FDOT District One requires personnel to be knowledgeable and able to implement a safe and effective chemical weed and grass control program. FDOT requires proper certification and licensing from Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FDACS) for all personnel and contractors applying pesticides or herbicides on FDOT property or rights-of-way. It is FDOT's intention to reduce the amount of fertilizer used. FDOT will require all necessary FDOT personnel and contractors to complete the FDOT Green Industry BMP Program by January 2014, pursuant to the permit and the approved 2012 Statewide Stormwater Management Plan.
	fertilizer application	Weaknesses: None noted at this time.
		SWMP Revisions to address deficiencies: None noted at this time.

SECT	TION VIII. EVALU	ATION OF THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP)
Part II.A.7 Illicit Discharg Detection and Elimination		Strengths: FDOT District One implements its inspection and maintenance through the MRP/MMS program, which provides significant coverage of the FDOT MS4. As such, the fundamental component of a proactive illicit discharge program, that is, inspectors visiting all areas of the MS4, is achieved through the MRP/MMS program. FDOT staff is trained annually regarding illicit discharges and connections, the proper reporting procedure and spill prevention and response. At a minimum, one trained FDOT field staff is in the field each day to be observant for illicit discharges and/or spills. Additionally, FDOT has implemented it's maintenance contractor training program so that FDOT maintenance contractors that work in the field are trained to recognize and report illicit discharges and connections.
		SWMP Revisions to address deficiencies: None noted at this time.
	Part II.A.8 High Risk	Strengths: FDOT District One screens all approved Drainage Connection Permits (DCP) against the most recent EPA Toxic Release Inventory (TRI). Any facility that has an approved DCP is and also listed on EPA's TRI list is added to FDOT's high risk inventory and is then inspected for any potential illicit discharges or connections. In addition, non-high risk facilities found to be discharging non-stormwater to FDOT District One's MS4 are also added to the high risk inventory and will be inspected in subsequent permit years consistent with the SOPs. Weaknesses: None noted at this time.
		SWMP Revisions to address deficiencies: None noted at this time.
	Part II.A.9 Construction Site Runoff	Strengths: FDOT has a standard operating procedure in place to ensure that FDOT construction sites are being inspected on a routine basis. All FDOT construction projects that require NPDES CGP coverage will be prioritized and the inspection frequency shall be associated with its priority level. The intent of this procedure is to ensure that construction activities are not negatively impacting adjacent properties, receiving waters or sensitive areas. The drainage connection permit requires that all construction projects draining to the Department's MS4 meet water quality treatment criteria. FDOT inspects the proposed outfall / drainage connection during construction. Any observed water quality violations will be reported to the appropriate agency or local municipality.
		Weaknesses: None noted at this time.
		SWMP Revisions to address deficiencies: None noted at this time.

SEC	TION IX. CHANGE	S TO THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (SWMP) ACTIVITIES (Not Applicable In Year 4)
А.	Permit Citation/ SWMP Element	Proposed Changes to the Stormwater Management Program Activities Established as Specific Requirements Under Part III.A of the Permit (Including the Rationale for the Change) — REQUIRES DEP APPROVAL PRIOR TO CHANGE IF PROPOSING TO REPLACE OR DELETE AN ACTIVITY. <u>DEP Note:</u> There may be changes deemed necessary after developing / reviewing your plans and SOPs as per Part III.A of the permit, after completing your SWMP evaluation as per Part VI.B.2 of the permit, or due to a TMDL / BMAP as per Part VIII.B of the permit.
-		None noted at this time.
·		
В.	Permit Citation/ SWMP Element	Changes to the Stormwater Management Program Activities NOT Established as Specific Requirements Under Part III.A of the Permit (Including the Rationale for the Change) <u>DEP Note:</u> There may be changes deemed necessary after developing / reviewing your plans and SOPs as per Part III.A of the permit, after completing your SWMP evaluation as per Part VI.B.2 of the permit, or due to a TMDL / BMAP as per Part VIII.B of the permit.
-		None noted at this time.
-		

CHECKLIST A: ATTACHMENTS TO BE SUBMITTED WITH THE ANNUAL REPORTS

Below is a list of items required by the permit that may need to be attached to the annual report. Please check the appropriate box to indicate whether the item is attached or is not applicable for the current reporting period. Please provide the number and the title of the attachments in the blanks provided.

Attached	N/A	Rule / Permit Citation	Required Attachment		Attachment Title
		Part II.F	EACH ANNUAL REPORT: If program resources have decreased from the previous year, a discussion of the impacts on the implementation of the SWMP.	Appendix C	Fiscal Analysis
	\boxtimes	Part III.A.1	EACH ANNUAL REPORT: An explanation of why the minimum inspection frequency in Table II.A.1.a or in a revised/approved FDOT SSWMP, was not met, if applicable.		
	\boxtimes	Part III.A.4	EACH ANNUAL REPORT: A list of the flood control projects that did <u>not</u> include stormwater treatment and an explanation for each of why it did not, if applicable.		
\boxtimes		Part V.B.9	EACH ANNUAL REPORT: Reporting and assessment of monitoring results. [Also addressed in Section III of the Annual Report Form]	Appendix A	Monitoring Program
\boxtimes		Part VI.B.2	EACH ANNUAL REPORT: An evaluation of the effectiveness of the SWMP in reducing pollutant loads discharged from the MS4 that, <u>at a minimum</u> , must include responses to the questions listed in the permit.		See Section VIII of the Annual Report form.
		Part VIII.B.3.e	EACH ANNUAL REPORT: A status report on the implementation of the requirements in this section of the permit and on the estimated load reductions that have occurred for the pollutant(s) of concern.		
	\boxtimes	Part VIII.B.4.f	EACH ANNUAL REPORT after approval of the BPCP: The status of the implementation of the Bacterial Pollution Control Plan (BPCP).		
	\boxtimes	Part III.A.1	YEAR 1: An inventory of all known major outfalls and a map depicting the location of the major outfalls (hard copy or CD-ROM).		
		Part III.A.3	YEAR 1: If have curbs and gutters but no street sweeping program, an explanation of why no street sweeping program and the alternate BMPs used or planned.		
	\square	Part III.A.7.c	YEAR 1: A proactive illicit discharge / connection / dumping inspection program plan.		
	\boxtimes	Part III.A.9.b	YEAR 1: A construction site inspection program plan. [For approval by DEP]		
\boxtimes	\boxtimes	Part V.A.2	YEAR 3: Estimates of annual pollutant loadings and EMCs, and a table comparing the current calculated loadings with those from the previous two Year 3 ARs.	Appendix B	Lee and Polk County NPDES Phase I MS4 Pollutant Load Estimates report
	\boxtimes	Part V.A.3	YEAR 4: If the total annual pollutant loadings have not decreased over the past two permit cycles, revisions to the SWMP, as appropriate.		
	\square	Part V.B.3	YEAR 4: The monitoring plan (with revisions, if applicable).		
	\square	Part VII.C	YEAR 4: An application to renew the permit.		
	\square	Part VIII.B.3.d	YEAR 4: A TMDL Implementation Plan / Supplemental SWMP.		

CHECKLIST B: THE REQUIRED ANNUAL REVIEWS OF WRITTEN STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOPs) & PLANS

The permit requires annual review, and revision if needed, of written Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) and plans (e.g., public education and outreach, training, inspections). Please indicate your review status below. If you have made revisions that need DEP approval, you must complete Section VIII.A of the annual report.

Did not complete review of existing SOP / Plan	Developed <u>new</u> written SOP / Plan	Reviewed & <u>no revision</u> <u>needed</u> to existing SOP / Plan	Reviewed & <u>revised</u> existing SOP / Plan	Permit Citation	Description of Required SOPs / Plans
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.1	SOP and/or schedule of inspections and maintenance activities of the structural controls and roadway stormwater collection system.
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.3	SOP for the litter control program.
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.3	SOP for the street sweeping program.
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.3	SOP for inspections of equipment yards and maintenance shops that support road maintenance activities.
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.5	SOP for inspections of waste treatment, storage, and disposal facilities not covered by an NPDES stormwater permit.
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.7.c	Plan for proactive illicit discharge / connections / dumping inspections.*
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.7.c	SOP for reactive illicit discharge / connections / dumping investigations.
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.7.c	Plan for illicit discharge training.
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.7.d	SOP for spill prevention and response efforts.
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.7.d	Plan for spill prevention and response training.
		\square		Part III.A.8	SOP for inspections of high risk industrial facility outfalls.
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.9.b	Plan for inspections of construction sites.*
		\boxtimes		Part III.A.9.c	Plan for stormwater, erosion and sedimentation BMPs training.

* Revisions to these plans require DEP approval – please complete Section VIII.A of the annual report.

REMINDER LIST OF THE TMDL / BMAP REPORTS TO BE SUBMITTED <u>SEPARATELY</u> FROM AN ANNUAL REPORT					
Rule / Permit Citation	Report Title	Due Date			
Part VIII.B.3.a	6 MONTHS from effective date of permit: TMDL Prioritization Report.	7/1/13			
Part VIII.B.3.b	12 MONTHS from effective date of permit: TMDL Monitoring and Assessment Plan.	1/1/14			
Part VIII.B.3.c	6 MONTHS from receiving analyses from the lab: TMDL Monitoring Report.	TBD			
Part VIII.B.4	30 MONTHS from start date per TMDL Prioritization Report: A Bacterial Pollution Control Plan (BPCP).	TBD			

BMAP Reporting

MS4 permittees are NOT required to submit the annual report required by any BMAP that applies to them since the NPDES Stormwater Staff can obtain them from the department's Watershed Planning and Coordination staff. However, to assure that the stormwater staff are aware of which BMAPs apply to the MS4 permittees and when the latest BMAP annual report was submitted, please complete the information below, if applicable:

Rule/Permit Citation	BMAP Title	Date BMAP Annual Report Submitted to DEP
Part VIII.B.2		

END OF REVISED TAILORED MS4 AR FORM – CYCLE 3 PERMIT

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A Monitoring Program (Permit Section III.A)
- B Year 3 Pollutant Load Estimates (Checklist A)
- C NPDES Fiscal Analysis (Permit Section IV.A and B)

APPENDIX A

Monitoring Program (Permit Section III.A)

Monitoring Program (Permit Section III.A)

Item	Documentation/Record
Water Quality Monitoring Map	Locations of FDOT major outfalls and
	corresponding Polk County water quality
	monitoring stations
Water Quality Analysis	Charts and trends of nutrients for waterbodies
	where there are FDOT major outfalls and
	corresponding Polk County water quality
	monitoring stations



Water Quality Analysis (Permit Section III.A)

Polk County collected data for Total Nitrogen (TN) and Total Phosphorus (TP) at each of the stations listed in Table 1 from January 2004 to December 2014.

Below represents trends in water quality data obtained from Polk County monitoring stations where FDOT major outfalls have an influence in ambient water quality. FDOT's approach included mapping the Polk County ambient water quality monitoring stations along with FDOT's major outfalls. Only data from the County's ambient water quality monitoring stations that were located downstream from an FDOT major outfall were analyzed. The Polk County water quality stations which do not receive any influence from FDOT major outfalls are not reported here, and in some cases, there were no monitoring stations downstream of FDOT major outfalls to report.

Table 1 provides a list of FDOT major outfalls and the associated Polk County monitoring stations. Figure 1 shows the locations of the FDOT major outfalls and the Polk County monitoring stations. Tables 2 through 49 show the water quality data at the Polk County monitoring stations and the trend analysis.
Outfall ID	Polk County Station ID	Outfall ID	Polk County Station ID	
FDOT-600-10	none	FDOT-37-15	none	
FDOT-35-170	Gibson1	FDOT-37-10	none	
FDOT-546-15	none	FDOT-60-20	none	
FDOT-539-5	none	FDOT-37-20	none	
FDOT-563-15	Hunter1	FDOT-60-25	none	
FDOT-37-65	Hunter1	FDOT-60-130	none	
FDOT-563-8	Hunter1	FDOT-37-15	none	
FDOT-37-60	Hollingsworth1	FDOT-37-10	none	
FDOT-563-30	none	FDOT-60-20	none	
FDOT-563-25	none	FDOT-37-20	none	
FDOT-546-30	Parker1	FDOT-60-25	none	
FDOT-546-75	Parker1	FDOT-60-30	none	
FDOT-600-30	Parker1	FDOT-555-35	none	
FDOT-659-15	Saddle Crk Pk Y	FDOT-555-40	Lulu1	
FDOT-35-145	Bonny1	FDOT-540-65	none	
FDOT-35-135	Banana4	FDOT-540-60	Summit1	
FDOT-60-45	none	FDOT-542-05	Ltl Elbert1	
FDOT-60-35	none	FDOT-555-55	Spring1	
FDOT-37-50	none	FDOT-544-115	Hartridge1	
FDOT-540-70	Winterset1	OF187	none	
FDOT-540-75	none	FDOT-555-85	Conine1	
FDOT-600-210	Lenal	FDOT-35-155	Parker1	
FDOT-655-10	Lena Run10	FDOT-600-235	none	
FDOT-544-90	Blue1	OF16120-3504-03	Eagle1	
FDOT-35-105	none	OF16300-3511-05	none	
FDOT-35-100	Peace Rvr10	OF16300-3511-03	Roy1	
FDOT-555-25	McLeod1	OF16300-3511-01	none	
FDOT-555-30	McLeod1	OF16118-3503-03	none	
FDOT-35-65	Ft Meade Pit NE	OF16320-3408-11	none	
FDOT-35-50	Ft Meade Pit SW	OF16320-3409-01	none	
FDOT-35-45	none	Polk4	none	
FDOT-600-275	Haines1	Polk5	none	
FDOT-600-280	Haines1	FDOT-542-07	Ltl Elbert1	
FDOT-60-130	none			

Table 1. FDOT major outfall and associated Polk County water quality monitoring station



 Table 2. Total Nitrogen at Banana4 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-35-135)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at Banana4. The correlation coefficient is 0.10, so the trend line of the data explains 10% of the variance in the data.

Table 3. Total Phosphorus at Banana4 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-35-135)



There is an overall positive trend in Total Phosphorus at Banana4. The correlation coefficient is 0.11, so the trend line of the data explains 11% of the variance in the data.



 Table 4. Total Nitrogen at Blue1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-544-90)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Blue1. The correlation coefficient is -0.06, so the trend line of the data explains 6% of the variance in the data.



Table 5. Total Phosphorus at Blue1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-544-90)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Blue1. The correlation coefficient is -0.51, so the trend line of the data explains 51% of the variance in the data.



 Table 6. Total Nitrogen at Bonny1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-35-145)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Bonny1. The correlation coefficient is -0.08, so the trend line of the data explains 8% of the variance in the data.





There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Bonny1. The correlation coefficient is -0.20, so the trend line of the data explains 20% of the variance in the data.



 Table 8. Total Nitrogen at Conine1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-555-85)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Conine1. The correlation coefficient is -0.12, so the trend line of the data explains 12% of the variance in the data.



Table 9. Total Phosphorus at Conine1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-555-85)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Conine1. The correlation coefficient is -0.46, so the trend line of the data explains 46% of the variance in the data.



Table 10. Total Nitrogen at Eagle1 (FDOT major outfall: OF16120-3504-03)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Eagle1. The correlation coefficient is -0.09, so the trend line of the data explains 9% of the variance in the data.



Table 11. Total Phosphorus at Eagle1 (FDOT major outfall: OF16120-3504-03)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Eagle1. The correlation coefficient is -0.42, so the trend line of the data explains 42% of the variance in the data.



Table 12. Total Nitrogen at Ft Meade Pit NE (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-35-65)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at Ft Meade Pit NE. The correlation coefficient is 0.25, so the trend line of the data explains 25% of the variance in the data.

Table 13. Total Phosphorus at Ft. Meade Pit NE (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-35-65)



There is an overall positive trend in Total Phosphorus at Ft Meade Pit NE. The correlation coefficient is 0.05, so the trend line of the data explains 5% of the variance in the data.



Table 14. Total Nitrogen at Ft Meade Pit SW (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-35-50)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Ft Meade Pit SW. The correlation coefficient is -0.11, so the trend line of the data explains 11% of the variance in the data.



Table 15. Total Phosphorus at Ft Meade Pit SW (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-35-50)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Ft Meade Pit SW. The correlation coefficient is -0.08, so the trend line of the data explains 8% of the variance in the data.



Table 16. Total Nitrogen at Gibson1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-35-170)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at Gibson1. The correlation coefficient is 0.14, so the trend line of the data explains 14% of the variance in the data.

Table 17. Total Phosphorus at Gibson1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-35-170)



There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Gibson1. The correlation coefficient is -0.62, so the trend line of the data explains 62% of the variance in the data.



Table 18. Total Nitrogen at Haines1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-600-275 & FDOT-600-280)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at Haines1. The correlation coefficient is 0.02, so the trend line of the data explains 2% of the variance in the data.

Table 19. Total Phosphorus at Haines1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-600-275 & FDOT-600-280)



There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Haines1. The correlation coefficient is -0.59, so the trend line of the data explains 59% of the variance in the data.



Table 20. . Total Nitrogen at Hatridge1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-544-115)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at Hatridge1. The correlation coefficient is 0.86, so the trend line of the data explains 86% of the variance in the data.

Table 21. Total Phosphorus at Hatridge1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-544-115)



There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Hatridge1. The correlation coefficient is -0.09, so the trend line of the data explains 9% of the variance in the data.



Table 22. Total Nitrogen at Hollingsworth1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-37-60)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at Hollingsworth1. The correlation coefficient is 0.25, so the trend line of the data explains 25% of the variance in the data.

Table 23. Total Phosphorus at Hollingsworth1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-37-60)



There is an overall positive trend in Total Phosphorus at Hollingsworth1. The correlation coefficient is 0.16, so the trend line of the data explains 16% of the variance in the data.



Table 24. Total Nitrogen at Hunter1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-563-15, FDOT-37-65, & FDOT-563-8)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at Hunter1. The correlation coefficient is 0.21, so the trend line of the data explains 21% of the variance in the data.

Table 25. Total Phosphorus at Hunter1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-563-15, FDOT-37-65, & FDOT-563-8)



There is an overall positive trend in Total Phosphorus at Hunter1. The correlation coefficient is 0.11, so the trend line of the data explains 11% of the variance in the data.



Table 26. Total Nitrogen at LenaRun1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-655-10)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at LenaRun1. The correlation coefficient is 0.14, so the trend line of the data explains 14% of the variance in the data.

Table 27. Total Phosphorus at LenaRun1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-655-10)



There is an overall positive trend in Total Phosphorus at LenaRun1. The correlation coefficient is 0.05, so the trend line of the data explains 5% of the variance in the data.



 Table 28. Total Nitrogen at Lena1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-600-210)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at Lena1. The correlation coefficient is 0.01, so the trend line of the data explains 1% of the variance in the data.

Table 29. Total Phosphorus at Lena1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-600-210)



There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Lena1. The correlation coefficient is -0.62, so the trend line of the data explains 62% of the variance in the data.



Table 30. Total Nitrogen at Ltl Elbert1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-542-05 & FDOT-542-07)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at Ltl Elbert1. The correlation coefficient is 0.24, so the trend line of the data explains 24% of the variance in the data.

Table 31. Total Phosphorus at Ltl Elbert1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-542-05 & FDOT-542-07)



There is an overall positive trend in Total Phosphorus at Ltl Elbert1. The correlation coefficient is 0.18, so the trend line of the data explains 18% of the variance in the data.



 Table 32. Total Nitrogen at Lulu1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-555-40)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Lulu1. The correlation coefficient is -0.16, so the trend line of the data explains 16% of the variance in the data.





There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Lulu1. The correlation coefficient is -0.84, so the trend line of the data explains 84% of the variance in the data.



Table 34. Total Nitrogen at McLeod1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-555-25 & FDOT-555-30)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at McLeod1. The correlation coefficient is 0.06, so the trend line of the data explains 6% of the variance in the data.



Table 35. Total Phosphorus at McLeod1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-555-25 & FDOT-555-30)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at McLeod1. The correlation coefficient is -0.27, so the trend line of the data explains 27% of the variance in the data.



Table 36. Total Nitrogen at Parker1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-546-30, FDOT-546-75 & FDOT-600-30)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Parker1. The correlation coefficient is -0.06, so the trend line of the data explains 6% of the variance in the data.

Table 37. Total Phosphorus at Parker1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-546-30, FDOT-546-75 & FDOT-600-30)



There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Parker1. The correlation coefficient is -0.54, so the trend line of the data explains 54% of the variance in the data.



Table 38. Total Nitrogen at PeaceRvr10 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-35-100)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at PeaceRvr10. The correlation coefficient is 0.03, so the trend line of the data explains 3% of the variance in the data.





There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at PeaceRvr10. The correlation coefficient is -0.38, so the trend line of the data explains 38% of the variance in the data.



Table 40. Total Nitrogen at Roy1 (FDOT major outfall: OF16300-3511-03)

There is an overall positive trend in Total Nitrogen at Roy1. The correlation coefficient is 0.15, so the trend line of the data explains 15% of the variance in the data.

Table 41. Total Phosphorus at Roy1 (FDOT major outfall: OF16300-3511-03)



There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Roy1. The correlation coefficient is -0.43, so the trend line of the data explains 43% of the variance in the data.



Table 42. Total Nitrogen at Saddle Crk Pk Y (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-659-15)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Saddle Crk Pk Y. The correlation coefficient is - 0.01, so the trend line of the data explains 1% of the variance in the data.

Table 43. Total Phosphorus at Saddle Crk Pk Y (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-659-15)



There is an overall positive trend in Total Phosphorus at Saddle Crk Pk Y. The correlation coefficient is 0.03, so the trend line of the data explains 3% of the variance in the data.



 Table 44. Total Nitrogen at Spring1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-555-55)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Spring1. The correlation coefficient is -0.49, so the trend line of the data explains 49% of the variance in the data.





There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Spring1. The correlation coefficient is -0.58, so the trend line of the data explains 58% of the variance in the data.



 Table 46. Total Nitrogen at Summit1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-540-60)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Summit1. The correlation coefficient is -0.02, so the trend line of the data explains 2% of the variance in the data.

Table 47. Total Phosphorus at Summit1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-540-60)



There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Summit1. The correlation coefficient is -0.56, so the trend line of the data explains 56% of the variance in the data.



Table 48. Total Nitrogen at Winterset1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-540-70)

There is an overall negative trend in Total Nitrogen at Winterset1. The correlation coefficient is -0.16, so the trend line of the data explains 16% of the variance in the data.

Table 49. Total Phosphorus at Winterset1 (FDOT major outfall: FDOT-540-70)



There is an overall negative trend in Total Phosphorus at Winterset1. The correlation coefficient is -0.56, so the trend line of the data explains 56% of the variance in the data.

APPENDIX B

Year 3 Pollutant Load Estimates (Checklist A)

Year 3 Pollutant Load Estimates (Checklist A)

Item	Documentation/Record
Annual Pollutant Load Estimates	Lee and Polk County NPDES Phase I MS4
and Event Mean Concentration	Pollutant Load Estimates report



Lee and Polk County NPDES Phase I MS4 Pollutant Load Estimates FDEP Permit No. FLS000035 (Lee County) FDEP Permit No. FLS000015 (Polk County)

> Prepared for: Florida Department of Transportation – District One 801 N. Broadway Ave. Bartow, FL 33831



Project No: 1-1464-029 April 2014



ENGINEERING ENVIRONMENTAL ECOLOGICAL

March 3, 2014

Rob Dwyer Florida Department of Transportation PO Box 1249 801 N. Broadway Ave. Bartow, FL 33831

Subject: Lee and Polk County Annual Pollutant Load Estimates and Event Mean Concentrations NPDES Phase I MS4 Annual Reports (Cycle 3, Year 3) FDEP Permit Numbers FLS000035 and FLS000015 E Sciences Project No.: 1-1464-029

Dear Mr. Dwyer:

We are pleased to present the Annual Pollutant Load Estimates and Event Mean Concentrations for the Lee and Polk County NPDES Phase I Municipal Separate Strom Sewer System Permits, FLS000035 and FLS000015, for the Florida Department of Transportation District One. This effort is a required task for the year 3 annual reports.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide these services to you under this contract. If you need additional information, please do not hesitate to call us.

Sincerely, E SCIENCES, INCORPORATED

Robert Potts Project Manager

James S. Bassett, P.E. Principal

E Sciences, INCORPORATED 34 East Pine Street • Orlando, FL 32801 ph 407-481-9006 fax 407481-9627 www.esciencesinc.com

Table of Contents

- Section A: Methods
- Section B: Major Outfall Inventory
- Section C: Sources and Coefficients Used for Pollutant Load Estimates
- Section D: Annual Pollutant Load Estimate Calculation Worksheets
- Section E: Major Outfall Drainage Maps
- Section F: Total Annual Pollutant Load Estimates
- Section G: Comparison of Annual Pollutant Load Estimates

Section A:

Methods

Florida Department of Transportation, District One Lee and Polk County NPDES Phase I Annual Report (Cycle 3, Year 3)

Section A - Methods

A.1 – Introduction

As required for the Term 3, Year 3 annual report for the Lee County (FLS000035) and Polk County (FLS000015) NPDES Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4) Permits, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) District One has developed the annual pollutant load estimates and event mean concentrations (EMCs) for each major outfall within the Department's MS4 boundary in Lee County and Polk County.

To complete the process, the FDOT completed these steps:

- 1. Verification of the major outfall inventory in Lee and Polk Counties
- 2. Delineation of major outfall drainage basins
- 3. Review of soil and land use classification for each major outfall drainage basin
- 4. Generation of pollutant load model
- 5. Identification and calculation of pollutant load reductions
- 6. Generation of combined pollutant load estimates for the FDOT major outfalls

A.2 – Verification of Major Outfall Inventory

The major outfall inventory for Lee and Polk counties was verified by comparing historic outfall information with recent inspection documentation. Only outfalls meeting the definition of a major outfall were included in the assessment. The Lee and Polk County Phase I MS4 permits define a major outfall as a municipal separate storm sewer outfall that:

• discharges from a single pipe with an inside diameter of 36 inches or more or its equivalent (discharge from a single conveyance other than circular pipe which is associated with a drainage area of more than 50 acres); or

• for municipal separate storm sewers that receive stormwater from lands zoned for industrial activity (based on comprehensive zoning plans or the equivalent), an outfall that discharges from a single pipe with an inside diameter of 12 inches or more or from its equivalent (discharge from other than a circular pipe associated with a drainage area of 2 acres or more).

A.3 – Delineation of Major Outfall Drainage Basins

Drainage basins were delineated for each of these major outfalls using a combination of FDOT plan sets, previous delineations, digital elevation models, aerials, and field reconnaissance. Those areas contributing to FDOT outfalls from outside the FDOT right-of-way were estimated using digital elevation models. A combined drainage basin delineation for each major outfall was created using the FDOT

contributing area and non-FDOT contributing area for each major outfall. Shapefiles were created for these major outfall drainage basin delineations.

A.3 – Soil and Land Use Classification

Soil and land use classifications were identified for the major outfall drainage basins. Soil data and shapefiles were provided by the National Resource Conservation Service (NRCS). NRCS hydrologic soil identification provided in the soil coverage for each county was used determine runoff coefficients.

Land use files were provided by the Southwest Florida Water Management District (SWFMWD) and South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD). Land use files describe specific land use criteria that are defined by the Florida Land Use and Cover Classification System (FLUCCS). These FLUCCS codes are used to identify land uses that correlate with the land uses defined for the selection of runoff and EMC values used in the pollutant load model.

A.4 – Pollutant Load Model

The annual pollutant load model incorporated the following data to calculate the raw pollutant load flowing to the major outfall:

- Major outfall basin boundaries
- NRCS soil data
- FLUCCS
- Runoff coefficients derived from land use types and soil classification
- EMCs derived from land use types
- 30 year average annual rainfall

The annual pollutant load model calculates the total volume of runoff from individual polygons within each basin area based on composite land use and soil hydrologic group code. The volume from each polygon is then multiplied by the EMC for the land use designation (Equation 1). Allocation of the appropriate EMC for each polygon is completed by referencing designated EMC values for each land use code. Runoff volume is calculated by multiplying the 30 year mean annual rainfall by the total area and runoff coefficient for each polygon (Equation 2). The runoff coefficient is determined by the combination of land use code and NRCS hydrologic soil group for each polygon.

Equation 1 $PL = 2.205 \times 10^{-3} \times EMC \times RO$ Where:

where: PL = Pollutant Load (lbs/yr) EMC = Event Mean Concentration (mg/L)RO = Runoff Volume (m³/yr)

Equation 2 R0 = $102.8 \times R_m \times A \times C_{R0}$ Where: R0 = Runoff Volume (m³/yr) R_m = 30 year Mean Annual Rainfall (in/yr) A = Area (ac) C_{R0} = Runoff Coefficient (unitless) Total annual pollutant load values were then broken into a wet season (June to September) and a dry season (October to May). The wet season in Central Florida accounts for 55% of the total annual rainfall and the dry season accounts for 45% based on average monthly rainfall data from the National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration (1971 – 2000).

A.5 – Identification and Calculation of Pollutant Load Reductions

Pollutant load reductions were identified which included street sweeping, education credits, and Stormwater structural best management practices. Street sweeping contracts were reviewed for appropriate pollutant load reductions within the major outfall drainage basins. An education credit of 1 percent was included as a pollutant load reduction based on FDOT employee training in illicit discharge detection and elimination, spill response, good housekeeping, and erosion and sediment control. The FDOT inventory and plans were reviewed to include any structural best management practices such as grassed swales and stormwater ponds. Using this information, pollutant load reductions were calculated for each major outfall. These reductions were then subtracted from the raw estimated load to the outfall to generate an estimated total load to the outfall.

A.6 - Combined Pollutant Load Estimates

The sum of all estimated loads from the major outfalls within the County to the receiving waterbody was calculated once estimates were generated for each major outfall. These estimates will be used as a baseline for comparison in subsequent Year 3 annual reports.

Section B:

Major Outfall Inventory

LEE AND POLK COUNTY MAJOR OUTFALL INVENTORY									
Figure ID	Outfall ID	County	Receiving Water Body	State Road	Latitude	Longitude			
1	Lee1	LEE	Caloosahatchee River	SR 41	26.6394	-81.8793			
2	Lee2	LEE	Estero River	SR 41	26.4350	-81.8108			
3	Lee4	LEE	Unnamed Tributary	SR 80	26.7078	-81.6184			
4	OF12060-3535-02	LEE	Caloosahatchee River	SR 78	26.7026	-81.8422			
5	OF12010-1957361-01	LEE	Imperial River	SR 41	26.3361	-81.8061			
6	OF12020-1956101-11	LEE	Caloosahatchee River	SR 80	26.7093	-81.5993			
7	OF12060-3535-03	LEE	Poley Creek	SR 78	26.7085	-81.8394			
8	OF12060-3533-03	LEE	Caloosahatchee River	SR 78	26.6954	-81.8540			
9	LE303	LEE	Wetland	SR 31	26.7119	-81.7601			
10	OF289	LEE	Caloosahatchee River	SR 867	26.5927	-81.8934			
11	FM001	LEE	Caloosahatchee River	SR 80	26.6577	-81.8531			
12	OF295	LEE	Manuel's Branch	SR 41	26.6262	-81.8724			
13	FM059	LEE	Manuel's Branch	SR 41	26.6261	-81.8724			
14	FM073	LEE	Caloosahatchee River	SR 80	26.6476	-81.8667			
15	FM078	LEE	Billy Creek	SR 80	26.6507	-81.8531			
16	OFS206	LEE	Caloosahatchee River	SR 80	26.6492	-81.8632			
17	OF12020-3530-02	LEE	Canal to Caloosahatchee Riv	SR 80	26.6889	-81.7995			
18	OF12040-3515-02	LEE	Caloosahatchee River	SR 867	26.5306	-81.9306			
19	OF12040-3515-03	LEE	Caloosahatchee River	SR 867	26.5376	-81.9213			
20	OF12040-3514-01	LEE	Canal to Deep Lagoon	SR 867	26.5433	-81.9166			
21	OF12004-3505-03	LEE	Hurricane Bay	SR 865	26.4810	-81.9485			
22	OF12004-3505-04	LEE	Hurricane Bay	SR 865	26.4855	-81.9430			
23	OF16320-3408-11	POLK	Ditch to Green Swamp	SR 400	28.2258	-81.6594			
24	FDOT-37-50	POLK	Lake Miriam	SR 37	27.9819	-81.9555			
25	FDOT-540-70	POLK	Lake Winterset	SR 540	27.9829	-81.6798			
26	FDOT-540-60	POLK	Lake Summit	SR 540	28.0001	-81.6912			
27	FDOT-37-20	POLK	Phosphate Pit	SR 37	27.9071	-81.9739			
28	FDOT-37-15	POLK	Ellis Branch	SR 37	27.9014	-81.9718			
29	FDOT-37-10	POLK	Alafia River	SR 37	27.8911	-81.9738			
30	FDOT-37-65	POLK	Lake Hunter	SR 37	28.0318	-81.9628			
31	FDOT-35-170	POLK	Lake Gibson	SR 35	28.1166	-81.9735			
32	FDOT-563-15	POLK	Lake Hunter	SR 563	28.0360	-81.9670			
33	FDOT-563-25	POLK	Lake Wire	SR 563	28.0468	-81.9624			
34	FDOT-600-10	POLK	Itchepackesassa Creek	SR 92	28.0382	-82.0105			
35	FDOT-544-90	POLK	Lake Blue	SR 544	28.0502	-81.7724			
36	FDOT-600-275	POLK	Lake Haines	SR 92	28.0952	-81.7189			
37	FDOT-60-25	POLK	Phosphate Pit	SR 60	27.8947	-81.9621			
38	FDOT-546-30	POLK	Lake Parker	SR 92	28.0535	-81.9362			
39	FDOT-546-75	POLK	Lake Parker	SR 92	28.0498	-81.9262			
40	FDOT-600-30	POLK	Lake Parker	SR 92	28.0493	-81.9252			
41	FDOT-600-210	POLK	Lake Lena	SR 92	28.0598	-81.8014			
42	FDOT-655-10	POLK	Lake Lena Run	SR 655	28.0515	-81.8000			
43	FDOT-555-25	POLK	Lake McLeod	SR 17	27.9720	-81.7578			
44	FDOT-35-65	POLK	McCullough Creek	SR 17	27.7630	-81.8017			
45	FDOT-555-30	POLK	Lake McLeod	SR 17	27.9750	-81.7517			
46	FDOT-35-100	POLK	Peace River	SR 98	27.8655	-81.8284			
LEE AND POLK COUNTY MAJOR OUTFALL INVENTORY									
---	-----------------	--------	------------------------	------------	----------	-----------	--	--	
Figure ID	Outfall ID	County	Receiving Water Body	State Road	Latitude	Longitude			
47	FDOT-37-60	POLK	Lake Hollingsworth	SR 37	28.0221	-81.9520			
48	FDOT-60-130	POLK	Peace Creek	SR 60	27.9046	-81.6146			
49	FDOT-555-35	POLK	Peace Creek	SR 17	27.9916	-81.7409			
50	FDOT-555-40	POLK	Lake Lulu	SR 17	27.9985	-81.7355			
51	FDOT-555-55	POLK	Spring Lake	SR 17	28.0380	-81.7333			
52	OF187	POLK	Lake Ida	SR 17	28.0523	-81.7333			
53	FDOT-555-85	POLK	Lake Conine	SR 17	28.0599	-81.7304			
54	FDOT-542-05	POLK	Lake Elbert	SR 542	28.0222	-81.7163			
55	FDOT-540-65	POLK	Lake Dexter	SR 540	27.9859	-81.6861			
56	FDOT-60-45	POLK	N. Bear Branch	SR 60	27.8979	-81.8861			
57	FDOT-60-35	POLK	N. Bear Branch	SR 60	27.8985	-81.9015			
58	FDOT-600-280	POLK	Channel to Lake Haines	SR 92	28.0957	-81.7171			
59	FDOT-600-235	POLK	Lake Elsie	SR 92	28.1050	-81.6338			
60	FDOT-60-20	POLK	Ellis Branch	SR 60	27.8951	-81.9678			
61	FDOT-546-15	POLK	Itchepackesassa Creek	SR 92	28.0528	-81.9751			
62	FDOT-35-135	POLK	Banana Lake	SR 35	28.0023	-81.9034			
63	FDOT-35-145	POLK	Lake Bonny	SR 35	28.0338	-81.9349			
64	FDOT-35-50	POLK	McCullough Creek	SR 17	27.7554	-81.8050			
65	FDOT-539-5	POLK	Lake Bonnet	SR 539	28.0515	-81.9696			
66	FDOT-540-75	POLK	Lake Ruby	SR 540	27.9782	-81.6571			
67	FDOT-542-07	POLK	Lake Elbert	SR 542	28.0216	-81.7162			
68	FDOT-544-115	POLK	Lake Hartridge	SR 544	28.0475	-81.7480			
69	FDOT-563-30	POLK	Lake Wire	SR 563	28.0483	-81.9609			
70	FDOT-563-8	POLK	Poley Creek	SR 563	28.0258	-81.9731			
71	FDOT-60-30	POLK	Phosphate Pit	SR 60	27.8943	-81.9595			
72	FDOT-659-15	POLK	Saddle Creek	SR 659	28.0585	-81.9079			
73	FDOT-35-105	POLK	Bear Creek	SR 98	27.9065	-81.8437			
74	FDOT-35-155	POLK	Lake Parker	SR 98	28.0794	-81.9640			
75	OF16120-3504-03	POLK	Eagle Lake	SR 540	27.9913	-81.7605			
76	OF16118-3503-03	POLK	Spirit Lake	SR 540	28.0033	-81.7751			
77	OF16300-3511-01	POLK	Lake Rey	SR 540	28.0041	-81.7115			
78	OF16300-3511-03	POLK	Lake Roy	SR 540	28.0046	-81.7078			
79	OF16300-3511-05	POLK	Lake Elizabeth	SR 540	28.0048	-81.6994			
80	OF16320-3409-01	POLK	Wetland	SR 400	28.0505	-82.0147			
81	FDOT-35-45	POLK	Peace River	SR 35	27.7394	-81.8013			
82	Polk4	POLK	Wetland	SR 400	28.0661	-81.9963			
83	Polk5	POLK	Wetland	SR 539	28.0698	-81.9859			

Section C:

Sources and Coefficients Used for Pollutant Load Estimates

Sources and Coefficients Used for	Pollutant Load Estimate Calculations
-----------------------------------	---

Lee County									
Runoff Coefficients (C) - Meteorological Zone 4									
Land Lise Category		Soil T	уре						
Land Ose Category	Α	В	С	D					
Residential, low density	0.079	0.144	0.210	0.262					
Residential, medium density	0.231	0.273	0.324	0.362					
Residential, high density	0.436	0.467	0.503	0.529					
Highway	0.627	0.642	0.659	0.672					
Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.011	0.050	0.105	0.149					
Commercial and services	0.628	0.642	0.658	0.671					

EMC Runoff Concentrations (mg/L)											
Land Use Category	Total N	Total P	${\bf BOD}_{{\bf 5}}$	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
Agriculture, citrus	2.24	0.183	2.55	15.5	0.003	0.012					
Agriculture, general	2.790	0.431	3.8	43.2	0.013	0.021					
Commercial, low intensity	1.180	0.179	7.700	57.500	0.018	0.094					
Highway	1.640	0.220	5.200	37.300	0.320	0.126					
Industrial, light	1.200	0.260	7.6	60	0.003	0.057					
Mining/Extractive	1.180	0.150	76	60	0.003	0.057					
Residential, low density	1.610	0.191	4.7	23	0.008	0.031					
Residential, medium density	2.070	0.327	7.9	37.5	0.016	0.062					
Residential, high density	2.320	0.520	11.3	77.8	0.009	0.086					
Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.150	0.055	1.4	8.4	0	0					

Polk County									
Runoff Coefficients (C) - Meteorological Zone 2									
Land Lise Category		Soil T	ype						
Land Ose Category	А	В	С	D					
Residential, low density	0.069	0.126	0.187	0.237					
Residential, medium density	0.220	0.257	0.303	0.339					
Residential, high density	0.423	0.450	0.483	0.508					
Highway	0.612	0.626	0.641	0.654					
Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.007	0.039	0.087	0.128					
Commercial and services	0.613	0.625	0.641	0.653					

Removal Efficiencies for Common Stormwater Treatment Facilities										
	Total N	Total P	\mathbf{BOD}_{5}	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
Wet Detention Ponds	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%				
Dry Retention Ponds	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Grass Swales	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%				

Treatment Train Reduction Formula	
BMP TT Eff = Eff ₁ +((1-Eff ₁)*Eff ₂)	

All C and *EMC values obtained from FDEP "Evaluation of Current Stormwater Design Criteria within the State of Florida, Final Report" - Harvey Harper, Ph.D., P.E., June 2007

*EMC values for COD and TKN values obtained from "Environmental Protection Agency. Final Report of the Nationwide Urban Runoff Program, Final Praft, Vol. 1. WH-554. Water Planning Division, December 1983"

Dissolved Conversion factor obtained from "Environmental Protection Agency: The Metals Translator: Guidance for Calculating a Total Recoverable Permit Limit From a Dissolved Criterion, EPA 823-B-96-007, June 1996"

Wet Detention and Grass Swales removal efficiencies for TN and TP obtained from FDEP "Evaluation of Current Stormwater Design Criteria within the State of Florida, Final Report" - Harvey Harper, Ph.D., P.E., June 2007

Wet Detention treatment Based on 7-day detention times

Other Wet Detention removal efficiencies obtained from UCF "Effectiveness of detention/retention Basins for Removal of Heavy Metals in Highway Runoff" Harper, Yousef and Wanielista; 1985

Exfiltration System removal efficiencies for TN and TP obtained from SFWMD BMP Manual

Other removal efficiencies for Grass Swales and French Drains were assumed to be equal to that of the efficiencies documented in "Pollutant Removal Efficiencies for Typical Stormwater Management Systems in Florida" -Harvey Harper, Ph.D., P.E., June 1999

Section D:

Pollutant Load Estimate Calculation Worksheets

Outfall:Lee1Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 41

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1	В	0.08	Commercial, low intensity	0.7	0.113	4.9	36.2	0.0113	0.0592
2	В	0.03	Commercial, low intensity	0.3	0.044	1.9	14.1	0.0044	0.0231
3	D	3.94	Commercial, low intensity	39.5	5.989	257.6	1923.7	0.6022	3.1448
4	А	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.020	0.9	6.6	0.0021	0.0107
5	В	0.69	Commercial, low intensity	6.7	1.010	43.5	324.5	0.1016	0.5305
6	D	1.09	Commercial, low intensity	11.0	1.666	71.7	535.2	0.1676	0.8750
7	D	0.83	Commercial, low intensity	8.3	1.257	54.1	403.8	0.1264	0.6601
8	А	0.60	Commercial, low intensity	5.6	0.855	36.8	274.6	0.0860	0.4490
9	В	1.06	Commercial, low intensity	10.2	1.548	66.6	497.4	0.1557	0.8131
10	D	1.61	Commercial, low intensity	16.1	2.448	105.3	786.4	0.2462	1.2856
11	В	1.62	Highway	21.6	2.896	68.4	491.0	4.2121	1.6585
12	D	7.82	Highway	109.2	14.647	346.2	2483.3	21.3046	8.3887
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	229.3	32.5	1057.8	7776.9	27.0	17.9

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.3	0.325	10.6	77.8	0.2702	0.1790				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.8	1.786	0	0	0	0				
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

Overall Summary										
Tatala	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
lotais	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	229.3	32.493	1057.8	7776.9	27.0201	17.8984				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	5.1	2.111	10.6	77.8	0.2702	0.1790				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	224.2	30.4	1047.2	7699.1	26.7	17.7				

Outfall:	Lee2
Receiving Body of Water:	Estero River
County:	LEE
State Road:	SR 41

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
13	А	0.49	Commercial, low intensity	4.6	0.697	30.0	223.9	0.0701	0.3660
14	А	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.010	0.4	3.3	0.0010	0.0055
15	А	0.06	Residential, low density	0.1	0.011	0.3	1.3	0.0004	0.0017
16	А	0.62	Residential, high density	7.9	1.777	38.6	265.9	0.0308	0.2939
17	А	0.11	Residential, high density	1.4	0.308	6.7	46.1	0.0053	0.0509
18	А	0.72	Commercial, low intensity	6.7	1.020	43.9	327.6	0.1025	0.5355
19	А	0.17	Agriculture, general	0.1	0.010	0.1	1.0	0.0003	0.0005
20	А	0.63	Commercial, low intensity	5.9	0.893	38.4	286.7	0.0898	0.4688
21	А	1.54	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.012	0.3	1.8	0.0000	0.0000
22	А	0.14	Residential, low density	0.2	0.027	0.7	3.3	0.0011	0.0044
23	А	4.55	Highway	59.2	7.945	187.8	1347.0	11.5563	4.5503
24	A	0.15	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000
25	A	0.43	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	86.5	12.7	347.2	2508.5	11.9	6.3

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	0.9	0.127	3.5	25.1	0.1186	0.0628					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.7	0.452	0	0	0	0					
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%					

Overall Summary											
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu T											
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)					
Raw Pollutant Load	86.5	12.714	347.2	2508.5	11.8577	6.2774					
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	1.6	0.579	3.5	25.1	0.1186	0.0628					
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	84.9	12.1	343.7	2483.4	11.7	6.2					

Outfall:Lee4Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 80

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
26	А	0.00	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
27	В	1.09	Agriculture, general	1.9	0.297	2.6	29.7	0.0089	0.0144	
28	C	0.07	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.005	0.1	0.8	0.0000	0.0000	
29	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
30	В	0.07	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000	
31	А	0.25	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.0000	0.0000	
32	В	0.12	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.6	0.0000	0.0000	
33	А	0.43	Agriculture, general	0.2	0.026	0.2	2.6	0.0008	0.0013	
34	A	13.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	2.1	0.100	2.5	15.2	0.0000	0.0000	
35	В	4.56	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	3.3	0.159	4.0	24.3	0.0000	0.0000	
36	А	3.26	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.5	0.025	0.6	3.8	0.0000	0.0000	
37	А	0.44	Agriculture, general	0.2	0.026	0.2	2.6	0.0008	0.0013	
38	В	0.11	Residential, low density	0.3	0.039	0.9	4.6	0.0016	0.0063	
39	В	1.18	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
40	С	0.19	Highway	2.7	0.357	8.4	60.5	0.5189	0.2043	
41	А	4.75	Highway	61.9	8.304	196.3	1408.0	12.0789	4.7561	
42	В	4.51	Highway	60.2	8.076	190.9	1369.3	11.7473	4.6255	
		Raw Poll	utant Load Total (lb/yr) =	133.6	17.4	407.2	2922.8	24.4	9.6	

Outfall:Lee4Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 80

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.3	0.174	4.1	29.2	0.2436	0.0961					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.6	0.395	0	0	0	0					
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%					
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%					
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency											
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	60%	80%	70%	96%	74%	96%					
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	79.0	13.5	282.2	2763.4	17.8441	9.0850					

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Tota										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	133.6	17.423	407.2	2922.8	24.3573	9.6092				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	80.9	14.052	286.3	2792.6	18.0877	9.1811				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	52.6	3.4	120.9	130.2	6.3	0.4				

Outfall:OF12060-3535-02Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 78

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1843	В	0.06	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.3	0.0000	0.0000	
1844	В	0.27	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.009	0.2	1.4	0.0000	0.0000	
1845	В	0.84	Residential, low density	2.5	0.294	7.2	35.5	0.0123	0.0478	
1846	В	6.71	Residential, low density	19.7	2.337	57.5	281.4	0.0979	0.3793	
1847	D	0.04	Residential, low density	0.2	0.024	0.6	2.9	0.0010	0.0039	
1848	В	3.54	Highway	47.3	6.342	149.9	1075.2	9.2244	3.6321	
1849	D	1.46	Highway	20.4	2.742	64.8	464.9	3.9888	1.5706	
1850	В	0.04	Residential, medium density	0.3	0.042	1.0	4.8	0.0020	0.0079	
1851	В	1.96	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.4	0.068	1.7	10.4	0.0000	0.0000	
1852	В	0.09	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000	
1853	В	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000	
1854	В	0.07	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.002	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000	
1855	В	0.09	Commercial, low intensity	0.8	0.124	5.3	39.9	0.0125	0.0652	
1856	В	3.42	Commercial, low intensity	32.8	4.982	214.3	1600.3	0.5010	2.6161	
1857	В	0.22	Commercial, low intensity	2.1	0.324	14.0	104.2	0.0326	0.1704	
	Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =				17.3	516.8	3622.2	13.9	8.5	

Outfall:OF12060-3535-02Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 78

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.3	0.173	5.2	36.2	0.1387	0.0849					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.0	0.631	0	0	0	0					
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%					
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	25.1	9.9	255.8	3048.1	8.2	7.1					

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	128.0	17.297	516.8	3622.2	13.8726	8.4933				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	27.4	10.7	261.0	3084.3	8.4	7.2				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	100.6	6.6	255.8	537.9	5.5	1.3				

Outfall:OF12010-1957361-01Receiving Body of Water:Imperial RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 41

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
86	В	0.03	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
87	В	1.10	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
88	В	5.27	Commercial, low intensity	50.6	7.677	330.2	2466.0	0.7720	4.0314	
89	А	9.48	Commercial, low intensity	89.0	13.498	580.6	4336.0	1.3574	7.0884	
90	А	1.62	Highway	21.1	2.828	66.8	479.5	4.1133	1.6196	
91	А	2.85	Highway	37.2	4.984	117.8	845.0	7.2497	2.8546	
92	В	8.54	Highway	113.9	15.284	361.3	2591.4	22.2319	8.7538	
93	А	7.15	Highway	93.1	12.488	295.2	2117.2	18.1638	7.1520	
94	А	0.29	Highway	3.8	0.510	12.1	86.5	0.7419	0.2921	
95	А	1.45	Commercial, low intensity	13.6	2.060	88.6	661.8	0.2072	1.0820	
96	D	4.00	Commercial, low intensity	40.1	6.082	261.6	1953.6	0.6116	3.1937	
97	А	0.34	Commercial, low intensity	3.2	0.479	20.6	153.9	0.0482	0.2516	
98	А	0.27	Commercial, low intensity	2.5	0.379	16.3	121.7	0.0381	0.1990	
99	В	0.35	Commercial, low intensity	3.3	0.503	21.6	161.5	0.0506	0.2640	
100	А	0.54	Commercial, low intensity	5.1	0.769	33.1	247.0	0.0773	0.4038	
101	D	0.83	Commercial, low intensity	8.3	1.267	54.5	406.8	0.1274	0.6651	
102	В	3.13	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
103	А	6.90	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
104	А	0.17	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
105	А	10.82	Commercial, low intensity	101.6	15.418	663.2	4952.6	1.5504	8.0964	
106	В	6.00	Commercial, low intensity	57.6	8.736	375.8	2806.2	0.8785	4.5876	
107	В	1.08	Commercial, low intensity	10.4	1.573	67.7	505.3	0.1582	0.8261	
108	А	1.27	Commercial, low intensity	11.9	1.802	77.5	579.0	0.1812	0.9465	
109	А	2.63	Residential, high density	33.7	7.564	164.4	1131.7	0.1309	1.2509	
110	А	1.61	Residential, high density	20.7	4.635	100.7	693.5	0.0802	0.7665	
111	В	1.08	Residential, high density	14.8	3.318	72.1	496.4	0.0574	0.5488	
112	А	2.90	Commercial, low intensity	27.2	4.130	177.7	1326.7	0.4153	2.1688	
113	А	1.31	Commercial, low intensity	12.3	1.872	80.5	601.3	0.1882	0.9831	
114	В	0.06	Commercial, low intensity	0.6	0.092	4.0	29.5	0.0092	0.0483	

Outfall:OF12010-1957361-01Receiving Body of Water:Imperial RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 41

	Water Quality Summary									
	Soil	Basin		TN	ТР	BOD₌	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn	
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
	Group	(acres)		(, j.)	(,)	(, j.)	(,].)	(,].]	(,].)	
115	В	1.55	Commercial, low intensity	14.8	2.251	96.8	723.0	0.2263	1.1820	
116	В	3.43	Commercial, low intensity	32.9	4.992	214.7	1603.5	0.5020	2.6213	
117	В	1.70	Commercial, low intensity	16.4	2.481	106.7	796.9	0.2495	1.3027	
118	A	9.37	Commercial, low intensity	88.0	13.345	574.1	4286.8	1.3419	7.0080	
119	A	0.00	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
120	В	0.04	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
121	А	2.02	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
122	А	0.06	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
123	А	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
124	В	1.14	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.8	0.040	1.0	6.1	0.0000	0.0000	
125	А	2.16	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.3	0.017	0.4	2.5	0.0000	0.0000	
126	А	0.22	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.0000	0.0000	
127	А	1.50	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.011	0.3	1.8	0.0000	0.0000	
128	В	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
129	В	0.45	Commercial, low intensity	4.3	0.657	28.2	210.9	0.0660	0.3448	
130	А	0.39	Commercial, low intensity	3.6	0.548	23.6	176.2	0.0551	0.2880	
131	В	0.03	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000	
132	А	0.06	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000	
133	А	0.52	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.6	0.0000	0.0000	
134	А	0.75	Commercial, low intensity	7.0	1.065	45.8	342.1	0.1071	0.5593	
135	В	5.64	Commercial, low intensity	54.2	8.215	353.4	2639.1	0.8261	4.3143	
136	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.4	0.0001	0.0006	
137	А	0.11	Commercial, low intensity	1.0	0.158	6.8	50.7	0.0159	0.0829	
138	А	0.57	Commercial, low intensity	5.4	0.819	35.2	263.0	0.0823	0.4299	
139	В	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.015	0.6	4.8	0.0015	0.0079	
140	А	6.21	Residential, medium density	37.6	5.943	143.6	681.5	0.2908	1.1268	
141	В	0.01	Residential, medium density	0.1	0.014	0.3	1.6	0.0007	0.0027	
142	В	1.53	Residential, medium density	10.9	1.728	41.7	198.1	0.0845	0.3275	
	-	Raw Poll	utant Load Total (lb/yr) =	1053.6	160.3	5717.5	41740.3	63.3	77.7	

Outfall:OF12010-1957361-01Receiving Body of Water:Imperial RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 41

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	10.5	1.603	57.2	417.4	0.6329	0.7767					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.3	0.827	0	0	0	0					
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%					
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%					
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency											
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	60%	80%	70%	96%	74%	96%					
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	625.0	126.259	3962.3	39463.4	46.3661	73.4357					

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Tota										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	1053.6	160.253	5717.5	41740.3	63.2898	77.6728				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	636.9	128.689	4019.4	39880.8	46.9990	74.2124				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	416.7	31.6	1698.1	1859.5	16.3	3.5				

Outfall:OF12020-1956101-11Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 80

	Water Quality Summary											
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)			
210	В	0.05	Residential, low density	0.1	0.017	0.4	2.1	0.0007	0.0028			
211	А	0.00	Residential, low density	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000			
212	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000			
213	В	0.02	Agriculture, citrus	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0001			
214	С	0.03	Agriculture, citrus	0.1	0.007	0.1	0.6	0.0001	0.0005			
215	А	1.58	Agriculture, general	0.6	0.095	0.8	9.5	0.0029	0.0046			
216	А	1.69	Agriculture, general	0.7	0.101	0.9	10.2	0.0031	0.0049			
217	А	0.01	Residential, low density	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0001	0.0002			
218	А	1.64	Agriculture, general	0.6	0.098	0.9	9.9	0.0030	0.0048			
219	А	9.25	Agriculture, general	3.6	0.556	4.9	55.7	0.0168	0.0271			
220	А	0.29	Residential, low density	0.5	0.056	1.4	6.7	0.0023	0.0091			
221	А	0.11	Agriculture, citrus	0.0	0.003	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0002			
222	А	6.41	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.0	0.049	1.3	7.5	0.0000	0.0000			
223	А	2.69	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000			
224	В	1.28	Highway	17.0	2.287	54.0	387.7	3.3258	1.3095			
225	A	10.93	Highway	142.4	19.103	451.5	3238.9	27.7869	10.9411			
226	C	0.84	Highway	11.5	1.545	36.5	261.9	2.2466	0.8846			
227	A	6.41	Highway	83.6	11.210	265.0	1900.6	16.3055	6.4203			
		Raw Poll	utant Load Total (lb/yr) =	261.8	35.1	817.8	5891.7	49.7	19.6			

Outfall: Receiving Body of Water: County: State Road:

OF12020-1956101-11 Caloosahatchee River LEE SR 80

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.6	0.351	8.2	58.9	0.4969	0.1961					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.7	1.730	0	0	0	0					
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%					
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%					
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency											
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	60%	80%	70%	96%	74%	96%					
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	153.9	26.439	566.7	5570.3	36.4056	18.5400					

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	261.8	35.130	817.8	5891.7	49.6937	19.6097				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	159.2	28.520	574.9	5629.2	36.9025	18.7361				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	102.6	6.6	242.9	262.5	12.8	0.9				

Outfall:	OF12060-3535-03
Receiving Body of Water:	Poley Creek
County:	LEE
State Road:	SR 78

	Water Quality Summary										
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)		
383	А	0.25	Agriculture, general	0.1	0.015	0.1	1.5	0.0004	0.0007		
384	A	1.38	Commercial, low intensity	13.0	1.968	84.6	632.1	0.1979	1.0334		
385	А	1.10	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.008	0.2	1.3	0.0000	0.0000		
386	А	0.51	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.6	0.0000	0.0000		
387	C	0.31	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.5	0.023	0.6	3.5	0.0000	0.0000		
388	А	9.08	Highway	118.3	15.869	375.1	2690.5	23.0822	9.0886		
389	А	1.03	Highway	13.4	1.802	42.6	305.4	2.6204	1.0318		
390	D	1.47	Highway	20.6	2.759	65.2	467.8	4.0130	1.5801		
391	C	1.26	Highway	17.2	2.314	54.7	392.3	3.3652	1.3251		
392	D	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
393	А	1.13	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
394	А	0.83	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
395	C	0.09	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
396	А	0.03	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
397	А	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
398	C	0.06	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.6	0.0000	0.0000		
399	А	15.70	Residential, low density	25.3	3.001	73.8	361.3	0.1257	0.4870		
400	А	0.78	Commercial, low intensity	7.3	1.106	47.6	355.4	0.1113	0.5811		
401	А	0.09	Commercial, low intensity	0.8	0.123	5.3	39.4	0.0123	0.0645		
		Raw Poll	utant Load Total (lb/yr) =	216.8	29.0	750.1	5251.8	33.5	15.2		

Outfall: Receiving Body of Water: LEE County: State Road: SR 78

OF12060-3535-03 Poley Creek

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.2	0.290	7.5	52.5	0.3353	0.1519					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.1	1.371	0	0	0	0					
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%					
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%					
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency											
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	60%	80%	70%	96%	74%	96%					
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	127.5	21.867	519.8	4965.3	24.5629	14.3635					

Overall Summary										
	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	216.8	28.995	750.1	5251.8	33.5284	15.1922				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	131.8	23.528	527.3	5017.8	24.8982	14.5154				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	85.0	5.5	222.8	234.0	8.6	0.7				

Outfall:OF12060-3533-03Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 78

			Water Quality	Summary					
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1823	В	2.76	Commercial, low intensity	26.5	4.022	173.0	1291.8	0.4044	2.1118
1824	В	5.87	Commercial, low intensity	56.3	8.542	367.4	2743.8	0.8589	4.4856
1825	D	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.015	0.6	4.7	0.0015	0.0077
1826	В	0.68	Highway	9.1	1.217	28.8	206.3	1.7695	0.6968
1827	В	5.99	Highway	79.8	10.711	253.2	1815.9	15.5791	6.1343
1828	В	0.68	Highway	9.1	1.223	28.9	207.3	1.7784	0.7003
1829	В	2.11	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.5	0.074	1.9	11.3	0.0000	0.0000
1830	В	0.07	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000
1831	В	0.14	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.005	0.1	0.7	0.0000	0.0000
1832	В	0.30	Residential, low density	0.9	0.105	2.6	12.6	0.0044	0.0170
1833	В	0.01	Residential, high density	0.1	0.030	0.6	4.4	0.0005	0.0049
1834	В	0.00	Residential, high density	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.0000	0.0003
1835	В	10.86	Commercial, low intensity	104.3	15.817	680.4	5080.8	1.5905	8.3059
1836	В	0.23	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.008	0.2	1.2	0.0000	0.0000
1837	В	0.23	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.008	0.2	1.2	0.0000	0.0000
1838	В	3.20	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	2.3	0.111	2.8	17.0	0.0000	0.0000
1839	В	0.27	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.009	0.2	1.4	0.0000	0.0000
1840	В	1.91	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.4	0.066	1.7	10.2	0.0000	0.0000
1841	В	0.74	Commercial, low intensity	7.1	1.081	46.5	347.1	0.1087	0.5675
1842	В	0.34	Commercial, low intensity	3.3	0.499	21.5	160.4	0.0502	0.2623
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	302.6	43.5	1610.7	11919.0	22.1	23.3

Outfall:OF12060-Receiving Body of Water:CaloosahaCounty:LEEState Road:SR 78

OF12060-3533-03 Caloosahatchee River LEE

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.0	0.435	16.1	119.2	0.2215	0.2329					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.3	0.824	0	0	0	0					
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%					
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	59.7	25.4	797.3	10029.8	13.2	19.6					

Overall Summary											
	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)					
Raw Pollutant Load	302.6	43.545	1610.7	11919.0	22.1462	23.2943					
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	64.0	26.6	813.4	10149.0	13.4	19.8					
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	238.6	16.9	797.3	1770.0	8.8	3.5					

Outfall:	LE303
Receiving Body of Water:	Wetland
County:	LEE
State Road:	SR 31

			Water Quality Su	Water Quality Summary											
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)						
1785	С	0.04	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000						
1786	D	0.97	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	2.1	0.100	2.6	15.3	0.0000	0.0000						
1787	В	0.06	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.3	0.0000	0.0000						
1788	D	0.46	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.0	0.047	1.2	7.2	0.0000	0.0000						
1789	D	0.09	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.010	0.2	1.5	0.0000	0.0000						
1790	В	1.93	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.4	0.067	1.7	10.3	0.0000	0.0000						
1791	D	1.58	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	3.4	0.164	4.2	25.0	0.0000	0.0000						
1792	В	0.04	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000						
1793	D	0.43	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.9	0.045	1.1	6.9	0.0000	0.0000						
1794	В	0.20	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.007	0.2	1.1	0.0000	0.0000						
1795	С	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000						
1796	C	0.12	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.008	0.2	1.3	0.0000	0.0000						
1797	D	0.03	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000						
1798	C	0.08	Commercial, low intensity	0.8	0.123	5.3	39.4	0.0123	0.0645						
1799	С	1.11	Commercial, low intensity	10.9	1.651	71.0	530.4	0.1660	0.8671						
1800	В	0.95	Commercial, low intensity	9.1	1.382	59.4	443.9	0.1390	0.7256						
1801	С	1.91	Commercial, low intensity	18.8	2.845	122.4	914.0	0.2861	1.4941						
1802	D	0.23	Commercial, low intensity	2.3	0.352	15.1	113.0	0.0354	0.1847						
1803	D	0.49	Commercial, low intensity	4.9	0.747	32.1	240.1	0.0751	0.3924						
1804	C	0.00	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000						
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	56.4	7.6	317.1	2350.8	0.7	3.7						

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	0.6	0.076	3.2	23.5	0.0071	0.0373			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.0	0.000	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Outfall:LE303Receiving Body of Water:WetlandCounty:LEEState Road:SR 31

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Tota									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	56.4	7.559	317.1	2350.8	0.7140	3.7285			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	0.6	0.076	3.2	23.5	0.0071	0.0373			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	55.8	7.5	313.9	2327.3	0.7	3.7			

Outfall:OF289Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 867

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1032	В	12.69	Residential, medium density	90.8	14.348	346.6	1645.4	0.7020	2.7204	
1033	В	6.18	Commercial, low intensity	59.3	8.998	387.1	2890.6	0.9049	4.7254	
1034	В	2.94	Commercial, low intensity	28.2	4.283	184.2	1375.7	0.4307	2.2490	
1035	В	1.45	Commercial, low intensity	13.9	2.113	90.9	678.7	0.2125	1.1096	
1036	В	0.00	Highway	0.0	0.006	0.1	0.9	0.0081	0.0032	
	Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =				29.7	1009.0	6591.3	2.3	10.8	

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total						Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.9	0.297	10.1	65.9	0.0226	0.1081				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.7	0.431	0	0	0	0				
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	192.3	29.747	1009.0	6591.3	2.2582	10.8076				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	2.6	0.728	10.1	65.9	0.0226	0.1081				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	189.8	29.0	998.9	6525.4	2.2	10.7				

Outfall:FM001Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 80

			Water Quality Su	ummary					
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1037	В	0.71	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1038	В	4.96	Highway	66.1	8.868	209.6	1503.5	12.8991	5.0790
1039	В	2.16	Residential, high density	29.6	6.636	144.2	992.9	0.1149	1.0975
1040	В	0.12	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.7	0.0000	0.0000
1041	В	5.36	Residential, medium density	38.4	6.067	146.6	695.7	0.2968	1.1503
1042	В	9.96	Commercial, low intensity	95.6	14.508	624.1	4660.3	1.4589	7.6187
1043	В	2.83	Commercial, low intensity	27.1	4.114	177.0	1321.7	0.4137	2.1606
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	257.0	40.2	1301.6	9174.8	15.2	17.1

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.6	0.402	13.0	91.7	0.1518	0.1711			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.9	0.549	0	0	0	0			
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%			
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	50.7	23.548	644.3	7720.6	9.0189	14.3948			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Tota									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	257.0	40.198	1301.6	9174.8	15.1834	17.1061			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	54.1	24.499	657.3	7812.4	9.1708	14.5658			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	202.8	15.7	644.3	1362.5	6.0	2.5			

Outfall:	OF295
Receiving Body of Water:	Manuel's Branch
County:	LEE
State Road:	SR 41

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1056	В	0.95	Commercial, low intensity	9.2	1.389	59.7	446.1	0.1397	0.7293	
1057	В	2.63	Commercial, low intensity	25.3	3.835	165.0	1231.9	0.3856	2.0139	
1058	В	3.70	Commercial, low intensity	35.5	5.387	231.7	1730.3	0.5417	2.8287	
1059	В	4.29	Highway	57.3	7.684	181.6	1302.8	11.1769	4.4009	
Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =			127.2	18.3	638.1	4711.2	12.2	10.0		

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.3	0.183	6.4	47.1	0.1224	0.0997			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.6	0.356	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	127.2	18.295	638.1	4711.2	12.2438	9.9728			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	1.8	0.539	6.4	47.1	0.1224	0.0997			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	125.4	17.8	631.7	4664.1	12.1	9.9			

Outfall:FM059Receiving Body of Water:Manuel's BranchCounty:LEEState Road:SR 41

			Water Quality Su	ummary					
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1060	А	0.01	Residential, medium density	0.1	0.008	0.2	0.9	0.0004	0.0015
1061	D	0.09	Residential, medium density	0.8	0.131	3.2	15.0	0.0064	0.0248
1062	В	1.05	Commercial, low intensity	10.0	1.522	65.5	488.9	0.1530	0.7992
1063	А	1.01	Commercial, low intensity	9.5	1.441	62.0	462.9	0.1449	0.7568
1064	D	4.65	Commercial, low intensity	46.6	7.074	304.3	2272.5	0.7114	3.7150
1065	А	0.07	Commercial, low intensity	0.7	0.101	4.4	32.6	0.0102	0.0533
1066	В	0.69	Commercial, low intensity	6.6	1.000	43.0	321.3	0.1006	0.5252
1067	D	4.15	Commercial, low intensity	41.7	6.320	271.9	2030.3	0.6356	3.3190
1068	В	0.86	Highway	11.4	1.530	36.2	259.4	2.2257	0.8764
1069	D	3.92	Highway	54.7	7.340	173.5	1244.5	10.6770	4.2041
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	182.1	26.5	964.1	7128.3	14.7	14.3

Wat	Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn						
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.8	0.265	9.6	71.3	0.1467	0.1428						
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.8	0.506	0	0	0	0						
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%						

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	182.1	26.469	964.1	7128.3	14.6651	14.2752				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	2.6	0.771	9.6	71.3	0.1467	0.1428				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	179.5	25.7	954.4	7057.0	14.5	14.1				

Outfall:FM073Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 80

			Water Quality Su	ummary					
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1070	D	1.40	Commercial, low intensity	14.1	2.135	91.8	685.9	0.2147	1.1212
1071	D	2.50	Highway	34.9	4.685	110.7	794.4	6.8150	2.6834
1072	В	10.39	Commercial, low intensity	99.7	15.123	650.5	4858.0	1.5208	7.9418
1073	D	13.84	Commercial, low intensity	138.9	21.067	906.2	6767.4	2.1185	11.0632
1074	D	0.75	Commercial, low intensity	7.5	1.145	49.2	367.8	0.1151	0.6012
1075	В	2.02	Commercial, low intensity	19.4	2.939	126.4	944.2	0.2956	1.5435
1076	D	5.89	Commercial, low intensity	59.1	8.970	385.9	2881.4	0.9020	4.7104
1077	D	3.29	Commercial, low intensity	33.0	5.006	215.3	1608.0	0.5034	2.6288
1078	В	6.73	Residential, medium density	48.2	7.607	183.8	872.4	0.3722	1.4424
1079	В	1.89	Commercial, low intensity	18.1	2.748	118.2	882.8	0.2763	1.4431
1080	D	2.15	Commercial, low intensity	21.6	3.278	141.0	1052.9	0.3296	1.7212
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	494.5	74.7	2979.2	21714.9	13.5	36.9

Wate	Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn						
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	4.9	0.747	29.8	217.1	0.1346	0.3690						
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.4	1.523	0	0	0	0						
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%						

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	494.5	74.703	2979.2	21714.9	13.4632	36.9001				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	7.3	2.270	29.8	217.1	0.1346	0.3690				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	487.2	72.4	2949.4	21497.8	13.3	36.5				

Outfall:	FM078
Receiving Body of Water:	Billy Creek
County:	LEE
State Road:	SR 80

	Water Quality Summary										
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)		
1081	A	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1082	В	6.77	Commercial, low intensity	65.0	9.863	424.3	3168.2	0.9918	5.1794		
1083	А	0.30	Commercial, low intensity	2.8	0.422	18.2	135.6	0.0424	0.2217		
1084	А	2.09	Commercial, low intensity	19.7	2.982	128.3	957.8	0.2998	1.5658		
1085	А	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
	Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =				13.3	570.7	4261.7	1.3	7.0		

Wate	Water Quality Treatment Summary												
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn							
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	0.9	0.133	5.7	42.6	0.0133	0.0697							
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.0	0.000	0	0	0	0							
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%							
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	43.3	6.567	226.0	2953.3	0.4623	4.8280							

Overall Summary											
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total											
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)					
Raw Pollutant Load	87.5	13.267	570.7	4261.7	1.3341	6.9668					
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	44.2	6.700	231.7	2995.9	0.4756	4.8977					
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	43.3	6.6	339.0	1265.7	0.9	2.1					

Outfall:OFS206Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 80

			Water Quality Su	ummary					
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1343	D	0.77	Highway	10.7	1.433	33.9	243.0	2.0846	0.8208
1344	В	3.41	Commercial, low intensity	32.7	4.964	213.5	1594.5	0.4992	2.6067
1345	В	18.74	Residential, medium density	134.2	21.195	512.1	2430.7	1.0371	4.0187
1346	А	0.02	Residential, medium density	0.1	0.021	0.5	2.4	0.0010	0.0039
1347	В	11.49	Commercial, low intensity	110.3	16.732	719.8	5374.9	1.6826	8.7869
1348	D	2.40	Commercial, low intensity	24.0	3.647	156.9	1171.4	0.3667	1.9151
1349	А	0.02	Commercial, low intensity	0.2	0.034	1.5	11.0	0.0034	0.0180
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	312.3	48.0	1638.1	10827.9	5.7	18.2

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.1	0.480	16.4	108.3	0.0567	0.1817					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.3	1.482	0	0	0	0					
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%					

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	312.3	48.026	1638.1	10827.9	5.6746	18.1700				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	5.4	1.962	16.4	108.3	0.0567	0.1817				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	306.8	46.1	1621.7	10719.6	5.6	18.0				

Outfall:OF12020-3530-02Receiving Body of Water:Canal to Caloosahatchee RivCounty:LEEState Road:SR 80

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1350	В	0.69	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.5	0.024	0.6	3.7	0.0000	0.0000	
1351	В	1.48	Highway	19.7	2.649	62.6	449.2	3.8535	1.5173	
1352	А	51.97	Highway	677.1	90.830	2146.9	15399.9	132.1167	52.0209	
1353	А	4.09	Highway	53.3	7.156	169.1	1213.3	10.4092	4.0986	
1354	В	0.63	Highway	8.4	1.127	26.6	191.1	1.6398	0.6457	
1355	А	8.52	Commercial, low intensity	80.0	12.141	522.3	3900.0	1.2209	6.3757	
1356	В	0.17	Commercial, low intensity	1.6	0.244	10.5	78.4	0.0245	0.1281	
1357	А	0.33	Residential, medium density	2.0	0.314	7.6	36.0	0.0153	0.0594	
1358	А	0.08	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000	
1359	В	0.17	Residential, medium density	1.2	0.192	4.6	22.0	0.0094	0.0363	
1360	А	0.14	Residential, medium density	0.9	0.135	3.3	15.5	0.0066	0.0256	
1361	А	2.28	Commercial, low intensity	21.4	3.241	139.4	1041.0	0.3259	1.7018	
1362	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.005	0.2	1.5	0.0005	0.0024	
1363	В	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.001	0.1	0.5	0.0001	0.0008	
1364	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.006	0.3	1.9	0.0006	0.0032	
1365	А	0.03	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1366	В	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1367	A	1.26	Residential, medium density	7.7	1.210	29.2	138.7	0.0592	0.2293	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	873.9	119.3	3123.3	22492.6	149.7	66.8	

Outfall:OF120Receiving Body of Water:CanalCounty:LEEState Road:SR 80

OF12020-3530-02 Canal to Caloosahatchee Riv LEE

Water Quality Treatment Summary ΤN ΤР TSS **Total Cu** Total Zn **Best Management Practice** BOD₅ 1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr) 224.9 1.4968 0.6685 8.7 1.193 31.2 Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr) 0.9 0.551 0 0 0 0 Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%) 20% 60% 50% 85% 60% 85% Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr) 172.9 70.518 18927.5 88.9112 56.2502 1546.0

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	873.9	119.275	3123.3	22492.6	149.6822	66.8451				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	182.5	72.263	1577.3	19152.4	90.4080	56.9186				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	691.4	47.0	1546.0	3340.2	59.3	9.9				

Outfall:OF12040-3515-03Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 867

			Water Quality Su	ummary					
	Soil	Basin		TN	тр	BOD	тсс	Total Cu	Total 7n
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)	155 (lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
	Group	(acres)		(ib/yr)	(ib/yr)	(ib/yr)	(ib/yr)	(10/91)	(10/91)
1368	С	1.16	Commercial, low intensity	11.4	1.729	74.4	555.5	0.1739	0.9082
1369	В	2.00	Commercial, low intensity	19.2	2.914	125.4	936.1	0.2930	1.5303
1370	А	1.07	Commercial, low intensity	10.1	1.530	65.8	491.5	0.1539	0.8035
1371	В	3.07	Commercial, low intensity	29.5	4.471	192.3	1436.1	0.4496	2.3477
1372	А	0.07	Commercial, low intensity	0.6	0.093	4.0	30.0	0.0094	0.0490
1373	А	0.58	Commercial, low intensity	5.4	0.824	35.5	264.8	0.0829	0.4329
1374	С	0.01	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1375	В	1.79	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1376	А	0.08	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1377	В	0.45	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1378	В	3.44	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1379	В	0.38	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1380	А	0.00	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1381	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0002
1382	В	0.05	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.0000	0.0000
1383	В	4.38	Residential, medium density	31.4	4.955	119.7	568.2	0.2424	0.9395
1384	А	0.81	Residential, high density	10.4	2.335	50.8	349.4	0.0404	0.3862
1385	А	0.78	Commercial, low intensity	7.3	1.111	47.8	356.8	0.1117	0.5833
1386	А	0.65	Commercial, low intensity	6.1	0.926	39.8	297.4	0.0931	0.4862
1387	В	0.11	Residential, high density	1.5	0.335	7.3	50.2	0.0058	0.0554
1388	А	1.79	Highway	23.4	3.136	74.1	531.6	4.5609	1.7958
1389	В	8.70	Highway	116.0	15.562	367.8	2638.5	22.6357	8.9128
1390	А	0.03	Highway	0.3	0.046	1.1	7.8	0.0668	0.0263
1391	А	8.58	Highway	111.8	14.999	354.5	2543.0	21.8163	8.5902
1392	А	0.01	Highway	0.1	0.010	0.2	1.7	0.0142	0.0056
1393	В	0.40	Highway	5.3	0.715	16.9	121.1	1.0393	0.4092
1394	В	0.25	Residential, medium density	1.8	0.278	6.7	31.9	0.0136	0.0527
1395	В	0.00	Residential, high density	0.1	0.014	0.3	2.1	0.0002	0.0023
1396	В	0.09	Residential, high density	1.2	0.266	5.8	39.8	0.0046	0.0440
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	392.9	56.3	1590.2	11253.8	51.8	28.4

Outfall:OF1204Receiving Body of Water:CaloosaCounty:LEEState Road:SR 867

OF12040-3515-03 Caloosahatchee River LEE SR 867

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.9	0.563	15.9	112.5	0.5181	0.2836				
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	6.7	4.313	0	0	0	0				
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%				
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	76.4	30.825	787.2	9470.1	30.7738	23.8661				

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	392.9	56.251	1590.2	11253.8	51.8077	28.3614				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	87.1	35.701	803.1	9582.6	31.2918	24.1497				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	305.8	20.5	787.2	1671.2	20.5	4.2				

Outfall:OF12040-3515-03Receiving Body of Water:Caloosahatchee RiverCounty:LEEState Road:SR 867

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1397	А	0.06	Residential, high density	0.8	0.182	4.0	27.2	0.0031	0.0301	
1398	А	1.03	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.008	0.2	1.2	0.0000	0.0000	
1399	А	0.70	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.005	0.1	0.8	0.0000	0.0000	
1400	А	2.27	Residential, medium density	13.7	2.171	52.5	249.0	0.1062	0.4117	
1401	А	0.08	Commercial, low intensity	0.8	0.116	5.0	37.3	0.0117	0.0610	
1402	А	1.63	Commercial, low intensity	15.3	2.316	99.6	743.9	0.2329	1.2161	
1403	А	0.13	Commercial, low intensity	1.2	0.182	7.8	58.5	0.0183	0.0956	
1404	А	0.00	Residential, high density	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0002	
1405	А	4.44	Highway	57.9	7.761	183.4	1315.8	11.2880	4.4447	
1406	А	2.80	Highway	36.5	4.896	115.7	830.1	7.1217	2.8042	
1407	В	0.00	Highway	0.1	0.007	0.2	1.2	0.0106	0.0042	
1408	А	1.18	Highway	15.4	2.062	48.7	349.6	2.9989	1.1808	
1409	A	0.07	Highway	0.8	0.114	2.7	19.3	0.1658	0.0653	
1410	A	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
	R	aw Pollut	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	142.7	19.8	520.0	3634.1	22.0	10.3	

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.4	0.198	5.2	36.3	0.2196	0.1031				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.7	1.077	0	0	0	0				
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%				
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	27.9	11.128	257.4	3058.1	13.0426	8.6791				

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	142.7	19.821	520.0	3634.1	21.9572	10.3138				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	31.0	12.403	262.6	3094.5	13.2622	8.7822				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	111.7	7.4	257.4	539.7	8.7	1.5				

Outfall:OF12040-3514-01Receiving Body of Water:Canal to Deep LagoonCounty:LEEState Road:SR 867

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1468	В	1.03	Residential, high density	14.1	3.155	68.6	472.1	0.0546	0.5218	
1469	В	0.38	Residential, high density	5.3	1.179	25.6	176.4	0.0204	0.1950	
1470	В	0.06	Residential, high density	0.9	0.193	4.2	28.9	0.0033	0.0319	
1471	В	0.71	Commercial, low intensity	6.8	1.037	44.6	333.2	0.1043	0.5447	
1472	В	0.27	Commercial, low intensity	2.5	0.386	16.6	124.0	0.0388	0.2028	
1473	В	0.02	Commercial, low intensity	0.2	0.023	1.0	7.4	0.0023	0.0121	
1474	А	0.05	Commercial, low intensity	0.5	0.076	3.3	24.5	0.0077	0.0400	
1475	В	0.38	Commercial, low intensity	3.6	0.553	23.8	177.7	0.0556	0.2905	
1476	В	0.32	Commercial, low intensity	3.0	0.462	19.9	148.3	0.0464	0.2425	
1477	В	0.23	Residential, high density	3.2	0.712	15.5	106.5	0.0123	0.1178	
1478	В	0.01	Residential, high density	0.2	0.042	0.9	6.3	0.0007	0.0069	
1479	В	0.20	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.007	0.2	1.1	0.0000	0.0000	
1480	В	1.66	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1481	D	0.76	Highway	10.6	1.419	33.5	240.5	2.0636	0.8125	
1482	В	1.61	Highway	21.5	2.885	68.2	489.2	4.1967	1.6525	
1483	В	0.54	Highway	7.2	0.971	22.9	164.6	1.4118	0.5559	
1484	В	7.01	Highway	93.6	12.551	296.7	2128.0	18.2563	7.1884	
1485	В	2.94	Highway	39.2	5.262	124.4	892.1	7.6536	3.0136	
1486	D	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.008	0.4	2.7	0.0008	0.0044	
1487	В	0.27	Commercial, low intensity	2.6	0.399	17.2	128.2	0.0401	0.2096	
1488	В	2.86	Residential, medium density	20.5	3.235	78.1	370.9	0.1583	0.6133	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	235.7	34.6	865.5	6022.7	34.1	16.3	

Outfall: Receiving Body of Water: County: State Road:

OF12040-3514-01 Canal to Deep Lagoon LEE SR 867

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Tota										
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.4	0.346	8.7	60.2	0.3413	0.1626				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	4.5	2.872	0	0	0	0				
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%				
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	45.8	18.803	428.4	5068.1	20.2720	13.6796				

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	235.7	34.556	865.5	6022.7	34.1279	16.2563				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	52.6	22.021	437.1	5128.3	20.6132	13.8422				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	183.1	12.5	428.4	894.4	13.5	2.4				

Outfall:OF12004-3505-03Receiving Body of Water:Hurricane BayCounty:LEEState Road:SR 865

Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1489	А	2.43	Highway	31.7	4.254	100.5	721.2	6.1870	2.4361
1490	В	1.96	Highway	26.1	3.502	82.8	593.7	5.0937	2.0057
1491	В	0.08	Highway	1.1	0.152	3.6	25.7	0.2206	0.0869
1492	А	4.44	Highway	57.9	7.767	183.6	1316.8	11.2972	4.4483
1493	В	0.39	Highway	5.3	0.705	16.7	119.6	1.0257	0.4039
1494	А	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1495	А	0.06	Commercial, low intensity	0.6	0.087	3.7	28.0	0.0088	0.0457
1496	В	0.06	Residential, high density	0.8	0.182	4.0	27.3	0.0032	0.0302
1497	В	0.03	Residential, high density	0.4	0.097	2.1	14.5	0.0017	0.0160
1498	В	0.02	Residential, medium density	0.2	0.026	0.6	3.0	0.0013	0.0050
1499	В	2.71	Commercial, low intensity	26.0	3.945	169.7	1267.1	0.3967	2.0715
1500	А	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =			150.1	20.7	567.3	4117.0	24.2	11.5	

Water Quality Treatment Summary								
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn		
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.5	0.207	5.7	41.2	0.2424	0.1155		
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.5	1.568	0	0	0	0		
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%		
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	29.2	11.365	280.8	3464.5	14.3961	9.7187		

Overall Summary									
	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	150.1	20.717	567.3	4117.0	24.2358	11.5492			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	33.2	13.140	286.5	3505.6	14.6384	9.8342			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	116.9	7.6	280.8	611.4	9.6	1.7			
Outfall:OF12004-3505-04Receiving Body of Water:Hurricane BayCounty:LEEState Road:SR 865

	Water Quality Summary								
	Soil	Basin		TN	ТР	BOD-	тѕѕ	Total Cu	Total 7n
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/\m)	(lb/vr)	(lb/yr)		(lb/yr)
	Group	(acres)		(10/91)	(10/91)	(ib/yr)	(10/91)	(10/91)	(10/91)
1501	А	4.14	Highway	53.9	7.227	170.8	1225.4	10.5126	4.1394
1502	А	1.93	Highway	25.1	3.367	79.6	570.9	4.8975	1.9284
1503	D	0.00	Highway	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0021	0.0008
1504	В	2.34	Highway	31.3	4.195	99.1	711.2	6.1012	2.4023
1505	А	0.00	Highway	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1506	А	0.72	Commercial, low intensity	6.8	1.030	44.3	330.8	0.1035	0.5407
1507	А	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.011	0.5	3.4	0.0011	0.0056
1508	А	0.27	Commercial, low intensity	2.5	0.386	16.6	124.0	0.0388	0.2028
1509	А	0.38	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000
1510	А	0.05	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
1511	В	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
1512	А	1.15	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1513	А	0.01	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1514	А	0.62	Commercial, low intensity	5.8	0.877	37.7	281.7	0.0882	0.4605
1515	В	0.67	Commercial, low intensity	6.4	0.977	42.0	313.9	0.0983	0.5132
1516	А	0.34	Residential, high density	4.4	0.979	21.3	146.4	0.0169	0.1618
1517	А	0.19	Residential, high density	2.5	0.560	12.2	83.7	0.0097	0.0926
1518	А	0.42	Commercial, low intensity	3.9	0.596	25.6	191.4	0.0599	0.3128
1519	В	1.86	Commercial, low intensity	17.8	2.704	116.3	868.5	0.2719	1.4198
1520	А	0.55	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.6	0.0000	0.0000
1521	А	0.04	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1522	A	0.17	Residential, high density	2.1	0.478	10.4	71.6	0.0083	0.0791
1523	В	0.01	Residential, high density	0.2	0.041	0.9	6.2	0.0007	0.0068
1524	A	0.83	Commercial, low intensity	7.8	1.182	50.8	379.7	0.1189	0.6208
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	170.8	24.6	728.4	5310.2	22.3	12.9

Outfall:OF1200Receiving Body of Water:HurricalCounty:LEEState Road:SR 865

OF12004-3505-04 Hurricane Bay LEE SR 865

Water Quality Treatment Summary							
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn	
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.7	0.246	7.3	53.1	0.2233	0.1289	
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	1.6	1.005	0	0	0	0	
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%	
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	33.5	14.020	360.6	4468.6	13.2638	10.8447	

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total							
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
Raw Pollutant Load	170.8	24.619	728.4	5310.2	22.3296	12.8874	
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	36.8	15.272	367.9	4521.7	13.4871	10.9736	
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	134.0	9.3	360.6	788.6	8.8	1.9	

Outfall:OF16320-3408-11Receiving Body of Water:Ditch to Green SwampCounty:POLKState Road:SR 400

	Water Quality Summary								
	Soil	Basin		TN	ТР	BOD-	TSS	Total Cu	Total 7n
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lh/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lh/yr)	(lh/yr)
	Group	(acres)		(10/ 91)			(15/ 91)		
143	A	5.63	Residential, high density	68.6	15.374	334.1	2300.2	0.2661	2.5427
144	A	0.90	Residential, high density	10.9	2.446	53.2	366.0	0.0423	0.4045
145	A	9.55	Residential, high density	116.4	26.092	567.0	3903.7	0.4516	4.3152
146	D	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.3	0.0001	0.0005
147	А	4.45	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.4	0.021	0.5	3.2	0.0000	0.0000
148	А	0.10	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
149	D	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
150	А	11.12	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.1	0.053	1.4	8.1	0.0000	0.0000
151	А	0.40	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.0000	0.0000
152	А	0.07	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
153	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
154	А	8.53	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.9	0.041	1.0	6.2	0.0000	0.0000
155	А	0.69	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000
156	А	1.27	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.006	0.2	0.9	0.0000	0.0000
157	А	1.09	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.005	0.1	0.8	0.0000	0.0000
158	А	0.25	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000
159	А	17.98	Highway	224.2	30.072	710.8	5098.6	43.7410	17.2230
160	А	0.06	Highway	0.8	0.104	2.5	17.6	0.1510	0.0595
161	А	3.43	Agriculture, general	0.8	0.129	1.1	12.9	0.0039	0.0063
162	А	0.19	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.007	0.1	0.7	0.0002	0.0004
163	А	1.22	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
164	А	0.00	Highway	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
165	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
166	А	0.00	Highway	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0014	0.0005
167	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
168	А	5.71	Highway	71.2	9.552	225.8	1619.4	13.8932	5.4704
169	А	5.71	Highway	71.2	9.552	225.8	1619.4	13.8932	5.4704
170	D	0.01	Highway	0.1	0.013	0.3	2.2	0.0191	0.0075
171	D	0.01	Highway	0.1	0.013	0.3	2.2	0.0191	0.0075

Outfall:OF16320-3408-11Receiving Body of Water:Ditch to Green SwampCounty:POLKState Road:SR 400

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
172	А	0.24	Commercial, low intensity	2.1	0.325	14.0	104.4	0.0327	0.1706
173	А	0.24	Commercial, low intensity	2.1	0.325	14.0	104.4	0.0327	0.1706
174	D	0.14	Commercial, low intensity	1.3	0.201	8.7	64.6	0.0202	0.1056
175	D	0.14	Commercial, low intensity	1.3	0.201	8.7	64.6	0.0202	0.1056
176	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.003	0.1	0.9	0.0003	0.0014
177	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
178	D	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.3	0.0001	0.0004
179	D	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
180	А	0.02	Commercial, low intensity	0.2	0.030	1.3	9.8	0.0031	0.0160
181	А	0.02	Highway	0.3	0.037	0.9	6.3	0.0543	0.0214
182	D	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.010	0.4	3.3	0.0010	0.0053
183	D	0.01	Highway	0.1	0.013	0.3	2.1	0.0182	0.0072
		Raw Poll	utant Load Total (lb/yr) =	574.8	94.6	2172.7	15324.6	72.7	36.1

Outfall: Receiving Body of Water: County: POLK State Road: SR 400

OF16320-3408-11 Ditch to Green Swamp

Water Quality Treatment Summary							
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn	
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	5.7	0.946	21.7	153.2	0.7266	0.3611	
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.3	1.492	0	0	0	0	
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%	
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%	
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency							
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	60%	80%	70%	96%	74%	96%	
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	340.0	73.758	1505.6	14488.6	53.2343	34.1428	

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	574.8	94.635	2172.7	15324.6	72.6649	36.1127		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	348.1	76.196	1527.4	14641.9	53.9610	34.5039		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	226.7	18.4	645.3	682.7	18.7	1.6		

Outfall:	FDOT-37-50
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Miriam
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 37

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
276	А	4.53	Residential, high density	55.2	12.380	269.0	1852.2	0.214	2.047
277	А	0.62	Residential, high density	7.5	1.690	36.7	252.9	0.029	0.280
278	А	0.30	Residential, high density	3.7	0.819	17.8	122.6	0.014	0.136
279	А	26.32	Residential, high density	320.8	71.898	1562.4	10757.0	1.244	11.891
280	А	0.28	Residential, high density	3.4	0.755	16.4	113.0	0.013	0.125
281	А	0.00	Residential, high density	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.000	0.000
282	D	4.35	Commercial, low intensity	41.6	6.309	271.4	2026.5	0.634	3.313
283	А	4.31	Commercial, low intensity	38.7	5.869	252.5	1885.2	0.590	3.082
284	А	3.70	Commercial, low intensity	33.3	5.047	217.1	1621.3	0.508	2.651
285	А	2.80	Commercial, low intensity	25.2	3.816	164.2	1225.9	0.384	2.004
286	А	0.02	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.022	1.0	7.2	0.002	0.012
287	D	1.68	Commercial, low intensity	16.0	2.434	104.7	781.8	0.245	1.278
288	А	1.69	Commercial, low intensity	15.2	2.309	99.3	741.8	0.232	1.213
289	А	2.04	Commercial, low intensity	18.3	2.782	119.7	893.7	0.280	1.461
290	А	9.10	Commercial, low intensity	81.8	12.407	533.7	3985.6	1.248	6.516
291	А	11.96	Commercial, low intensity	107.5	16.303	701.3	5237.0	1.639	8.561
292	А	0.38	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.000	0.000
293	А	0.41	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.3	0.000	0.000
294	D	2.38	Highway	31.7	4.254	100.6	721.3	6.188	2.437
295	A	5.74	Highway	71.6	9.600	226.9	1627.7	13.964	5.498
Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) = 871.7 158.7 4694.8 33853.5 27.4					52.5				

Outfall:FDOT-37-50Receiving Body of Water:Lake MiriamCounty:POLKState Road:SR 37

Water Quality Treatment Summary							
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn	
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	8.7	1.587	46.9	338.5	0.274	0.525	
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.4	0.878	0	0	0	0	
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%	
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	430.8	78.118	1859.1	23460.5	9.504	36.385	

Overall Summary						
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu To						
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)
Raw Pollutant Load	871.7	158.701	4694.8	33853.5	27.429	52.503
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	440.9	80.583	1906.1	23799.0	9.779	36.910
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	430.8	78.1	2788.7	10054.5	17.7	15.6

Outfall:	FDOT-540-70
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Winterset
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 540

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
296	А	2.54	Residential, high density	31.0	6.948	151.0	1039.5	0.1202	1.1490
297	А	0.58	Residential, high density	7.0	1.576	34.3	235.8	0.0273	0.2607
298	А	0.51	Commercial, low intensity	4.6	0.701	30.1	225.1	0.0705	0.3680
299	А	0.17	Commercial, low intensity	1.5	0.230	9.9	73.8	0.0231	0.1207
300	А	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.018	0.8	5.8	0.0018	0.0095
301	А	0.61	Commercial, low intensity	5.4	0.827	35.6	265.5	0.0831	0.4341
302	А	8.05	Commercial, low intensity	72.3	10.966	471.7	3522.6	1.1027	5.7587
303	А	1.10	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.005	0.1	0.8	0.0000	0.0000
304	А	2.05	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.010	0.3	1.5	0.0000	0.0000
305	А	0.05	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
306	А	0.21	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000
307	А	1.68	Highway	20.9	2.802	66.2	475.1	4.0762	1.6050
308	А	8.26	Highway	102.9	13.807	326.4	2341.0	20.0834	7.9078
309	A	0.29	Highway	3.6	0.480	11.3	81.3	0.6975	0.2746
310	A	0.50	Highway	6.2	0.830	19.6	140.7	1.2073	0.4754
Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) = 255.9 39.2 1157.3 8408.					8408.9	27.5	18.4		

Outfall:FDOT-540-70Receiving Body of Water:Lake WintersetCounty:POLKState Road:SR 540

Water Quality Treatment Summary						
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.6	0.392	11.6	84.1	0.2749	0.1836
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.8	0.522	0	0	0	0
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	126.3	19.143	458.3	5827.4	9.5264	12.7260

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	255.9	39.201	1157.3	8408.9	27.4933	18.3637		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	129.7	20.058	469.9	5911.5	9.8014	12.9097		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	126.3	19.1	687.4	2497.4	17.7	5.5		

Outfall:	FDOT-540-60
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Summit
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 540

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
311	А	16.32	Residential, medium density	92.3	14.582	352.3	1672.3	0.7135	2.7649
312	А	6.68	Residential, medium density	37.8	5.967	144.1	684.3	0.2919	1.1313
313	А	0.08	Commercial, low intensity	0.7	0.106	4.5	33.9	0.0106	0.0555
314	А	2.92	Commercial, low intensity	26.3	3.986	171.5	1280.3	0.4008	2.0930
315	А	0.11	Commercial, low intensity	1.0	0.152	6.6	49.0	0.0153	0.0801
316	А	1.62	Commercial, low intensity	14.5	2.204	94.8	707.9	0.2216	1.1572
317	А	3.06	Commercial, low intensity	27.5	4.168	179.3	1339.0	0.4192	2.1890
318	А	3.68	Commercial, low intensity	33.1	5.017	215.8	1611.5	0.5045	2.6345
319	А	6.50	Highway	81.0	10.867	256.9	1842.5	15.8068	6.2239
320	А	0.02	Highway	0.3	0.041	1.0	7.0	0.0600	0.0236
321	A	2.33	Highway	29.1	3.898	92.1	660.9	5.6702	2.2326
Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =343.551.0					1518.9	9888.5	24.1	20.6	

Water Quality Treatment Summary						
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.4	0.510	15.2	98.9	0.2411	0.2059
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.1	1.328	0	0	0	0
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr							
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
Raw Pollutant Load	343.5	50.988	1518.9	9888.5	24.1145	20.5856	
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	5.5	1.838	15.2	98.9	0.2411	0.2059	
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	338.0	49.2	1503.7	9789.7	23.9	20.4	

Outfall:	FDOT-37-20
Receiving Body of Water:	Phosphate Pit
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 37

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
322	A	1.71	Residential, medium density	9.7	1.531	37.0	175.6	0.0749	0.2903
323	D	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.019	0.8	6.1	0.0019	0.0100
324	A	7.90	Commercial, low intensity	70.9	10.762	463.0	3457.1	1.0822	5.6516
325	A	0.54	Commercial, low intensity	4.8	0.734	31.6	235.8	0.0738	0.3855
326	A	0.03	Mining/Extractive	0.3	0.039	20.0	15.8	0.0008	0.0150
327 A 1.74 Highway 21.7			21.7	2.914	68.9	494.1	4.2390	1.6691	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	107.6	16.0	621.2	4384.5	5.5	8.0

Water Quality Treatment Summary						
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.1	0.160	6.2	43.8	0.0547	0.0802
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.1	0.069	0	0	0	0
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	107.6	16.000	621.2	4384.5	5.4726	8.0215		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	1.2	0.229	6.2	43.8	0.0547	0.0802		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	106.5	15.8	615.0	4340.6	5.4	7.9		

Outfall:	FDOT-37-15
Receiving Body of Water:	Ellis Branch
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 37

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic	Basin Area	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
328	A	0.41	Residential, medium density	2.3	0.362	8.8	41.6	0.0177	0.0687
329	A	30.88	Residential, medium density	174.7	27.597	666.7	3164.8	1.3503	5.2325
330	А	7.98	Residential, medium density	45.2	7.134	172.3	818.1	0.3491	1.3526
331	А	2.42	Residential, medium density	13.7	2.159	52.1	247.5	0.1056	0.4093
332	А	0.77	Residential, high density	9.4	2.112	45.9	316.0	0.0366	0.3493
333	А	1.17	Residential, high density	14.2	3.186	69.2	476.7	0.0551	0.5269
334	А	2.86	Commercial, low intensity	25.7	3.893	167.5	1250.6	0.3915	2.0444
335	А	1.89	Commercial, low intensity	17.0	2.576	110.8	827.5	0.2590	1.3527
336	А	0.81	Commercial, low intensity	7.3	1.103	47.4	354.3	0.1109	0.5791
337	А	1.74	Highway	21.6	2.902	68.6	492.0	4.2211	1.6621
338	А	1.84	Highway	23.0	3.084	72.9	522.8	4.4852	1.7661
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	354.0	56.1	1482.3	8511.9	11.4	15.3

Water Quality Treatment Summary							
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn	
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.5	0.561	14.8	85.1	0.1138	0.1534	
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	0.5	0.295	0	0	0	0	
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn							
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
Raw Pollutant Load	354.0	56.108	1482.3	8511.9	11.3822	15.3437	
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	4.0	0.856	14.8	85.1	0.1138	0.1534	
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	350.0	55.3	1467.5	8426.7	11.3	15.2	

Outfall:	FDOT-37-10
Receiving Body of Water:	Alafia River
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 37

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
339	А	3.04	Residential, medium density	17.2	2.715	65.6	311.4	0.1329	0.5148
340	А	1.42	Commercial, low intensity	12.8	1.935	83.2	621.4	0.1945	1.0159
341	А	0.81	Commercial, low intensity	7.3	1.101	47.4	353.8	0.1107	0.5783
342	D	0.07	Commercial, low intensity	0.6	0.097	4.2	31.1	0.0097	0.0508
343	А	13.72	Commercial, low intensity	123.3	18.703	804.6	6008.1	1.8808	9.8219
344	А	0.08	Commercial, low intensity	0.7	0.104	4.5	33.5	0.0105	0.0547
345	D	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
346	D	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000
347	А	2.17	Highway	27.0	3.627	85.7	614.9	5.2756	2.0773
348	А	0.17	Highway	2.1	0.287	6.8	48.6	0.4171	0.1642
349	D	0.93	Highway	12.4	1.667	39.4	282.6	2.4248	0.9548
	R	aw Pollut	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	203.5	30.2	1141.4	8305.7	10.5	15.2

Water Quality Treatment Summary							
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn	
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.0	0.302	11.4	83.1	0.1046	0.1523	
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	0.5	0.295	0	0	0	0	
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr							
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
Raw Pollutant Load	203.5	30.238	1141.4	8305.7	10.4566	15.2327	
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	2.5	0.597	11.4	83.1	0.1046	0.1523	
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	201.0	29.6	1129.9	8222.7	10.4	15.1	

Outfall:	FDOT-37-65
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Hunter
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 37

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
367	A	3.80	Residential, medium density	21.5	3.395	82.0	389.4	0.1661	0.6437
368	D	2.94	Residential, medium density	25.7	4.053	97.9	464.8	0.1983	0.7684
369	А	24.05	Residential, medium density	136.1	21.492	519.2	2464.7	1.0516	4.0750
370	А	0.52	Residential, medium density	3.0	0.467	11.3	53.6	0.0229	0.0885
371	А	0.13	Commercial, low intensity	1.1	0.173	7.5	55.6	0.0174	0.0910
372	D	9.98	Commercial, low intensity	95.5	14.485	623.1	4653.1	1.4566	7.6069
373 A 2.30 Commercial, low intensity		20.7	3.136	134.9	1007.3	0.3153	1.6467		
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	303.5	47.2	1475.9	9088.5	3.2	14.9

Water Quality Treatment Summary						
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn						
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.0	0.472	14.8	90.9	0.0323	0.1492
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.4	0.265	0	0	0	0
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn							
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
Raw Pollutant Load	303.5	47.202	1475.9	9088.5	3.2283	14.9203	
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	3.4	0.737	14.8	90.9	0.0323	0.1492	
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	300.0	46.5	1461.2	8997.6	3.2	14.8	

Outfall:	FDOT-35-170
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Gibson
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 35

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
374	А	7.12	Residential, medium density	40.3	6.360	153.6	729.3	0.3112	1.2058
375	В	0.00	Residential, medium density	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
376	А	0.19	Residential, high density	2.3	0.523	11.4	78.3	0.0091	0.0865
377	А	1.10	Residential, high density	13.4	3.011	65.4	450.5	0.0521	0.4980
378	А	4.77	Commercial, low intensity	42.9	6.505	279.8	2089.7	0.6542	3.4163
379	В	1.39	Commercial, low intensity	12.7	1.934	83.2	621.1	0.1944	1.0154
380	А	3.55	Commercial, low intensity	31.9	4.834	208.0	1552.9	0.4861	2.5387
381	А	0.02	Commercial, low intensity	0.2	0.023	1.0	7.4	0.0023	0.0121
382	A	2.79	Highway	34.8	4.672	110.4	792.1	6.7956	2.6758
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	178.5	27.9	912.8	6321.4	8.5	11.4

Water Quality Treatment Summary						
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn						Total Zn
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.8	0.279	9.1	63.2	0.0851	0.1145
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr) 0.2 0.111 0 0 0 0						0
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn							
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
Raw Pollutant Load	178.5	27.862	912.8	6321.4	8.5050	11.4486	
3MP Pollutant Load Reduction 2.0 0.389 9.1 63.2 0.0851 0.1145							
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	176.5	27.5	903.7	6258.2	8.4	11.3	

Outfall:	FDOT-563-15
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Hunter
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 563

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
402	A	8.33	Residential, medium density	47.1	7.445	179.9	853.7	0.3643	1.4115
403	A	10.30	Residential, high density	125.6	28.148	611.7	4211.3	0.4872	4.6552
404	A	1.11	Commercial, low intensity	10.0	1.518	65.3	487.7	0.1527	0.7973
405	405 A 0.85 Highway 10.6 1.420 33.6 240.7 2.0654 0.8132						0.8132		
	Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) = 193.3 38.5 890.4 5793.5 3.1 7.7								

Water Quality Treatment Summary						
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn						Total Zn
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.9	0.385	8.9	57.9	0.0307	0.0768
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.014	0	0	0	0	
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn							
Totals (lb/yr) (lb/yr) (lb/yr) (lb/yr) (lb/yr) (lb/yr)							
Raw Pollutant Load	193.3	38.531	890.4	5793.5	3.0695	7.6773	
3MP Pollutant Load Reduction 2.0 0.399 8.9 57.9 0.0307 0.0768							
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body 191.3 38.1 881.5 5735.6 3.0 7.6							

Outfall:	FDOT-563-25
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Wire
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 563

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
406	А	0.14	Residential, medium density	0.8	0.128	3.1	14.7	0.0063	0.0244
407	А	0.13	Residential, medium density	0.7	0.113	2.7	13.0	0.0055	0.0215
408	А	3.81	Commercial, low intensity	34.2	5.187	223.1	1666.4	0.5216	2.7241
409	А	0.45	Commercial, low intensity	4.0	0.612	26.3	196.6	0.0615	0.3214
410	А	0.38	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.0000	0.0000
411	А	0.64	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000
412	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
413	D	2.23	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	4.1	0.195	5.0	29.7	0.0000	0.0000
414	А	0.68	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000
415	А	6.69	Highway	83.3	11.181	264.3	1895.7	16.2632	6.4036
416	D	0.17	Highway	2.2	0.301	7.1	51.0	0.4376	0.1723
417	A	0.61	Highway	7.6	1.022	24.2	173.3	1.4871	0.5856
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	137.2	18.7	556.0	4041.6	18.8	10.3

Water Quality Treatment Summary						
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr						
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.4	0.187	5.6	40.4	0.1878	0.1025
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.5	0.331	0	0	0	0
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn							
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
Raw Pollutant Load	137.2	18.748	556.0	4041.6	18.7829	10.2528	
3MP Pollutant Load Reduction 1.9 0.518 5.6 40.4 0.1878 0.1025							
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	135.3	18.2	550.5	4001.2	18.6	10.2	

Outfall:	FDOT-600-10
Receiving Body of Water:	Itchepackesassa Creek
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 92

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
418	D	5.40	Industrial, light	52.5	11.382	332.7	2626.5	0.1313	2.4952	
419	В	0.62	Industrial, light	5.8	1.261	36.9	291.1	0.0146	0.2765	
420	D	0.14	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.3	0.012	0.3	1.8	0.0000	0.0000	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	58.6	12.7	369.9	2919.4	0.1	2.8	

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	0.6	0.127	3.7	29.2	0.0015	0.0277				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.0	0.007	0	0	0	0				
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%				
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	29.0	6.261	146.5	2023.2	0.0505	1.9208				

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	58.6	12.655	369.9	2919.4	0.1459	2.7717				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	29.6	6.394	150.2	2052.3	0.0520	1.9485				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	29.0	6.3	219.7	867.1	0.1	0.8				

Outfall:	FDOT-544-90
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Blue
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 544

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
446	В	0.11	Residential, medium density	0.7	0.117	2.8	13.4	0.0057	0.0221	
447	D	0.04	Residential, high density	0.5	0.119	2.6	17.7	0.0021	0.0196	
448	D	1.79	Commercial, low intensity	17.1	2.601	111.9	835.5	0.2616	1.3659	
449	D	2.10	Commercial, low intensity	20.1	3.052	131.3	980.3	0.3069	1.6025	
450	A	0.52	Commercial, low intensity	4.7	0.713	30.7	229.2	0.0717	0.3747	
451	В	0.06	Commercial, low intensity	0.5	0.081	3.5	26.2	0.0082	0.0428	
452	D	4.77	Commercial, low intensity	45.6	6.923	297.8	2223.9	0.6962	3.6355	
453	A	0.42	Commercial, low intensity	3.7	0.566	24.4	181.9	0.0569	0.2973	
454	D	1.29	Commercial, low intensity	12.4	1.875	80.6	602.2	0.1885	0.9845	
455	A	0.57	Commercial, low intensity	5.1	0.779	33.5	250.3	0.0784	0.4092	
456	D	0.26	Commercial, low intensity	2.5	0.382	16.4	122.6	0.0384	0.2004	
457	D	3.93	Highway	52.3	7.017	165.9	1189.7	10.2064	4.0188	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	165.5	24.2	901.4	6672.9	11.9	13.0	

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.7	0.242	9.0	66.7	0.1192	0.1297			
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	0.6	0.354	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	165.5	24.225	901.4	6672.9	11.9209	12.9734			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	2.2	0.597	9.0	66.7	0.1192	0.1297			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	163.3	23.6	892.3	6606.1	11.8	12.8			

Outfall:	FDOT-600-275
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Haines
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 92

	Water Quality Summary										
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)		
491	А	1.04	Residential, medium density	5.9	0.929	22.4	106.6	0.0455	0.1762		
492	А	0.83	Residential, medium density	4.7	0.738	17.8	84.6	0.0361	0.1399		
493	А	1.57	Residential, medium density	8.9	1.399	33.8	160.5	0.0685	0.2653		
494	А	10.53	Residential, medium density	59.5	9.406	227.2	1078.7	0.4602	1.7834		
495	А	6.43	Residential, medium density	36.3	5.742	138.7	658.4	0.2809	1.0886		
496	А	0.05	Residential, high density	0.6	0.124	2.7	18.5	0.0021	0.0204		
497	А	0.01	Residential, high density	0.1	0.017	0.4	2.5	0.0003	0.0028		
498	А	0.93	Industrial, light	8.5	1.847	54.0	426.2	0.0213	0.4049		
499	А	0.16	Industrial, light	1.5	0.315	9.2	72.7	0.0036	0.0690		
500	А	0.00	Industrial, light	0.0	0.003	0.1	0.7	0.0000	0.0007		
501	D	5.70	Industrial, light	55.5	12.021	351.4	2774.1	0.1387	2.6354		
502	А	0.26	Industrial, light	2.3	0.507	14.8	117.0	0.0059	0.1112		
503	А	5.66	Commercial, low intensity	50.9	7.716	331.9	2478.7	0.7760	4.0522		
504	А	2.94	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.3	0.014	0.4	2.1	0.0000	0.0000		
505	А	1.71	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.008	0.2	1.3	0.0000	0.0000		
506	А	2.63	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.3	0.013	0.3	1.9	0.0000	0.0000		
507	А	2.89	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.3	0.014	0.4	2.1	0.0000	0.0000		
508	А	10.83	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.1	0.052	1.3	7.9	0.0000	0.0000		
509	А	1.34	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.006	0.2	1.0	0.0000	0.0000		
510	А	0.18	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.007	0.1	0.7	0.0002	0.0003		
511	А	14.59	Agriculture, general	3.5	0.547	4.8	54.8	0.0165	0.0266		
512	А	0.04	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
513	А	0.14	Highway	1.8	0.239	5.7	40.6	0.3483	0.1372		
514	А	2.27	Highway	28.3	3.801	89.9	644.5	5.5293	2.1772		
515	А	0.08	Highway	1.1	0.142	3.3	24.0	0.2061	0.0811		
516	А	0.52	Highway	6.4	0.862	20.4	146.1	1.2536	0.4936		
517	А	0.20	Highway	2.5	0.341	8.1	57.8	0.4955	0.1951		
518	А	2.47	Highway	30.8	4.133	97.7	700.8	6.0119	2.3672		
519	A	0.67	Highway	8.3	1.119	26.4	189.7	1.6273	0.6408		

Outfall:	FDOT-600-275
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Haines
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 92

	Water Quality Summary									
	Soil Basin				ТР	BOD₌	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn	
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lh/yr)	(lh/yr)	(lb/yr)	
	Group	(acres)		(15/ 91)	(13/)1/	(15/ 91)	(12, 11)	(15, 11)	(12) (1)	
520	D	0.24	Highway	3.2	0.432	10.2	73.3	0.6290	0.2477	
521	А	0.11	Highway	1.4	0.184	4.4	31.3	0.2683	0.1057	
522	А	0.26	Highway	3.3	0.440	10.4	74.5	0.6393	0.2517	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	327.6	53.1	1488.5	10033.5	18.9	17.5	

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.3	0.531	14.9	100.3	0.1886	0.1747				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.6	1.008	0	0	0	0				
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	327.6	53.117	1488.5	10033.5	18.8645	17.4741		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	4.9	1.540	14.9	100.3	0.1886	0.1747		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	322.7	51.6	1473.6	9933.2	18.7	17.3		

Outfall:	FDOT-60-25
Receiving Body of Water:	Phosphate Pit
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 60

	Water Quality Summary								
	Soil	Basin		TN	ТР	BOD-	TSS	Total Cu	Total 7n
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)
	Group	(acres)			(15/ 91)	(15/ 91)		(15/ 91)	(15/ 41)
569	А	8.76	Residential, medium density	49.5	7.825	189.0	897.4	0.3829	1.4837
570	А	0.41	Residential, medium density	2.3	0.369	8.9	42.4	0.0181	0.0700
571	А	1.58	Commercial, low intensity	14.2	2.157	92.8	692.8	0.2169	1.1326
572	А	0.32	Commercial, low intensity	2.9	0.440	18.9	141.3	0.0442	0.2310
573	А	0.91	Commercial, low intensity	8.1	1.234	53.1	396.3	0.1241	0.6479
574	А	0.26	Highway	3.2	0.432	10.2	73.2	0.6283	0.2474
575	А	2.51	Highway	31.3	4.196	99.2	711.3	6.1026	2.4029
576	A	0.16	Highway	2.0	0.266	6.3	45.1	0.3871	0.1524
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	113.6	16.9	478.4	2999.9	7.9	6.4

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.1	0.169	4.8	30.0	0.0790	0.0637			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.3	0.172	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	113.6	16.919	478.4	2999.9	7.9041	6.3679			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	1.4	0.341	4.8	30.0	0.0790	0.0637			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	112.2	16.6	473.6	2969.9	7.8	6.3			

Outfall:	FDOT-546-30
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Parker
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 92

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
577	А	0.01	Residential, medium density	0.0	0.005	0.1	0.5	0.0002	0.0009
578	А	37.52	Residential, medium density	212.2	33.525	809.9	3844.6	1.6404	6.3565
579	D	0.07	Residential, medium density	0.6	0.103	2.5	11.8	0.0050	0.0194
580	А	6.86	Residential, medium density	38.8	6.133	148.2	703.4	0.3001	1.1629
581	А	1.51	Commercial, low intensity	13.5	2.053	88.3	659.4	0.2064	1.0779
582	D	0.85	Commercial, low intensity	8.2	1.239	53.3	398.0	0.1246	0.6507
583	А	6.41	Commercial, low intensity	57.6	8.742	376.0	2808.1	0.8790	4.5906
584	D	15.02	Commercial, low intensity	143.7	21.805	938.0	7004.3	2.1927	11.4506
585	А	0.55	Commercial, low intensity	4.9	0.746	32.1	239.6	0.0750	0.3916
586	D	10.81	Commercial, low intensity	103.4	15.692	675.0	5040.6	1.5779	8.2403
587	A	3.91	Highway	48.8	6.544	154.7	1109.6	9.5191	3.7481
588	D	2.39	Highway	31.8	4.270	100.9	723.9	6.2107	2.4455
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	663.8	100.9	3379.0	22543.7	22.7	40.1

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Tota									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	6.6	1.009	33.8	225.4	0.2273	0.4013			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.0	0.651	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	663.8	100.855	3379.0	22543.7	22.7311	40.1349			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	7.7	1.659	33.8	225.4	0.2273	0.4013			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	656.1	99.2	3345.2	22318.3	22.5	39.7			

Outfall:	FDOT-546-75
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Parker
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 92

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
589	А	0.34	Residential, medium density	1.9	0.301	7.3	34.5	0.0147	0.0570
590	А	0.01	Residential, medium density	0.1	0.011	0.3	1.2	0.0005	0.0020
591	А	17.07	Commercial, low intensity	153.4	23.272	1001.1	7475.5	2.3402	12.2209
592	D	1.45	Commercial, low intensity	13.9	2.103	90.5	675.6	0.2115	1.1045
593	D	1.45	Commercial, low intensity	13.9	2.104	90.5	675.9	0.2116	1.1050
594	А	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
595	А	2.36	Highway	29.4	3.950	93.4	669.7	5.7452	2.2622
596	D	0.26	Highway	3.5	0.463	10.9	78.5	0.6735	0.2652
597	D	0.03	Highway	0.4	0.059	1.4	9.9	0.0852	0.0335
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	216.5	32.3	1295.3	9620.9	9.3	17.1

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management PracticeTNTPBOD5TSSTotal CuTotal Zu									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.2	0.323	13.0	96.2	0.0928	0.1705			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.0	0.011	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	216.5	32.262	1295.3	9620.9	9.2824	17.0503		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	2.2	0.333	13.0	96.2	0.0928	0.1705		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	214.3	31.9	1282.3	9524.7	9.2	16.9		

Outfall:	FDOT-600-30
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Parker
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 92

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
598	D	3.06	Industrial, light	29.8	6.463	188.9	1491.4	0.0746	1.4168
599	D	0.37	Commercial, low intensity	3.6	0.539	23.2	173.2	0.0542	0.2832
600	А	0.02	Commercial, low intensity	0.2	0.034	1.4	10.8	0.0034	0.0177
601	А	0.80	Commercial, low intensity	7.2	1.097	47.2	352.3	0.1103	0.5759
602	D	2.08	Commercial, low intensity	19.9	3.023	130.0	971.0	0.3040	1.5874
603	А	0.56	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000
604	D	0.03	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.3	0.0000	0.0000
605	А	0.01	Highway	0.2	0.024	0.6	4.1	0.0354	0.0140
606	A	0.15	Highway	1.9	0.248	5.9	42.1	0.3611	0.1422
607	D	9.94	Highway	132.4	17.766	419.9	3012.2	25.8422	10.1754
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	195.3	29.2	817.3	6057.9	26.8	14.2

Water Quality Treatment Summary								
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn								
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.0	0.292	8.2	60.6	0.2679	0.1421		
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.6	1.044	0	0	0	0		
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	195.3	29.199	817.3	6057.9	26.7852	14.2125			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	3.6	1.336	8.2	60.6	0.2679	0.1421			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	191.8	27.9	809.1	5997.3	26.5	14.1			

Outfall:	FDOT-600-210
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Lena
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 92

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
646	D	0.10	Residential, medium density	0.9	0.141	3.4	16.1	0.0069	0.0267	
647	A	0.11	Residential, medium density	0.6	0.096	2.3	11.0	0.0047	0.0182	
648	D	0.24	Residential, high density	3.5	0.796	17.3	119.0	0.0138	0.1316	
649	A	0.21	Residential, high density	2.5	0.561	12.2	83.9	0.0097	0.0927	
650	D	2.07	Industrial, light	20.1	4.364	127.5	1007.0	0.0503	0.9566	
651	D	1.12	Highway	14.9	2.002	47.3	339.4	2.9118	1.1465	
	Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =			42.6	8.0	210.1	1576.5	3.0	2.4	

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management PracticeTNTPBOD5TSSTotal CuTotal Zn									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	0.4	0.080	2.1	15.8	0.0300	0.0237			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.0	0.021	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%) 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%									

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	42.6	7.959	210.1	1576.5	2.9972	2.3724		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	0.5	0.101	2.1	15.8	0.0300	0.0237		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	42.2	7.9	208.0	1560.7	3.0	2.3		

Outfall:	FDOT-655-10
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Lena Run
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 655

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
679	D	62.50	Industrial, light	608.3	131.804	3852.7	30416.2	1.5208	28.8954
680	А	0.01	Industrial, light	0.1	0.027	0.8	6.2	0.0003	0.0059
681	A	1.43	Industrial, light	13.1	2.833	82.8	653.9	0.0327	0.6212
682	А	0.39	Industrial, light	3.6	0.781	22.8	180.2	0.0090	0.1712
683	D	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
684	А	0.04	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
685	А	0.07	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
686	А	0.03	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
687	А	4.57	Commercial, low intensity	41.0	6.223	267.7	1999.2	0.6258	3.2682
688	А	4.49	Commercial, low intensity	40.3	6.117	263.1	1964.9	0.6151	3.2121
689	A	0.62	Commercial, low intensity	5.6	0.845	36.3	271.3	0.0849	0.4435
690	A	0.00	Highway	0.1	0.007	0.2	1.3	0.0107	0.0042
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	712.1	148.6	4526.5	35493.2	2.9	36.6

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	7.1	1.486	45.3	354.9	0.0290	0.3662			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.5	0.342	0	0	0	0			
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%			
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	352.2	73.404	1792.5	24596.8	1.0046	25.3789			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	712.1	148.638	4526.5	35493.2	2.8994	36.6217			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	359.9	75.233	1837.8	24951.7	1.0336	25.7451			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	352.2	73.4	2688.7	10541.5	1.9	10.9			

Outfall:	FDOT-555-25
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake McLeod
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 17

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
691	А	1.55	Residential, medium density	8.7	1.381	33.4	158.4	0.0676	0.2619
692	А	9.55	Residential, medium density	54.0	8.529	206.1	978.1	0.4173	1.6172
693	А	0.91	Residential, medium density	5.1	0.810	19.6	92.9	0.0396	0.1536
694	А	11.65	Commercial, low intensity	104.6	15.873	682.8	5098.9	1.5962	8.3356
695	А	0.58	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000
696	А	1.90	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.009	0.2	1.4	0.0000	0.0000
697	А	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
698	А	1.31	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.006	0.2	1.0	0.0000	0.0000
699	A	3.52	Highway	43.9	5.887	139.1	998.1	8.5628	3.3716
700	А	0.14	Highway	1.7	0.232	5.5	39.3	0.3375	0.1329
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	218.5	32.7	1086.9	7368.6	11.0	13.9

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.2	0.327	10.9	73.7	0.1102	0.1387			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.4	0.258	0	0	0	0			
Dry Retention Removal Efficiency (%)	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%			
Dry Retention Total Removal (lb/yr)	129.5	19.287	645.6	4376.9	6.5465	8.2404			

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	218.5	32.731	1086.9	7368.6	11.0211	13.8728		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	132.1	19.873	656.5	4450.6	6.6567	8.3792		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	86.4	12.9	430.4	2918.0	4.4	5.5		

Outfall:	FDOT-35-65
Receiving Body of Water:	McCullough Creek
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 17

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
701	А	0.02	Residential, low density	0.0	0.003	0.1	0.3	0.0001	0.0004
702	А	5.19	Residential, low density	7.2	0.849	20.9	102.3	0.0356	0.1379
703	А	0.02	Residential, medium density	0.1	0.016	0.4	1.8	0.0008	0.0030
704	А	0.06	Residential, medium density	0.3	0.051	1.2	5.8	0.0025	0.0096
705	А	0.22	Residential, medium density	1.3	0.199	4.8	22.8	0.0097	0.0377
706	А	0.00	Residential, medium density	0.0	0.004	0.1	0.5	0.0002	0.0008
707	А	2.06	Residential, medium density	11.7	1.840	44.5	211.1	0.0900	0.3489
708	А	0.20	Commercial, low intensity	1.8	0.267	11.5	85.8	0.0269	0.1403
709	А	0.27	Commercial, low intensity	2.4	0.367	15.8	117.8	0.0369	0.1926
710	А	1.40	Commercial, low intensity	12.6	1.912	82.3	614.2	0.1923	1.0041
711	А	0.07	Commercial, low intensity	0.7	0.101	4.4	32.6	0.0102	0.0533
712	А	1.16	Commercial, low intensity	10.5	1.587	68.3	509.8	0.1596	0.8333
713	А	0.33	Mining/Extractive	2.9	0.373	188.9	149.2	0.0075	0.1417
714	А	2.42	Agriculture, general	0.6	0.091	0.8	9.1	0.0027	0.0044
715	A	6.74	Agriculture, general	1.6	0.253	2.2	25.3	0.0076	0.0123
716	A	4.82	Highway	60.1	8.056	190.4	1365.9	11.7185	4.6142
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	113.7	16.0	636.5	3254.2	12.3	7.5

Outfall:FDOT-35-65Receiving Body of Water:McCullough CreekCounty:POLKState Road:SR 17

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.1	0.160	6.4	32.5	0.1230	0.0753			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.6	0.372	0	0	0	0			
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%			
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	56.0	7.718	252.0	2255.2	4.2623	5.2214			

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	113.7	15.969	636.5	3254.2	12.3010	7.5345		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	57.7	8.250	258.4	2287.7	4.3853	5.2968		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	56.0	7.7	378.1	966.5	7.9	2.2		

Outfall:	FDOT-555-30
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake McLeod
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 17

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
717	А	4.73	Residential, medium density	26.8	4.229	102.2	485.0	0.2069	0.8018
718	А	0.90	Residential, medium density	5.1	0.803	19.4	92.0	0.0393	0.1522
719	А	1.35	Residential, medium density	7.7	1.209	29.2	138.7	0.0592	0.2293
720	В	0.23	Residential, medium density	1.5	0.241	5.8	27.7	0.0118	0.0458
721	А	0.14	Residential, medium density	0.8	0.122	2.9	14.0	0.0060	0.0231
722	А	2.53	Residential, medium density	14.3	2.258	54.5	258.9	0.1105	0.4281
723	В	0.18	Residential, medium density	1.2	0.184	4.5	21.1	0.0090	0.0349
724	А	0.19	Commercial, low intensity	1.7	0.254	10.9	81.5	0.0255	0.1332
725	А	0.07	Commercial, low intensity	0.6	0.095	4.1	30.6	0.0096	0.0500
726	А	0.59	Commercial, low intensity	5.3	0.800	34.4	256.9	0.0804	0.4200
727	А	26.63	Commercial, low intensity	239.3	36.297	1561.4	11659.5	3.6499	19.0608
728	А	0.45	Commercial, low intensity	4.1	0.618	26.6	198.6	0.0622	0.3247
729	А	0.40	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.0000	0.0000
730	В	0.16	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.7	0.0000	0.0000
731	А	0.38	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
732	В	0.30	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
733	А	0.14	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
734	A	1.34	Highway	16.7	2.245	53.1	380.7	3.2659	1.2859
735	A	0.08	Highway	1.0	0.133	3.1	22.5	0.1934	0.0761
736	А	0.48	Highway	6.0	0.806	19.0	136.6	1.1721	0.4615
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	332.1	50.3	1931.3	13805.4	8.9	23.5

Outfall:FDOT-555-30Receiving Body of Water:Lake McLeodCounty:POLKState Road:SR 17

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total										
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.3	0.503	19.3	138.1	0.0890	0.2353				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.4	0.884	0	0	0	0				
Dry Retention Removal Efficiency (%)	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Dry Retention Total Removal (lb/yr)	196.4	29.348	1147.2	8200.4	5.2876	13.9754				

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	332.1	50.300	1931.3	13805.4	8.9016	23.5276			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	201.1	30.735	1166.5	8338.4	5.3766	14.2106			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	130.9	19.6	764.8	5466.9	3.5	9.3			

Outfall:	FDOT-35-100
Receiving Body of Water:	Peace River
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 98

	Water Quality Summary								
	Soil	Basin		TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/vr)
	Group	(acres)		(,)	(,)	(10/ 11/	(, j.)	(, j.)	(, j.)
737	А	0.74	Residential, low density	1.0	0.121	3.0	14.6	0.0051	0.0197
738	А	23.24	Residential, medium density	131.5	20.769	501.8	2381.8	1.0162	3.9379
739	D	6.55	Residential, medium density	57.1	9.012	217.7	1033.5	0.4410	1.7088
740	А	12.26	Residential, medium density	69.4	10.957	264.7	1256.6	0.5361	2.0776
741	А	5.35	Residential, medium density	30.3	4.782	115.5	548.4	0.2340	0.9066
742	А	4.13	Residential, medium density	23.3	3.688	89.1	422.9	0.1804	0.6992
743	А	6.99	Residential, medium density	39.5	6.246	150.9	716.2	0.3056	1.1842
744	А	1.30	Mining/Extractive	11.7	1.487	753.2	594.7	0.0297	0.5649
745	А	1.99	Mining/Extractive	17.9	2.276	1153.2	910.4	0.0455	0.8649
746	А	0.59	Mining/Extractive	5.3	0.676	342.6	270.5	0.0135	0.2570
747	А	1.59	Mining/Extractive	14.3	1.816	920.0	726.3	0.0363	0.6900
748	А	5.18	Commercial, low intensity	46.6	7.065	303.9	2269.6	0.7105	3.7103
749	D	1.26	Commercial, low intensity	12.1	1.830	78.7	587.8	0.1840	0.9610
750	А	1.62	Commercial, low intensity	14.6	2.208	95.0	709.4	0.2221	1.1597
751	D	0.36	Commercial, low intensity	3.4	0.522	22.4	167.5	0.0524	0.2739
752	А	0.44	Commercial, low intensity	3.9	0.595	25.6	191.3	0.0599	0.3127
753	А	0.33	Industrial, light	3.0	0.646	18.9	149.1	0.0075	0.1417
754	D	17.47	Industrial, light	170.0	36.833	1076.6	8499.8	0.4250	8.0749
755	D	3.02	Commercial, low intensity	28.9	4.380	188.4	1407.0	0.4405	2.3001
756	А	2.44	Commercial, low intensity	21.9	3.322	142.9	1067.3	0.3341	1.7448
757	А	0.63	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000
758	A	1.82	Highway	22.8	3.052	72.1	517.4	4.4392	1.7479
759	A	5.46	Commercial, low intensity	49.1	7.446	320.3	2391.9	0.7488	3.9103
760	D	0.86	Commercial, low intensity	8.3	1.253	53.9	402.6	0.1260	0.6582
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	785.7	131.0	6910.8	27237.3	10.6	37.9

Outfall:FDOT-35-100Receiving Body of Water:Peace RiverCounty:POLKState Road:SR 98

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu To									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	7.9	1.310	69.1	272.4	0.1059	0.3791			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	3.7	2.395	0	0	0	0			
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%			
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	387.1	63.641	2736.7	18875.4	3.6706	26.2690			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	785.7	130.987	6910.8	27237.3	10.5935	37.9062			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	398.7	67.346	2805.8	19147.8	3.7766	26.6480			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	387.1	63.6	4105.0	8089.5	6.8	11.3			

Outfall:	FDOT-37-60
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Hollingsworth
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 37

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
761	A	18.55	Residential, medium density	104.9	16.574	400.4	1900.6	0.8109	3.1424	
762	D	1.32	Residential, medium density	11.5	1.817	43.9	208.4	0.0889	0.3445	
763	A	18.14	Residential, medium density	102.6	16.209	391.6	1858.8	0.7931	3.0732	
764	D	17.05	Commercial, low intensity	163.2	24.754	1064.8	7951.8	2.4892	12.9994	
765	А	1.05	Commercial, low intensity	9.4	1.428	61.4	458.7	0.1436	0.7498	
766	766 A 3.04 Commercial, low intensity		27.3	4.147	178.4	1332.0	0.4170	2.1776		
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	419.0	64.9	2140.5	13710.3	4.7	22.5	

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn										
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	4.2	0.649	21.4	137.1	0.0474	0.2249				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.7	1.079	0	0	0	0				
No Structural Treatment (%) 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%										

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	419.0	64.928	2140.5	13710.3	4.7427	22.4869			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	5.9	1.729	21.4	137.1	0.0474	0.2249			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	413.1	63.2	2119.1	13573.2	4.7	22.3			

Outfall:	FDOT-60-130
Receiving Body of Water:	Peace Creek
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 60

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
810	А	1.58	Residential, low density	2.2	0.259	6.4	31.2	0.0108	0.0420
811	А	2.31	Residential, low density	3.2	0.378	9.3	45.5	0.0158	0.0614
812	А	0.28	Residential, low density	0.4	0.046	1.1	5.5	0.0019	0.0074
813	А	6.45	Residential, low density	8.9	1.057	26.0	127.2	0.0443	0.1715
814	А	1.65	Residential, low density	2.3	0.271	6.7	32.6	0.0113	0.0439
815	А	3.94	Residential, low density	5.4	0.646	15.9	77.7	0.0270	0.1048
816	А	0.42	Commercial, low intensity	3.8	0.571	24.6	183.5	0.0574	0.3000
817	А	0.30	Commercial, low intensity	2.7	0.407	17.5	130.8	0.0409	0.2138
818	А	1.67	Highway	20.8	2.797	66.1	474.2	4.0682	1.6018
819	А	0.10	Highway	1.2	0.161	3.8	27.3	0.2344	0.0923
820	А	2.25	Highway	28.0	3.756	88.8	636.9	5.4637	2.1513
821	A	0.03	Highway	0.4	0.053	1.2	8.9	0.0767	0.0302
822	А	1.61	Highway	20.1	2.695	63.7	457.0	3.9204	1.5437
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	99.4	13.1	331.1	2238.3	14.0	6.4

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.0	0.131	3.3	22.4	0.1397	0.0636			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.0	0.001	0	0	0	0			
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%			
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	49.2	6.482	131.1	1551.2	4.8416	4.4103			

Overall Summary						
	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)
Raw Pollutant Load	99.4	13.096	331.1	2238.3	13.9730	6.3641
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	50.2	6.614	134.4	1573.6	4.9814	4.4740
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	49.2	6.5	196.7	664.8	9.0	1.9
Outfall:	FDOT-555-35					
--------------------------	-------------					
Receiving Body of Water:	Peace Creek					
County:	POLK					
State Road:	SR 17					

	Water Quality Summary										
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)		
823	A	0.00	Residential, low density	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0001		
824	А	0.90	Residential, low density	1.2	0.147	3.6	17.7	0.0061	0.0238		
825	А	0.03	Commercial, low intensity	0.2	0.038	1.6	12.1	0.0038	0.0198		
826	А	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.010	0.4	3.2	0.0010	0.0052		
827	А	0.07	Commercial, low intensity	0.6	0.089	3.8	28.7	0.0090	0.0470		
828	А	2.45	Commercial, low intensity	22.0	3.336	143.5	1071.7	0.3355	1.7521		
829	А	0.87	Commercial, low intensity	7.8	1.188	51.1	381.7	0.1195	0.6240		
830	А	0.88	Commercial, low intensity	7.9	1.193	51.3	383.3	0.1200	0.6266		
831	А	0.06	Commercial, low intensity	0.5	0.083	3.6	26.7	0.0083	0.0436		
832	В	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0001		
833	А	0.56	Commercial, low intensity	5.0	0.765	32.9	245.7	0.0769	0.4017		
834	В	0.05	Commercial, low intensity	0.4	0.065	2.8	21.0	0.0066	0.0344		
835	А	0.09	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000		
836	А	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
837	А	0.22	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000		
838	А	4.88	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.5	0.023	0.6	3.6	0.0000	0.0000		
839	А	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
840	А	0.31	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000		
841	А	1.47	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.007	0.2	1.1	0.0000	0.0000		
842	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
843	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
844	А	0.49	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000		
845	А	3.58	Highway	44.7	5.990	141.6	1015.6	8.7131	3.4308		
846	А	1.16	Highway	14.4	1.933	45.7	327.8	2.8121	1.1073		
847	В	1.12	Highway	14.2	1.910	45.1	323.8	2.7776	1.0937		
848	А	1.95	Highway	24.4	3.269	77.3	554.2	4.7548	1.8722		
849	А	0.99	Highway	12.3	1.648	39.0	279.5	2.3976	0.9441		
850	A	3.43	Highway	42.8	5.743	135.7	973.7	8.3535	3.2892		
851	A	1.02	Highway	12.7	1.705	40.3	289.1	2.4801	0.9766		
	R	aw Pollut	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	212.1	29.1	820.4	5961.1	33.0	16.3		

Outfall:	FDOT-555-35
Receiving Body of Water:	Peace Creek
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 17

Water Quality Treatment Summary												
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn						
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.1	0.291	8.2	59.6	0.3298	0.1629						
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	4.0	2.542	0	0	0	0						
Dry Retention Removal Efficiency (%)	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%						
Dry Retention Total Removal (lb/yr)	123.6	15.790	487.3	3540.9	19.5875	9.6774						

Overall Summary											
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Tota											
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)					
Raw Pollutant Load	212.1	29.150	820.4	5961.1	32.9755	16.2920					
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	129.7	18.623	495.5	3600.5	19.9172	9.8403					
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	82.4	10.5	324.9	2360.6	13.1	6.5					

Outfall:	FDOT-555-40
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Lulu
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 17

	Water Quality Summary										
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)		
852	D	0.60	Commercial, low intensity	5.7	0.871	37.5	279.7	0.0876	0.4573		
853	А	0.08	Commercial, low intensity	0.7	0.103	4.4	33.2	0.0104	0.0542		
854	А	1.41	Commercial, low intensity	12.7	1.924	82.8	618.1	0.1935	1.0104		
855	D	1.02	Industrial, light	10.0	2.158	63.1	497.9	0.0249	0.4730		
856	А	0.17	Industrial, light	1.6	0.337	9.8	77.7	0.0039	0.0738		
857	А	0.05	Commercial, low intensity	0.5	0.074	3.2	23.7	0.0074	0.0388		
858	D	10.56	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	19.3	0.923	23.5	141.0	0.0000	0.0000		
859	А	4.33	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.4	0.021	0.5	3.2	0.0000	0.0000		
860	А	0.76	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.6	0.0000	0.0000		
861	А	1.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.005	0.1	0.7	0.0000	0.0000		
862	D	3.51	Highway	46.7	6.268	148.2	1062.7	9.1170	3.5898		
863	А	2.62	Highway	32.7	4.384	103.6	743.3	6.3765	2.5107		
864	А	0.21	Highway	2.6	0.346	8.2	58.6	0.5026	0.1979		
865	А	0.75	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000		
866	D	0.04	Commercial, low intensity	0.4	0.059	2.5	19.0	0.0059	0.0310		
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	133.5	17.5	487.6	3559.8	16.3	8.4		

Outfall:FDOT-555-40Receiving Body of Water:Lake LuluCounty:POLKState Road:SR 17

Water Quality Treatment Summary												
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn						
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.3	0.175	4.9	35.6	0.1633	0.0844						
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	0.7	0.457	0	0	0	0						
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%						
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	26.3	10.108	241.3	2995.6	9.6998	7.0998						

Overall Summary											
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Tota											
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)					
Raw Pollutant Load	133.5	17.478	487.6	3559.8	16.3297	8.4370					
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	28.3	10.740	246.2	3031.2	9.8631	7.1841					
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	105.1	6.7	241.3	528.6	6.5	1.3					

Outfall:	FDOT-555-55
Receiving Body of Water:	Spring Lake
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 17

	Water Quality Summary											
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)			
867	A	2.24	Residential, medium density	12.7	2.004	48.4	229.8	0.0981	0.3800			
868	D	0.84	Residential, medium density	7.3	1.161	28.0	133.1	0.0568	0.2201			
869	A	1.79	Residential, high density	21.8	4.888	106.2	731.3	0.0846	0.8084			
870	А	0.57	Commercial, low intensity	5.2	0.783	33.7	251.4	0.0787	0.4111			
871	D	1.42	Commercial, low intensity	13.6	2.060	88.6	661.8	0.2072	1.0819			
872	А	15.70	Commercial, low intensity	141.1	21.401	920.6	6874.6	2.1520	11.2384			
873	А	0.03	Commercial, low intensity	0.3	0.041	1.8	13.1	0.0041	0.0215			
874	D	0.64	Commercial, low intensity	6.1	0.924	39.7	296.8	0.0929	0.4852			
875	А	0.29	Commercial, low intensity	2.6	0.401	17.3	128.8	0.0403	0.2106			
876	D	0.71	Commercial, low intensity	6.8	1.032	44.4	331.6	0.1038	0.5421			
877	A	0.31	Commercial, low intensity	2.8	0.428	18.4	137.4	0.0430	0.2247			
878	D	0.35	Commercial, low intensity	3.3	0.508	21.8	163.1	0.0511	0.2667			
879	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.004	0.2	1.3	0.0004	0.0022			
880	D	3.08	Commercial, low intensity	29.4	4.465	192.1	1434.3	0.4490	2.3447			
881	A	1.24	Residential, low density	1.7	0.203	5.0	24.4	0.0085	0.0329			
882	D	0.06	Residential, low density	0.3	0.037	0.9	4.4	0.0015	0.0059			
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	255.1	40.3	1567.1	11417.4	3.5	18.3			

Outfall:FDOT-555-55Receiving Body of Water:Spring LakeCounty:POLKState Road:SR 17

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.6	0.403	15.7	114.2	0.0347	0.1828					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.3	0.851	0	0	0	0					
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%					

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total 7								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	255.1	40.339	1567.1	11417.4	3.4720	18.2762		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	3.9	1.254	15.7	114.2	0.0347	0.1828		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	251.2	39.1	1551.4	11303.2	3.4	18.1		

Outfall:	OF187
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Ida
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 17

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
883	D	0.20	Residential, medium density	1.8	0.276	6.7	31.7	0.0135	0.0524
884	А	13.88	Residential, medium density	78.5	12.404	299.7	1422.4	0.6069	2.3518
885	A	4.03	Residential, medium density	22.8	3.597	86.9	412.6	0.1760	0.6821
886	D	0.02	Residential, medium density	0.2	0.031	0.7	3.5	0.0015	0.0058
887	D	1.59	Commercial, low intensity	15.2	2.311	99.4	742.5	0.2324	1.2137
888	А	0.19	Commercial, low intensity	1.7	0.253	10.9	81.4	0.0255	0.1331
889	А	12.53	Commercial, low intensity	112.6	17.081	734.8	5487.0	1.7177	8.9700
890	А	1.07	Commercial, low intensity	9.6	1.454	62.6	467.1	0.1462	0.7636
891	D	0.09	Commercial, low intensity	0.9	0.137	5.9	44.1	0.0138	0.0720
892	А	4.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.4	0.019	0.5	2.9	0.0000	0.0000
893	D	12.15	Commercial, low intensity	116.3	17.637	758.7	5665.5	1.7735	9.2618
894	A	1.86	Commercial, low intensity	16.7	2.540	109.3	815.9	0.2554	1.3339
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	376.6	57.7	2176.0	15176.6	5.0	24.8

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Tc									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.8	0.577	21.8	151.8	0.0496	0.2484			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.3	0.859	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	376.6	57.742	2176.0	15176.6	4.9625	24.8404		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	5.1	1.436	21.8	151.8	0.0496	0.2484		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	371.5	56.3	2154.2	15024.8	4.9	24.6		

Outfall:	FDOT-555-85
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Conine
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 17

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
895	D	0.15	Residential, medium density	1.3	0.210	5.1	24.1	0.0103	0.0398
896	А	0.26	Residential, medium density	1.5	0.233	5.6	26.8	0.0114	0.0442
897	D	2.07	Commercial, low intensity	19.8	3.007	129.3	965.9	0.3024	1.5790
898	D	19.10	Commercial, low intensity	182.8	27.726	1192.7	8906.3	2.7880	14.5598
899	А	2.87	Commercial, low intensity	25.8	3.913	168.3	1257.1	0.3935	2.0550
900	А	1.59	Commercial, low intensity	14.3	2.171	93.4	697.5	0.2184	1.1403
901	А	1.60	Commercial, low intensity	14.3	2.177	93.6	699.2	0.2189	1.1431
902	А	0.34	Commercial, low intensity	3.1	0.463	19.9	148.8	0.0466	0.2433
903	А	0.36	Commercial, low intensity	3.3	0.495	21.3	158.9	0.0497	0.2598
904	D	8.30	Commercial, low intensity	79.5	12.054	518.5	3872.1	1.2121	6.3301
905	A	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.010	0.4	3.2	0.0010	0.0053
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	345.7	52.5	2248.3	16759.9	5.3	27.4

Water Quality Treatment Summary								
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total								
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.5	0.525	22.5	167.6	0.0525	0.2740		
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	1.2	0.770	0	0	0	0		
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Tota								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	345.7	52.459	2248.3	16759.9	5.2523	27.3997		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	4.7	1.295	22.5	167.6	0.0525	0.2740		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	341.0	51.2	2225.8	16592.3	5.2	27.1		

Outfall:	FDOT-542-05
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Elbert
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 542

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
906	A	29.61	Residential, medium density	167.5	26.462	639.3	3034.7	1.2948	5.0173	
907	A	0.10	Residential, medium density	0.6	0.090	2.2	10.4	0.0044	0.0171	
908	А	26.94	Commercial, low intensity	242.1	36.721	1579.6	11796.0	3.6926	19.2838	
909	D	1.52	Commercial, low intensity	14.5	2.205	94.9	708.4	0.2218	1.1581	
910	A	8.99	Commercial, low intensity	80.8	12.257	527.3	3937.4	1.2326	6.4367	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	505.5	77.7	2843.3	19486.8	6.4	31.9	

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu To									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	5.1	0.777	28.4	194.9	0.0645	0.3191			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.3	1.493	0	0	0	0			
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%			
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	249.1	37.733	1125.9	13504.3	2.2336	22.1158			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	505.5	77.737	2843.3	19486.8	6.4462	31.9131			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	256.4	40.003	1154.4	13699.2	2.2981	22.4349			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	249.1	37.7	1688.9	5787.6	4.1	9.5			

Outfall:	FDOT-540-65
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Dexter
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 540

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
930	А	6.77	Residential, medium density	38.3	6.051	146.2	693.9	0.2961	1.1473
931	А	0.68	Residential, medium density	3.8	0.607	14.7	69.6	0.0297	0.1150
932	А	0.24	Residential, high density	2.9	0.650	14.1	97.2	0.0112	0.1075
933	А	0.00	Residential, high density	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0002
934	А	0.34	Residential, high density	4.2	0.942	20.5	140.9	0.0163	0.1558
935	А	6.61	Commercial, low intensity	59.4	9.006	387.4	2893.1	0.9057	4.7296
936	А	2.27	Commercial, low intensity	20.4	3.094	133.1	994.0	0.3112	1.6250
937	А	1.61	Commercial, low intensity	14.4	2.192	94.3	704.0	0.2204	1.1510
938	А	0.03	Commercial, low intensity	0.2	0.037	1.6	12.0	0.0038	0.0196
939	А	17.95	Commercial, low intensity	161.2	24.459	1052.1	7856.8	2.4595	12.8442
940	А	0.10	Commercial, low intensity	0.9	0.134	5.8	43.0	0.0135	0.0703
941	А	0.87	Commercial, low intensity	7.8	1.180	50.8	379.2	0.1187	0.6199
942	А	8.52	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.9	0.041	1.0	6.2	0.0000	0.0000
943	А	12.61	Highway	157.2	21.088	498.4	3575.3	30.6728	12.0774
944	А	0.94	Highway	11.8	1.578	37.3	267.6	2.2956	0.9039
945	D	0.08	Highway	1.1	0.141	3.3	23.9	0.2050	0.0807
946	А	0.17	Highway	2.1	0.279	6.6	47.2	0.4052	0.1595
947	А	0.91	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.7	0.0000	0.0000
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	486.6	71.5	2467.3	17804.9	38.0	35.8

Outfall:FDOT-540-65Receiving Body of Water:Lake DexterCounty:POLKState Road:SR 540

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	4.9	0.715	24.7	178.0	0.3796	0.3581				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	3.4	2.192	0	0	0	0				
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%				
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	239.2	34.288	977.1	12338.8	13.1547	24.8141				

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	486.6	71.484	2467.3	17804.9	37.9645	35.8068		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	247.5	37.195	1001.7	12516.8	13.5343	25.1722		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	239.2	34.3	1465.6	5288.0	24.4	10.6		

Outfall:	FDOT-60-45
Receiving Body of Water:	N. Bear Branch
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 60

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
948	А	0.06	Industrial, light	0.6	0.121	3.5	27.8	0.0014	0.0264
949	D	5.04	Industrial, light	49.1	10.634	310.8	2454.1	0.1227	2.3314
950	А	12.37	Industrial, light	113.0	24.484	715.7	5650.2	0.2825	5.3677
951	А	7.60	Mining/Extractive	68.3	8.683	4399.5	3473.3	0.1737	3.2996
952	D	3.31	Mining/Extractive	31.6	4.022	2038.0	1608.9	0.0804	1.5285
953	D	0.00	Mining/Extractive	0.0	0.001	0.6	0.5	0.0000	0.0005
954	А	1.88	Mining/Extractive	16.9	2.143	1085.9	857.3	0.0429	0.8144
955	А	0.35	Mining/Extractive	3.2	0.401	203.4	160.6	0.0080	0.1525
956	А	0.83	Commercial, low intensity	7.4	1.125	48.4	361.3	0.1131	0.5907
957	А	0.30	Commercial, low intensity	2.7	0.410	17.6	131.8	0.0413	0.2154
958	D	0.43	Commercial, low intensity	4.1	0.619	26.6	198.7	0.0622	0.3249
959	А	1.52	Highway	19.0	2.548	60.2	432.0	3.7059	1.4592
960	А	12.77	Highway	159.1	21.349	504.6	3619.6	31.0532	12.2272
961	A	0.72	Highway	9.0	1.201	28.4	203.6	1.7468	0.6878
962	D	0.63	Highway	8.3	1.120	26.5	189.9	1.6289	0.6414
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	492.3	78.9	9469.8	19369.5	39.1	29.7

Outfall:FDOT-60-45Receiving Body of Water:N. Bear BranchCounty:POLKState Road:SR 60

Water Quality Treatment Summary								
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn		
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	4.9	0.789	94.7	193.7	0.3906	0.2967		
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	3.2	2.068	0	0	0	0		
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%		
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	242.1	38.003	3750.0	13423.1	13.5353	20.5596		

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	492.3	78.862	9469.8	19369.5	39.0630	29.6676		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	250.2	40.859	3844.7	13616.8	13.9260	20.8563		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	242.1	38.0	5625.0	5752.8	25.1	8.8		

Outfall:	FDOT-60-35
Receiving Body of Water:	N. Bear Branch
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 60

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
963	А	0.01	Mining/Extractive	0.1	0.010	5.0	3.9	0.0002	0.0037
964	А	6.91	Mining/Extractive	62.1	7.895	4000.0	3157.9	0.1579	3.0000
965	А	0.05	Mining/Extractive	0.5	0.059	29.8	23.5	0.0012	0.0223
966	А	0.08	Mining/Extractive	0.7	0.086	43.5	34.4	0.0017	0.0326
967	А	1.37	Mining/Extractive	12.3	1.567	794.1	626.9	0.0313	0.5956
968	А	0.48	Mining/Extractive	4.3	0.547	277.1	218.7	0.0109	0.2078
969	А	1.51	Commercial, low intensity	13.5	2.054	88.4	659.8	0.2065	1.0786
970	D	3.88	Commercial, low intensity	37.1	5.634	242.3	1809.6	0.5665	2.9584
971	А	0.06	Highway	0.8	0.101	2.4	17.1	0.1465	0.0577
972	А	2.73	Highway	34.1	4.572	108.1	775.1	6.6495	2.6183
973	A	0.78	Highway	9.7	1.302	30.8	220.8	1.8943	0.7459
974	D	0.33	Highway	4.3	0.583	13.8	98.9	0.8485	0.3341
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	179.5	24.4	5635.0	7646.6	10.5	11.7

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.8	0.244	56.4	76.5	0.1052	0.1165			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.3	0.184	0	0	0	0			
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%			
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	88.7	11.990	2231.5	5299.1	3.6435	8.0768			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	179.5	24.409	5635.0	7646.6	10.5151	11.6549			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	90.8	12.418	2287.8	5375.5	3.7486	8.1934			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	88.7	12.0	3347.2	2271.0	6.8	3.5			

Outfall:FDOT-600-280Receiving Body of Water:Channel to Lake HainesCounty:POLKState Road:SR 92

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
975	A	0.02	Residential, high density	0.3	0.065	1.4	9.7	0.0011	0.0107	
976	А	0.07	Residential, high density	0.8	0.184	4.0	27.5	0.0032	0.0304	
977	А	4.79	Industrial, light	43.7	9.475	277.0	2186.6	0.1093	2.0772	
978	А	2.97	Industrial, light	27.1	5.877	171.8	1356.3	0.0678	1.2885	
979	А	0.43	Commercial, low intensity	3.9	0.590	25.4	189.7	0.0594	0.3100	
980	D	0.02	Commercial, low intensity	0.2	0.028	1.2	9.1	0.0028	0.0148	
981	А	2.77	Commercial, low intensity	24.9	3.771	162.2	1211.3	0.3792	1.9802	
982	А	0.03	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
983	А	0.04	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
984		0.25	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
985	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
986	А	1.17	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.006	0.1	0.9	0.0000	0.0000	
987	А	0.50	Commercial, low intensity	4.5	0.688	29.6	221.0	0.0692	0.3613	
988	А	3.15	Commercial, low intensity	28.3	4.299	184.9	1381.0	0.4323	2.2576	
989	A	0.84	Commercial, low intensity	7.5	1.139	49.0	365.9	0.1145	0.5982	
990	А	0.56	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000	
991	А	0.16	Highway	2.1	0.275	6.5	46.7	0.4004	0.1577	
992	A	1.65	Highway	20.6	2.762	65.3	468.3	4.0179	1.5821	
993	A	0.07	Highway	0.8	0.113	2.7	19.2	0.1645	0.0648	
994	A	0.50	Highway	6.2	0.831	19.6	140.9	1.2085	0.4759	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	171.1	30.1	1000.8	7634.2	7.0	11.2	

Outfall:FDOT-600-280Receiving Body of Water:Channel to Lake HainesCounty:POLKState Road:SR 92

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn						
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.7	0.301	10.0	76.3	0.0703	0.1121			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.1	0.071	0	0	0	0			
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%			
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	84.7	14.867	396.3	5290.5	2.4360	7.7680			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total 2									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	171.1	30.106	1000.8	7634.2	7.0303	11.2093			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	86.5	15.239	406.3	5366.9	2.5063	7.8801			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	84.7	14.9	594.5	2267.4	4.5	3.3			

Outfall:	FDOT-600-235
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Elsie
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 92

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
995	A	1.82	Commercial, low intensity	16.4	2.487	107.0	798.9	0.2501	1.3061
996	D	1.83	Commercial, low intensity	17.5	2.656	114.3	853.2	0.2671	1.3948
997	A	0.37	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.0000	0.0000
998	А	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
999	А	1.28	Highway	16.0	2.140	50.6	362.8	3.1126	1.2256
1000	D	4.04	Highway	53.8	7.217	170.6	1223.6	10.4975	4.1334
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	103.7	14.5	442.5	3238.8	14.1	8.1

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.0	0.145	4.4	32.4	0.1413	0.0806			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.5	0.313	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	103.7	14.502	442.5	3238.8	14.1273	8.0598			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	1.5	0.458	4.4	32.4	0.1413	0.0806			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	102.2	14.0	438.0	3206.4	14.0	8.0			

Outfall:	FDOT-60-20
Receiving Body of Water:	Ellis Branch
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 60

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1001	A	0.18	Residential, medium density	1.0	0.164	4.0	18.8	0.0080	0.0311
1002	A	0.95	Residential, medium density	5.4	0.853	20.6	97.8	0.0417	0.1617
1003	A	1.94	Commercial, low intensity	17.5	2.647	113.9	850.4	0.2662	1.3902
1004	A	0.45	Commercial, low intensity	4.1	0.615	26.5	197.6	0.0619	0.3231
1005	А	0.35	Commercial, low intensity	3.2	0.479	20.6	153.8	0.0481	0.2514
1006	А	0.01	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1007	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1008	A	0.04	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1009	D	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.0000	0.0000
1010	A	0.06	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1011	A	0.79	Commercial, low intensity	7.1	1.081	46.5	347.2	0.1087	0.5676
1012	А	3.42	Highway	42.6	5.711	135.0	968.3	8.3071	3.2709
1013	A	0.56	Highway	6.9	0.931	22.0	157.9	1.3544	0.5333
1014	D	0.03	Highway	0.3	0.047	1.1	7.9	0.0681	0.0268
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	88.1	12.5	390.2	2800.1	10.3	6.6

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	0.9	0.125	3.9	28.0	0.1026	0.0656			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.6	0.372	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	88.1	12.530	390.2	2800.1	10.2643	6.5561			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	1.5	0.497	3.9	28.0	0.1026	0.0656			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	86.7	12.0	386.3	2772.1	10.2	6.5			

Outfall:FDOT-546-15Receiving Body of Water:Itchepackesassa CreekCounty:POLKState Road:SR 92

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1015	А	16.65	Residential, medium density	94.2	14.874	359.3	1705.7	0.7278	2.8201
1016	А	0.72	Residential, medium density	4.1	0.644	15.5	73.8	0.0315	0.1220
1017	D	0.09	Residential, medium density	0.8	0.129	3.1	14.8	0.0063	0.0245
1018	А	0.05	Residential, medium density	0.3	0.043	1.0	5.0	0.0021	0.0082
1019	А	7.55	Commercial, low intensity	67.8	10.292	442.7	3306.2	1.0350	5.4050
1020	В	1.57	Commercial, low intensity	14.4	2.183	93.9	701.2	0.2195	1.1462
1021	А	1.36	Commercial, low intensity	12.2	1.857	79.9	596.7	0.1868	0.9754
1022	А	6.94	Commercial, low intensity	62.4	9.460	407.0	3039.0	0.9513	4.9681
1023	D	0.41	Commercial, low intensity	3.9	0.596	25.6	191.5	0.0600	0.3131
1024	А	3.70	Industrial, light	33.8	7.326	214.2	1690.7	0.0845	1.6061
1025	А	2.45	Industrial, light	22.4	4.860	142.1	1121.5	0.0561	1.0654
1026	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1027	В	0.03	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
1028	А	0.08	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
1029	A	3.34	Highway	41.6	5.583	132.0	946.6	8.1211	3.1977
1030	D	0.00	Highway	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0006	0.0002
1031	В	0.31	Highway	4.0	0.536	12.7	90.9	0.7800	0.3071
	Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) = 362.0 58.4 1929.1 13483.8 12.3 22.0						22.0		

Outfall:FDOT-546-15Receiving Body of Water:Itchepackesassa CreekCounty:POLKState Road:SR 92

Water Quality Treatment Summary							
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z							
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.6	0.584	19.3	134.8	0.1226	0.2196	
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.2	0.154	0	0	0	0	
Dry Retention Removal Efficiency (%)	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	
Dry Retention Total Removal (lb/yr)	214.9	34.589	1145.9	8009.4	7.2840	13.0438	

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zr							
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
Raw Pollutant Load	362.0	58.386	1929.1	13483.8	12.2626	21.9593	
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	218.7	35.327	1165.2	8144.2	7.4066	13.2634	
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	143.3	23.1	763.9	5339.6	4.9	8.7	

Outfall:	FDOT-35-135
Receiving Body of Water:	Banana Lake
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 35

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1086	А	2.84	Residential, low density	3.9	0.465	11.4	56.0	0.0195	0.0755
1087	А	4.92	Residential, low density	6.8	0.806	19.8	97.0	0.0337	0.1307
1088	D	1.33	Residential, medium density	11.6	1.828	44.2	209.6	0.0894	0.3465
1089	А	0.10	Residential, medium density	0.6	0.088	2.1	10.1	0.0043	0.0167
1090	А	17.07	Residential, medium density	96.5	15.249	368.4	1748.8	0.7461	2.8913
1091	А	21.29	Residential, medium density	120.4	19.023	459.6	2181.6	0.9308	3.6069
1092	А	0.18	Residential, medium density	1.0	0.164	4.0	18.8	0.0080	0.0311
1093	А	35.92	Residential, medium density	203.2	32.099	775.5	3681.1	1.5706	6.0860
1094	D	0.37	Residential, high density	5.4	1.220	26.5	182.5	0.0211	0.2017
1095	А	5.08	Residential, high density	61.9	13.884	301.7	2077.3	0.2403	2.2963
1096	А	0.00	Residential, high density	0.0	0.007	0.1	1.0	0.0001	0.0011
1097	А	0.11	Residential, high density	1.4	0.311	6.7	46.5	0.0054	0.0514
1098	А	0.17	Residential, high density	2.0	0.458	10.0	68.5	0.0079	0.0758
1099	D	0.36	Commercial, low intensity	3.5	0.523	22.5	168.1	0.0526	0.2749
1100	D	8.20	Industrial, light	79.8	17.285	505.3	3988.9	0.1994	3.7894
1101	А	0.55	Industrial, light	5.0	1.084	31.7	250.1	0.0125	0.2376
1102	А	10.62	Industrial, light	97.0	21.019	614.4	4850.5	0.2425	4.6080
1103	А	4.78	Industrial, light	43.7	9.466	276.7	2184.4	0.1092	2.0751
1104	А	0.68	Commercial, low intensity	6.1	0.924	39.7	296.7	0.0929	0.4851
1105	D	1.79	Commercial, low intensity	17.1	2.598	111.8	834.6	0.2613	1.3643
1106	А	0.53	Commercial, low intensity	4.8	0.721	31.0	231.7	0.0725	0.3787
1107	D	9.15	Highway	121.9	16.358	386.6	2773.4	23.7934	9.3687
1108	А	0.19	Highway	2.3	0.315	7.4	53.3	0.4575	0.1802
1109	А	0.88	Highway	10.9	1.468	34.7	248.8	2.1347	0.8405
1110	А	0.73	Highway	9.1	1.218	28.8	206.5	1.7720	0.6977
1111	А	0.37	Highway	4.6	0.622	14.7	105.4	0.9046	0.3562
1112	А	1.03	Highway	12.8	1.723	40.7	292.2	2.5064	0.9869
	Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) = 933.6 160.9 4176.1 26863.4 36.3 41.5						41.5		

Outfall:FDOT-35-135Receiving Body of Water:Banana LakeCounty:POLKState Road:SR 35

Water Quality Treatment Summary							
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z							
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	9.3	1.609	41.8	268.6	0.3629	0.4145	
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	0.0	0.000	0	0	0	0	
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%	
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	462.1	79.658	1653.7	18616.3	12.5741	28.7278	

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z							
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
Raw Pollutant Load	933.6	160.925	4176.1	26863.4	36.2890	41.4543	
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	471.4	81.267	1695.5	18885.0	12.9370	29.1424	
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	462.1	79.7	2480.6	7978.4	23.4	12.3	

Outfall:	FDOT-35-145
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Bonny
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 35

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1113	А	47.06	Residential, medium density	266.2	42.054	1016.0	4822.7	2.0577	7.9736
1114	D	0.05	Residential, medium density	0.4	0.069	1.7	7.9	0.0034	0.0130
1115	D	2.16	Residential, medium density	18.8	2.970	71.7	340.6	0.1453	0.5631
1116	А	10.27	Residential, medium density	58.1	9.179	221.7	1052.6	0.4491	1.7403
1117	D	24.59	Commercial, low intensity	235.3	35.701	1535.7	11468.2	3.5901	18.7481
1118	А	1.23	Commercial, low intensity	11.1	1.681	72.3	540.0	0.1691	0.8828
1119	D	0.20	Commercial, low intensity	1.9	0.294	12.7	94.5	0.0296	0.1545
1120	А	10.94	Commercial, low intensity	98.3	14.916	641.6	4791.5	1.4999	7.8330
1121	D	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.7	0.0002	0.0012
1122	D	0.05	Commercial, low intensity	0.5	0.072	3.1	23.2	0.0073	0.0379
1123	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.003	0.1	1.0	0.0003	0.0016
1124	D	0.05	Commercial, low intensity	0.5	0.074	3.2	23.8	0.0075	0.0389
1125	А	0.07	Commercial, low intensity	0.6	0.098	4.2	31.5	0.0099	0.0516
1126	D	7.69	Commercial, low intensity	73.6	11.160	480.1	3585.0	1.1222	5.8606
1127	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.004	0.2	1.4	0.0004	0.0022
1128	А	7.84	Commercial, low intensity	70.5	10.689	459.8	3433.7	1.0749	5.6133
1129	D	3.50	Highway	46.7	6.261	148.0	1061.5	9.1065	3.5857
1130	A	3.52	Highway	43.8	5.879	139.0	996.8	8.5514	3.3671
1131	D	16.76	Highway	223.3	29.960	708.2	5079.7	43.5789	17.1592
	Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) = 1149.8 171.1 5519.4 37356.2 71.4 73.6						73.6		

Outfall:FDOT-35-145Receiving Body of Water:Lake BonnyCounty:POLKState Road:SR 35

Water Quality Treatment Summary						
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	11.5	1.711	55.2	373.6	0.7140	0.7363
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	10.2	6.541	0	0	0	0
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	564.0	81.408	2185.7	25887.9	24.7414	51.0241

Overall Summary							
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z							
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
Raw Pollutant Load	1149.8	171.068	5519.4	37356.2	71.4036	73.6278	
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	585.8	89.659	2240.9	26261.4	25.4554	51.7603	
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	564.0	81.4	3278.5	11094.8	45.9	21.9	

Outfall:	FDOT-35-50
Receiving Body of Water:	McCullough Creek
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 17

	Water Quality Summary										
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)		
1132	А	13.41	Residential, medium density	75.8	11.980	289.4	1373.9	0.5862	2.2715		
1133	D	0.20	Residential, medium density	1.7	0.272	6.6	31.1	0.0133	0.0515		
1134	А	35.65	Residential, medium density	201.7	31.857	769.6	3653.3	1.5588	6.0402		
1135	D	0.15	Residential, medium density	1.3	0.211	5.1	24.2	0.0103	0.0400		
1136	А	0.63	Commercial, low intensity	5.6	0.855	36.8	274.7	0.0860	0.4490		
1137	А	21.96	Commercial, low intensity	197.3	29.932	1287.6	9614.9	3.0099	15.7183		
1138	D	19.80	Commercial, low intensity	189.5	28.753	1236.9	9236.4	2.8914	15.0995		
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	673.1	103.9	3632.0	24208.6	8.2	39.7		

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	6.7	1.039	36.3	242.1	0.0816	0.3967				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	3.8	2.456	0	0	0	0				
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

Overall Summary									
	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	673.1	103.860	3632.0	24208.6	8.1558	39.6701			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	10.6	3.494	36.3	242.1	0.0816	0.3967			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	662.5	100.4	3595.6	23966.5	8.1	39.3			

Outfall:	FDOT-539-5
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Bonnet
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 539

	Water Quality Summary										
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic	Basin Area	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)		
1162	Group		Pacidantial madium dansity	106.0	20 507	712.0	2202.0	1 4 4 2 9	E E04E		
1105	A	33.02 8.0C	Residential, medium density	100.0	29.507	102.4	010 0	1.4450	5.5945		
1104	A	0.90	Residential, medium density	30.7	0.007	195.4	7022.0	0.5910	1.5162		
1165	A	//.42	Residential, medium density	437.9	69.183	16/1.4	/933.8	3.3851	13.11/3		
1166	A	15.59	Residential, medium density	88.2	13.933	336.6	1597.9	0.6818	2.6418		
1167	A	7.72	Residential, medium density	43.7	6.896	166.6	790.8	0.3374	1.3075		
1168	A	6.65	Residential, medium density	37.6	5.944	143.6	681.7	0.2908	1.1270		
1169	A	2.36	Residential, medium density	13.3	2.107	50.9	241.7	0.1031	0.3996		
1170	A	2.65	Residential, high density	32.3	7.240	157.3	1083.2	0.1253	1.1974		
1171	А	1.06	Residential, high density	12.9	2.902	63.1	434.3	0.0502	0.4800		
1172	А	0.80	Commercial, low intensity	7.2	1.093	47.0	351.0	0.1099	0.5738		
1173	A	5.08	Commercial, low intensity	45.7	6.927	298.0	2225.3	0.6966	3.6378		
1174	А	1.37	Commercial, low intensity	12.3	1.871	80.5	601.1	0.1882	0.9827		
1175	А	21.55	Commercial, low intensity	193.6	29.371	1263.5	9434.9	2.9535	15.4241		
1176	А	0.33	Commercial, low intensity	3.0	0.450	19.4	144.5	0.0452	0.2363		
1177	А	10.08	Commercial, low intensity	90.5	13.734	590.8	4411.9	1.3811	7.2125		
1178	А	0.05	Commercial, low intensity	0.4	0.066	2.8	21.2	0.0066	0.0346		
1179	А	0.42	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.3	0.0000	0.0000		
1180	А	3.35	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.3	0.016	0.4	2.4	0.0000	0.0000		
1181	А	0.05	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1182	А	0.18	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000		
1183	А	13.68	Highway	170.6	22.880	540.8	3879.2	33.2800	13.1040		
1184	А	3.82	Highway	47.7	6.393	151.1	1083.9	9.2992	3.6616		
1185	A	4.47	Highway	55.7	7.477	176.7	1267.6	10.8750	4.2820		
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	1530.6	236.0	6666.9	40488.9	65.6	76.5		

Outfall:FDOT-539-5Receiving Body of Water:Lake BonnetCounty:POLKState Road:SR 539

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	15.3	2.360	66.7	404.9	0.6564	0.7653					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	12.4	7.958	0	0	0	0					
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%					
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	751.4	112.842	2640.1	28058.8	22.7459	53.0371					

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	1530.6	236.002	6666.9	40488.9	65.6447	76.5326				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	779.2	123.160	2706.8	28463.7	23.4023	53.8024				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	751.4	112.8	3960.1	12025.2	42.2	22.7				

Outfall:	FDOT-540-75
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Ruby
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 540

	Water Quality Summary									
	Soil	Basin		TN	ТР	BOD₌	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn	
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
	Group	(acres)		(,].]	(,)	(, j.)	(,].]	(,].]	(,)	
1186	A	0.78	Residential, low density	1.1	0.128	3.2	15.5	0.0054	0.0208	
1187	A	1.07	Residential, low density	1.5	0.175	4.3	21.1	0.0073	0.0284	
1188	A	0.63	Residential, low density	0.9	0.103	2.5	12.4	0.0043	0.0167	
1189	A	3.54	Residential, low density	4.9	0.579	14.2	69.7	0.0242	0.0940	
1190	А	0.23	Residential, medium density	1.3	0.206	5.0	23.6	0.0101	0.0391	
1191	А	8.62	Residential, medium density	48.8	7.705	186.1	883.6	0.3770	1.4608	
1192	А	0.30	Residential, medium density	1.7	0.271	6.6	31.1	0.0133	0.0514	
1193	А	0.05	Residential, medium density	0.3	0.047	1.1	5.4	0.0023	0.0090	
1194	А	0.82	Residential, medium density	4.6	0.732	17.7	83.9	0.0358	0.1388	
1195	А	0.71	Residential, medium density	4.0	0.634	15.3	72.7	0.0310	0.1202	
1196	А	4.15	Residential, medium density	23.5	3.708	89.6	425.2	0.1814	0.7030	
1197	А	0.77	Residential, medium density	4.3	0.685	16.5	78.5	0.0335	0.1299	
1198	А	2.11	Residential, medium density	11.9	1.885	45.5	216.2	0.0922	0.3574	
1199	А	0.00	Residential, high density	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1200	А	6.03	Residential, high density	73.5	16.479	358.1	2465.4	0.2852	2.7253	
1201	А	3.23	Residential, high density	39.3	8.816	191.6	1319.0	0.1526	1.4580	
1202	А	4.04	Residential, high density	49.3	11.046	240.0	1652.6	0.1912	1.8268	
1203	А	0.35	Residential, high density	4.3	0.967	21.0	144.7	0.0167	0.1600	
1204	А	0.94	Commercial, low intensity	8.5	1.283	55.2	412.2	0.1290	0.6738	
1205	А	2.19	Commercial, low intensity	19.7	2.990	128.6	960.5	0.3007	1.5702	
1206	А	0.03	Commercial, low intensity	0.3	0.043	1.8	13.7	0.0043	0.0224	
1207	А	2.99	Commercial, low intensity	26.9	4.080	175.5	1310.6	0.4103	2.1426	
1208	А	0.04	Commercial, low intensity	0.3	0.049	2.1	15.6	0.0049	0.0255	
1209	А	0.32	Commercial, low intensity	2.8	0.432	18.6	138.7	0.0434	0.2268	
1210	А	0.07	Commercial, low intensity	0.6	0.094	4.1	30.3	0.0095	0.0495	
1211	А	0.77	Commercial, low intensity	6.9	1.051	45.2	337.6	0.1057	0.5518	
1212	А	0.31	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000	
1213	A	0.72	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000	
1214	А	5.22	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.5	0.025	0.6	3.8	0.0000	0.0000	

Outfall:	FDOT-540-75
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Ruby
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 540

	Water Quality Summary										
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)		
1215	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1216	А	0.02	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1217	А	1.83	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.009	0.2	1.3	0.0000	0.0000		
1218	А	4.89	Agriculture, general	1.2	0.183	1.6	18.4	0.0055	0.0089		
1219	А	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1220	А	1.60	Highway	20.0	2.677	63.3	453.9	3.8937	1.5331		
1221	А	0.70	Highway	8.8	1.174	27.8	199.1	1.7081	0.6726		
1222	А	2.12	Highway	26.4	3.537	83.6	599.7	5.1449	2.0258		
1223	А	0.89	Highway	11.1	1.492	35.3	253.0	2.1703	0.8546		
1224	А	2.65	Highway	33.0	4.426	104.6	750.5	6.4383	2.5351		
1225	А	2.75	Highway	34.3	4.598	108.7	779.6	6.6884	2.6335		
1226	А	0.39	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.3	0.0000	0.0000		
1227	A	0.49	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000		
1228	A	0.47	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.3	0.0000	0.0000		
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	476.9	82.3	2075.5	13800.8	28.5	24.9		

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	4.8	0.823	20.8	138.0	0.2852	0.2487					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	1.1	0.729	0	0	0	0					
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%					
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	235.5	40.384	821.9	9564.0	9.8823	17.2319					

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	476.9	82.320	2075.5	13800.8	28.5205	24.8657			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	241.4	41.936	842.7	9702.0	10.1675	17.4806			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	235.5	40.4	1232.8	4098.8	18.4	7.4			

Outfall:	FDOT-544-115
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Hartridge
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 544

	Water Quality Summary								
	Soil	Basin		TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/yr)
	Group	(acres)		(, j.)	(, j.)	(10/)1/	(, j.)	(,,	(, j.)
1229	А	4.90	Residential, medium density	27.7	4.382	105.9	502.6	0.2144	0.8309
1230	А	8.05	Residential, medium density	45.5	7.191	173.7	824.6	0.3518	1.3634
1231	А	3.13	Residential, medium density	17.7	2.800	67.6	321.1	0.1370	0.5308
1232	D	0.17	Residential, medium density	1.5	0.239	5.8	27.4	0.0117	0.0454
1233	А	4.49	Residential, medium density	25.4	4.011	96.9	460.0	0.1962	0.7605
1234	D	0.15	Residential, medium density	1.3	0.211	5.1	24.2	0.0103	0.0400
1235	А	0.06	Residential, high density	0.8	0.172	3.7	25.7	0.0030	0.0284
1236	D	0.80	Residential, high density	11.6	2.611	56.7	390.6	0.0452	0.4318
1237	А	1.50	Residential, high density	18.3	4.102	89.1	613.7	0.0710	0.6784
1238	А	1.36	Residential, high density	16.5	3.709	80.6	555.0	0.0642	0.6135
1239	А	2.84	Residential, high density	34.7	7.769	168.8	1162.3	0.1345	1.2848
1240	D	5.13	Residential, high density	75.1	16.840	365.9	2519.5	0.2915	2.7850
1241	А	1.65	Commercial, low intensity	14.8	2.250	96.8	722.7	0.2262	1.1815
1242	А	1.14	Commercial, low intensity	10.3	1.557	67.0	500.2	0.1566	0.8178
1243	А	2.26	Commercial, low intensity	20.3	3.086	132.8	991.4	0.3104	1.6207
1244	А	1.39	Commercial, low intensity	12.4	1.888	81.2	606.4	0.1898	0.9913
1245	А	1.39	Commercial, low intensity	12.5	1.897	81.6	609.4	0.1908	0.9963
1246	А	1.70	Commercial, low intensity	15.3	2.321	99.8	745.5	0.2334	1.2187
1247	D	18.84	Commercial, low intensity	180.3	27.351	1176.6	8786.0	2.7504	14.3632
1248	D	0.34	Commercial, low intensity	3.2	0.493	21.2	158.2	0.0495	0.2587
1249	А	0.63	Commercial, low intensity	5.6	0.854	36.7	274.2	0.0858	0.4483
1250	D	1.76	Commercial, low intensity	16.9	2.556	110.0	821.2	0.2571	1.3425
1251	А	0.72	Commercial, low intensity	6.5	0.984	42.3	316.0	0.0989	0.5166
1252	D	0.32	Commercial, low intensity	3.1	0.465	20.0	149.4	0.0468	0.2442
1253	А	0.19	Commercial, low intensity	1.7	0.261	11.2	83.9	0.0263	0.1372
1254	D	1.45	Commercial, low intensity	13.9	2.110	90.8	677.7	0.2121	1.1079
1255	D	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
1256	А	0.28	Highway	3.5	0.471	11.1	79.8	0.6850	0.2697
1257	А	1.10	Highway	13.7	1.841	43.5	312.1	2.6773	1.0542

Outfall:	FDOT-544-115
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Hartridge
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 544

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use DescriptionTNTPBOD5TSSTotal Cu(lb/yr)(lb/yr)(lb/yr)(lb/yr)(lb/yr)(lb/yr)						Total Zn (lb/yr)
1258	A	0.30	Highway	3.7	0.502	11.9	85.2	0.7308	0.2878
1259	D	10.60	Highway	141.2	18.940	447.7	3211.2	27.5494	10.8476
	Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) = 755.4 123.9 3802.1 26557.4 38.0 47.1					47.1			

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	7.6	1.239	38.0	265.6	0.3801	0.4710			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	8.2	5.242	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	755.4	123.863	3802.1	26557.4	38.0074	47.0969		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	15.7	6.480	38.0	265.6	0.3801	0.4710		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	739.6	117.4	3764.1	26291.8	37.6	46.6		

Outfall:	FDOT-542-07
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Elbert
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 542

	Water Quality Summary									
	Soil	Basin	Land Use Description	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn	
GI3 ID	Group	(acres)		(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	
1858	A	0.74	Residential, medium density	4.2	0.657	15.9	75.3	0.0321	0.1246	
1859	A	0.07	Residential, medium density	0.4	0.063	1.5	7.3	0.0031	0.0120	
1860	A	0.83	Commercial, low intensity	7.5	1.137	48.9	365.2	0.1143	0.5971	
Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =				12.1	1.9	66.3	447.9	0.1	0.7	

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	0.1	0.019	0.7	4.5	0.0015	0.0073			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.1	0.054	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Z								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	12.1	1.857	66.3	447.9	0.1496	0.7337		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	0.2	0.073	0.7	4.5	0.0015	0.0073		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	11.9	1.8	65.7	443.4	0.1	0.7		

Outfall:	FDOT-563-30
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Wire
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 563

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1278	А	1.49	Residential, medium density	8.4	1.331	32.2	152.6	0.0651	0.2524	
1279	A	14.79	Residential, medium density	83.6	13.213	319.2	1515.2	0.6465	2.5052	
1280	A	2.05	Residential, medium density	11.6	1.833	44.3	210.2	0.0897	0.3475	
1281	А	0.11	Residential, medium density	0.6	0.096	2.3	11.0	0.0047	0.0182	
1282	А	0.46	Commercial, low intensity	4.1	0.624	26.9	200.6	0.0628	0.3279	
1283	A	3.73	Highway	46.5	6.242	147.5	1058.3	9.0789	3.5748	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	154.9	23.3	572.4	3148.0	9.9	7.0	

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.5	0.233	5.7	31.5	0.0995	0.0703			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.6	0.356	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	154.9	23.339	572.4	3148.0	9.9477	7.0261			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	2.1	0.589	5.7	31.5	0.0995	0.0703			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	152.8	22.8	566.6	3116.5	9.8	7.0			

Outfall:	FDOT-563-8
Receiving Body of Water:	Poley Creek
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 563

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1284	А	0.04	Residential, high density	0.5	0.111	2.4	16.6	0.0019	0.0184	
1285	А	0.05	Commercial, low intensity	0.5	0.073	3.1	23.3	0.0073	0.0382	
1286	А	0.40	Commercial, low intensity	3.6	0.542	23.3	174.0	0.0545	0.2845	
1287	А	0.26	Commercial, low intensity	2.3	0.356	15.3	114.5	0.0358	0.1871	
1288	А	0.84	Commercial, low intensity	7.6	1.149	49.4	369.2	0.1156	0.6036	
1289	А	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.012	0.5	3.9	0.0012	0.0063	
1290	А	0.46	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.3	0.0000	0.0000	
1291	А	0.53	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000	
1292	А	0.19	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000	
1293	А	3.90	Highway	48.7	6.528	154.3	1106.7	9.4947	3.7385	
1294	В	0.00	Highway	0.0	0.006	0.1	1.1	0.0092	0.0036	
1295	А	0.37	Highway	4.6	0.610	14.4	103.5	0.8880	0.3496	
1296	А	0.18	Highway	2.2	0.297	7.0	50.3	0.4314	0.1699	
1297	А	0.81	Highway	10.0	1.346	31.8	228.3	1.9583	0.7711	
1298	А	0.00	Highway	0.0	0.006	0.1	1.0	0.0090	0.0035	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	80.2	11.0	302.1	2193.4	13.0	6.2	

Outfall:FDOT-563-8Receiving Body of Water:Poley CreekCounty:POLKState Road:SR 563

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	0.8	0.110	3.0	21.9	0.1301	0.0617			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.5	0.294	0	0	0	0			
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%			
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	15.8	6.383	149.6	1845.7	7.7261	5.1958			

Overall Summary									
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	80.2	11.043	302.1	2193.4	13.0068	6.1744			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	17.1	6.787	152.6	1867.7	7.8561	5.2575			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	63.2	4.3	149.6	325.7	5.2	0.9			

Outfall:	FDOT-60-30
Receiving Body of Water:	Phosphate Pit
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 60

	Water Quality Summary										
	Soil	Basin		TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn		
GISID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
1210	Group	(acres)	Posidential modium density	1.2	0.212	E 1	24.2	0.0104	0.0402		
1310	A	0.24	Residential, medium density	1.5	0.212	20.0	24.5	0.0104	0.0402		
1311	A	1.25	Residential, medium density	7.0	1.113	26.9	127.6	0.0545	0.2110		
1312	A	6.83	Residential, medium density	38.7	6.106	147.5	/00.2	0.2988	1.15//		
1313	A	57.60	Industrial, light	526.3	114.028	3333.1	26314.3	1.3157	24.9986		
1314	A	0.23	Industrial, light	2.1	0.462	13.5	106.6	0.0053	0.1013		
1315	A	1.01	Commercial, low intensity	9.1	1.380	59.4	443.3	0.1388	0.7246		
1316	A	7.89	Commercial, low intensity	70.9	10.751	462.5	3453.4	1.0811	5.6456		
1317	А	3.13	Mining/Extractive	28.2	3.579	1813.3	1431.6	0.0716	1.3600		
1318	D	0.03	Mining/Extractive	0.3	0.037	18.9	14.9	0.0007	0.0142		
1319	А	0.38	Mining/Extractive	3.4	0.434	219.8	173.5	0.0087	0.1648		
1320	А	8.40	Commercial, low intensity	75.5	11.449	492.5	3677.7	1.1513	6.0123		
1321	А	32.75	Commercial, low intensity	294.3	44.640	1920.3	14339.7	4.4889	23.4422		
1322	А	0.03	Commercial, low intensity	0.2	0.037	1.6	12.0	0.0038	0.0196		
1323	А	1.22	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.006	0.1	0.9	0.0000	0.0000		
1324	А	3.16	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.3	0.015	0.4	2.3	0.0000	0.0000		
1325	А	1.07	Commercial, low intensity	9.7	1.464	63.0	470.4	0.1473	0.7690		
1326	А	0.13	Commercial, low intensity	1.2	0.181	7.8	58.0	0.0182	0.0949		
1327	А	4.91	Commercial, low intensity	44.1	6.689	287.7	2148.6	0.6726	3.5124		
1328	А	1.16	Commercial, low intensity	10.5	1.587	68.3	509.9	0.1596	0.8336		
1329	А	23.17	Commercial, low intensity	208.2	31.576	1358.3	10143.0	3.1752	16.5816		
1330	А	4.34	Commercial, low intensity	39.0	5.910	254.2	1898.6	0.5943	3.1038		
1331	А	1.47	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.007	0.2	1.1	0.0000	0.0000		
1332	А	4.28	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.4	0.020	0.5	3.1	0.0000	0.0000		
1333	А	0.44	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1334	А	4.46	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1335	А	17.61	Highway	219.6	29.455	696.2	4993.9	42.8432	16.8695		
1336	А	0.13	Highway	1.6	0.216	5.1	36.6	0.3136	0.1235		
	1330 A 0.13 Inginway 1.0 0.210 5.1 30.0 0.5150 Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) = 1592.0 271.4 11256.2 71085.5 56.6						71085.5	105.8			
Outfall: FDOT-60-30 Receiving Body of Water: County: POLK State Road: SR 60

Phosphate Pit

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	15.9	2.714	112.6	710.9	0.5655	1.0578			
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	3.7	2.353	0	0	0	0			
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%			
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	786.2	133.144	4457.4	49262.2	19.5958	73.3058			

Overall Summary								
	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn		
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	1592.0	271.354	11256.2	71085.5	56.5535	105.7804		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	805.8	138.210	4570.0	49973.1	20.1613	74.3636		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	786.2	133.1	6686.2	21112.4	36.4	31.4		

Outfall:	FDOT-659-15
Receiving Body of Water:	Saddle Creek
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 659

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1337	А	0.09	Residential, medium density	0.5	0.0820	2.0	9.4	0.0040	0.0155	
1338	А	74.38	Residential, medium density	420.8	66.4672	1605.8	7622.4	3.2522	12.6024	
1339	А	0.98	Residential, medium density	5.5	0.8723	21.1	100.0	0.0427	0.1654	
1340	А	6.17	Residential, high density	75.2	16.8606	366.4	2522.6	0.2918	2.7885	
1341	А	21.15	Commercial, low intensity	190.0	28.8287	1240.1	9260.6	2.8990	15.1391	
1342	A	2.50	Commercial, low intensity	22.5	3.4112	146.7	1095.8	0.3430	1.7914	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	714.6	116.5	3382.1	20610.8	6.8	32.5	

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	7.1	1.1652	33.8	206.1	0.0683	0.3250			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.0	0.0000	0	0	0	0			
No Structural Treatment (%) 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%									

Overall Summary								
	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn		
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	714.6	116.5220	3382.1	20610.8	6.8327	32.5022		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	7.1	1.1652	33.8	206.1	0.0683	0.3250		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	707.4	115.4	3348.3	20404.7	6.8	32.2		

Outfall:	FDOT-35-105
Receiving Body of Water:	Bear Creek
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 98

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1525	А	0.37	Commercial, low intensity	3.3	0.506	21.8	162.7	0.0509	0.2660
1526	D	0.66	Commercial, low intensity	6.3	0.952	40.9	305.8	0.0957	0.4999
1527	А	0.90	Highway	11.3	1.512	35.7	256.3	2.1987	0.8657
1528	D	1.23	Highway	16.4	2.204	52.1	373.6	3.2054	1.2621
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	37.3	5.2	150.6	1098.4	5.6	2.9

Water Quality Treatment Summary									
Best Management Practice TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu Total Zn									
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	0.4	0.052	1.5	11.0	0.0555	0.0289			
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.1	0.080	0	0	0	0			
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%			
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	18.4	2.521	59.6	761.2	1.9233	2.0053			

Overall Summary								
	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn		
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	37.3	5.174	150.6	1098.4	5.5508	2.8937		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	18.9	2.653	61.1	772.2	1.9789	2.0343		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	18.4	2.5	89.4	326.2	3.6	0.9		

Outfall:	FDOT-35-155
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Parker
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 98

		Water Quality Summary								
	Soil	Basin		TN	ТР	BOD	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn	
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/yr)	
	Group	(acres)		(,)	(, j.)	(10/ 11/	(, j.)	(, j.)	(, j.)	
1529	A	0.56	Residential, medium density	3.2	0.503	12.2	57.7	0.0246	0.0954	
1530	A	1.73	Residential, medium density	9.8	1.543	37.3	177.0	0.0755	0.2926	
1531	А	1.81	Residential, medium density	10.2	1.614	39.0	185.1	0.0790	0.3059	
1532	А	1.15	Residential, medium density	6.5	1.029	24.8	118.0	0.0503	0.1950	
1533	А	6.14	Residential, high density	74.8	16.762	364.3	2507.9	0.2901	2.7722	
1534	А	0.48	Residential, high density	5.8	1.305	28.4	195.3	0.0226	0.2159	
1535	А	10.31	Residential, high density	125.7	28.166	612.1	4214.1	0.4875	4.6583	
1536	А	0.17	Residential, high density	2.1	0.469	10.2	70.2	0.0081	0.0776	
1537	А	0.03	Commercial, low intensity	0.3	0.043	1.8	13.7	0.0043	0.0224	
1538	В	11.88	Industrial, light	110.7	23.979	700.9	5533.6	0.2767	5.2569	
1539	А	0.07	Industrial, light	0.7	0.148	4.3	34.2	0.0017	0.0325	
1540	А	1.59	Industrial, light	14.5	3.147	92.0	726.2	0.0363	0.6899	
1541	D	0.24	Industrial, light	2.4	0.515	15.0	118.8	0.0059	0.1128	
1542	В	1.47	Commercial, low intensity	13.5	2.042	87.8	655.9	0.2053	1.0723	
1543	А	1.85	Commercial, low intensity	16.6	2.519	108.4	809.2	0.2533	1.3229	
1544	А	0.09	Commercial, low intensity	0.8	0.123	5.3	39.4	0.0123	0.0644	
1545	А	4.86	Commercial, low intensity	43.6	6.618	284.7	2125.9	0.6655	3.4753	
1546	А	4.85	Commercial, low intensity	43.6	6.614	284.5	2124.7	0.6651	3.4734	
1547	А	0.02	Commercial, low intensity	0.2	0.034	1.4	10.8	0.0034	0.0176	
1548	А	11.33	Commercial, low intensity	101.8	15.446	664.4	4961.6	1.5532	8.1111	
1549	А	2.20	Commercial, low intensity	19.7	2.995	128.8	962.2	0.3012	1.5730	
1550	А	0.60	Commercial, low intensity	5.4	0.816	35.1	262.0	0.0820	0.4283	
1551	D	1.18	Commercial, low intensity	11.3	1.716	73.8	551.2	0.1725	0.9011	
1552	А	0.40	Commercial, low intensity	3.6	0.549	23.6	176.5	0.0553	0.2886	
1553	А	1.17	Commercial, low intensity	10.5	1.599	68.8	513.8	0.1608	0.8400	
1554	А	0.14	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.005	0.0	0.5	0.0002	0.0003	
1555	А	0.01	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1556	А	0.18	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000	
1557	А	0.18	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000	

Outfall:	FDOT-35-155
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Parker
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 98

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1558	А	0.64	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1559	А	0.69	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1560	А	0.54	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1561	В	0.01	Highway	0.1	0.011	0.3	1.9	0.0161	0.0063	
1562	А	6.49	Highway	80.9	10.846	256.4	1839.0	15.7766	6.2120	
1563	А	9.47	Highway	118.1	15.836	374.3	2685.0	23.0345	9.0698	
1564	D	1.45	Highway	19.3	2.596	61.4	440.1	3.7754	1.4866	
1565	А	2.34	Highway	29.1	3.906	92.3	662.3	5.6819	2.2372	
1566	A	4.57	Highway	57.0	7.651	180.8	1297.1	11.1280	4.3817	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	941.9	161.1	4674.5	34070.8	64.9	59.7	

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	9.4	1.611	46.7	340.7	0.6491	0.5969					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.8	1.822	0	0	0	0					
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%					
Wet Pond Total Removal (lb/yr)	185.9	94.628	2313.9	28670.6	38.5538	50.2285					

Overall Summary										
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu To										
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	941.9	161.147	4674.5	34070.8	64.9053	59.6892				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	198.2	98.061	2360.6	29011.3	39.2028	50.8253				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	743.7	63.1	2313.9	5059.5	25.7	8.9				

Outfall:	OF16120-3504-03
Receiving Body of Water:	Eagle Lake
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 540

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1567	А	5.94	Agriculture, citrus	1.2	0.094	1.3	8.0	0.0015	0.0062	
1568	А	2.34	Agriculture, citrus	0.5	0.037	0.5	3.2	0.0006	0.0024	
1569	А	1.41	Agriculture, citrus	0.3	0.022	0.3	1.9	0.0004	0.0015	
1570	А	2.05	Agriculture, citrus	0.4	0.033	0.5	2.8	0.0005	0.0021	
1571	А	4.04	Agriculture, citrus	0.8	0.064	0.9	5.4	0.0011	0.0042	
1572	А	5.98	Agriculture, citrus	1.2	0.095	1.3	8.1	0.0016	0.0062	
1573	А	0.63	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000	
1574	А	9.55	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.0	0.046	1.2	7.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1575	А	0.85	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.6	0.0000	0.0000	
1576	А	0.05	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1577	А	0.20	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000	
1578	А	0.87	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.004	0.1	0.6	0.0000	0.0000	
1579	А	0.28	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000	
1580	А	0.64	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000	
1581	A	2.85	Highway	35.5	4.767	112.7	808.3	6.9345	2.7304	
1582	A	0.21	Highway	2.7	0.356	8.4	60.4	0.5179	0.2039	
1583	А	1.06	Highway	13.3	1.779	42.0	301.6	2.5876	1.0188	
		Raw P	ollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =	57.0	7.3	169.6	1209.1	10.0	4.0	

Outfall: Receiving Body of Water: POLK County: State Road: SR 540

OF16120-3504-03 Eagle Lake

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	0.6	0.073	1.7	12.1	0.1005	0.0398					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.3	0.201	0	0	0	0					
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%					
Dry Retention Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%					
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency											
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	80%	80%	76%	88%	74%	88%					
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	44.9	5.629	127.6	1053.4	7.3594	3.4638					

Overall Summary										
	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	57.0	7.311	169.6	1209.1	10.0456	3.9759				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	45.8	5.904	129.3	1065.5	7.4598	3.5036				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	11.2	1.4	40.3	143.6	2.6	0.5				

Outfall:OF16118-3503-03Receiving Body of Water:Spirit LakeCounty:POLKState Road:SR 540

	Water Quality Summary										
	Soil	Basin		TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn		
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/vr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
	Group	(acres)				(,).)		(111			
1584	A	1.87	Residential, low density	2.6	0.306	7.5	36.8	0.0128	0.0496		
1585	A	0.04	Residential, low density	0.1	0.006	0.2	0.8	0.0003	0.0010		
1586	В	1.60	Residential, medium density	10.6	1.671	40.4	191.6	0.0818	0.3168		
1587	A	2.75	Residential, medium density	15.5	2.454	59.3	281.4	0.1201	0.4653		
1588	A	2.00	Residential, medium density	11.3	1.791	43.3	205.4	0.0877	0.3396		
1589	A	0.06	Residential, medium density	0.3	0.052	1.3	6.0	0.0026	0.0099		
1590	А	0.09	Residential, medium density	0.5	0.079	1.9	9.0	0.0039	0.0149		
1591	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.006	0.3	2.0	0.0006	0.0033		
1592	А	0.15	Commercial, low intensity	1.3	0.203	8.8	65.4	0.0205	0.1068		
1593	В	2.01	Commercial, low intensity	18.4	2.797	120.3	898.5	0.2813	1.4688		
1594	А	1.44	Commercial, low intensity	13.0	1.967	84.6	631.7	0.1978	1.0327		
1595	А	3.73	Commercial, low intensity	33.5	5.088	218.9	1634.3	0.5116	2.6718		
1596	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1597	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1598	В	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1599	А	0.13	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000		
1600	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0001		
1601	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.7	0.0002	0.0012		
1602	А	0.10	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000		
1603	А	0.07	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000		
1604	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1605	А	0.33	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000		
1606	А	0.52	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.002	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000		
1607	В	0.64	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.4	0.017	0.4	2.6	0.0000	0.0000		
1608	А	0.15	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000		
1609	А	3.47	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.3	0.017	0.4	2.5	0.0000	0.0000		
1610	А	1.38	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.007	0.2	1.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1611	А	9.57	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	1.0	0.046	1.2	7.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1612	А	0.87	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		

Outfall:	OF16118-3503-03
Receiving Body of Water:	Spirit Lake
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 540

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (Ib/yr)
1613	А	0.98	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1614	В	1.14	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1615	А	0.61	Highway	7.6	1.021	24.1	173.1	1.4850	0.5847
1616	А	0.84	Highway	10.5	1.412	33.4	239.4	2.0536	0.8086
1617	А	0.39	Highway	4.8	0.646	15.3	109.5	0.9392	0.3698
1618	А	1.49	Highway	18.6	2.499	59.1	423.6	3.6343	1.4310
1619	В	0.72	Highway	9.2	1.229	29.0	208.3	1.7874	0.7038
1620	В	3.02	Highway	38.6	5.174	122.3	877.2	7.5259	2.9633
1621	А	7.29	Highway	90.9	12.196	288.3	2067.8	17.7398	6.9850
1622	A	1.27	Highway	15.9	2.128	50.3	360.7	3.0946	1.2185
1623	A	0.01	Highway	0.2	0.022	0.5	3.8	0.0326	0.0128
		Raw Poll	utant Load Total (lb/yr) =	305.4	42.8	1211.3	8441.3	39.6	21.6

Water	Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn						
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.1	0.428	12.1	84.4	0.3961	0.2156						
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	4.5	2.887	0	0	0	0						
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%						
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%						
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency												
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	60%	80%	70%	96%	74%	96%						
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	178.7	31.621	839.4	7980.8	29.0207	20.3835						

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu To								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	305.4	42.841	1211.3	8441.3	39.6133	21.5596		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	186.3	34.936	851.5	8065.3	29.4168	20.5991		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	119.1	7.9	359.7	376.1	10.2	1.0		

Outfall:	OF16300-3511-01
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Rey
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 540

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1624	А	7.09	Residential, medium density	40.1	6.332	153.0	726.2	0.3098	1.2006
1625	А	0.22	Residential, medium density	1.2	0.197	4.8	22.6	0.0097	0.0374
1626	А	0.04	Residential, high density	0.5	0.122	2.6	18.2	0.0021	0.0201
1627	А	7.07	Commercial, low intensity	63.5	9.629	414.2	3093.2	0.9683	5.0568
1628	D	0.06	Commercial, low intensity	0.6	0.084	3.6	27.1	0.0085	0.0443
1629	А	0.00	Commercial, low intensity	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0001
1630	А	6.25	Commercial, low intensity	56.1	8.516	366.3	2735.6	0.8564	4.4721
1631	А	0.05	Commercial, low intensity	0.5	0.072	3.1	23.2	0.0072	0.0379
1632	А	2.78	Highway	34.7	4.653	110.0	788.9	6.7681	2.6650
1633	А	1.18	Highway	14.7	1.974	46.7	334.7	2.8713	1.1306
1634	A	1.32	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.006	0.2	1.0	0.0000	0.0000
1635	A	0.17	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
		Raw P	ollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =	212.1	31.6	1104.5	7770.8	11.8	14.7

Outfall:OF16300-3511-01Receiving Body of Water:Lake ReyCounty:POLKState Road:SR 540

Water Q	uality Treatm	ent Summa	ry			
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.1	0.316	11.0	77.7	0.1180	0.1466
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	0.4	0.280	0	0	0	0
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%
Dry Retention Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency						
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	80%	80%	76%	88%	74%	88%
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	167.6	24.793	831.0	6770.0	8.6458	12.7760

Overall Summary								
	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn		
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	212.1	31.588	1104.5	7770.8	11.8015	14.6648		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	170.2	25.389	842.1	6847.7	8.7638	12.9226		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	41.9	6.2	262.4	923.2	3.0	1.7		

Outfall:	OF16300-3511-03
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Roy
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 540

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1636	A	2.16	Residential, low density	3.0	0.354	8.7	42.6	0.0148	0.0574
1637	А	0.22	Residential, medium density	1.2	0.196	4.7	22.4	0.0096	0.0371
1638	А	0.02	Residential, high density	0.3	0.065	1.4	9.8	0.0011	0.0108
1639	А	0.41	Commercial, low intensity	3.6	0.554	23.8	177.8	0.0557	0.2907
1640	А	0.01	Commercial, low intensity	0.1	0.020	0.9	6.4	0.0020	0.0104
1641	А	0.59	Commercial, low intensity	5.3	0.804	34.6	258.2	0.0808	0.4221
1642	А	1.20	Commercial, low intensity	10.8	1.642	70.6	527.4	0.1651	0.8622
1643	А	0.36	Commercial, low intensity	3.3	0.497	21.4	159.8	0.0500	0.2612
1644	А	0.57	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1645	А	1.51	Highway	18.9	2.531	59.8	429.2	3.6817	1.4497
1646	А	5.22	Highway	65.1	8.732	206.4	1480.4	12.7004	5.0008
1647	A	0.16	Highway	2.0	0.270	6.4	45.8	0.3925	0.1546
		Raw Poll	utant Load Total (lb/yr) =	113.7	15.7	438.7	3159.7	17.2	8.6

Outfall: Receiving Body of Water: Lake Roy POLK County: State Road: SR 540

OF16300-3511-03

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.1	0.157	4.4	31.6	0.1715	0.0856				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.9	0.546	0	0	0	0				
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%				
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%				
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency										
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	60%	80%	70%	96%	74%	96%				
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	67.0	11.969	304.0	2987.4	12.5669	8.0903				

Overall Summary								
TN TP BOD ₅ TSS Total Cu To								
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	113.7	15.664	438.7	3159.7	17.1538	8.5570		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	69.0	12.672	308.4	3019.0	12.7384	8.1758		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	44.7	3.0	130.3	140.8	4.4	0.4		

Outfall:	OF16300-3511-05
Receiving Body of Water:	Lake Elizabeth
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 540

	Water Quality Summary								
	Soil	Basin		TN	тр	BOD	тсс	Total Cu	Total 7n
GIS ID	Hydrologic	Area	Land Use Description	//b/////	(lb/yr)	(lb /ur)	(lb/yr)		/lb/yr)
	Group	(acres)		(10/91)	(10/91)	(10/91)	(10/91)	(10/91)	(10/ 91)
1648	A	6.40	Residential, medium density	36.2	5.719	138.2	655.8	0.2798	1.0843
1649	A	1.27	Commercial, low intensity	11.4	1.730	74.4	555.8	0.1740	0.9087
1650	A	5.14	Commercial, low intensity	46.2	7.001	301.2	2249.0	0.7040	3.6766
1651	A	2.09	Highway	26.0	3.493	82.6	592.1	5.0801	2.0003
	Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) = 119.8 17.9 596.3 4052.8 6.2 7						7.7		

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	1.2	0.179	6.0	40.5	0.0624	0.0767				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.1	0.080	0	0	0	0				
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%				
Dry Retention Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency										
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	80%	80%	76%	88%	74%	88%				
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	94.8	14.147	448.7	3530.8	4.5699	6.6819				

Overall Summary											
	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)					
Raw Pollutant Load	119.8	17.943	596.3	4052.8	6.2379	7.6698					
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	96.1	14.406	454.6	3571.3	4.6323	6.7586					
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	23.7	3.5	141.7	481.5	1.6	0.9					

Outfall:OF16320-3409-01Receiving Body of Water:WetlandCounty:POLKState Road:SR 400

	Water Quality Summary								
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1652	А	0.08	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000
1653	А	0.71	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000
1654	В	0.72	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.4	0.019	0.5	2.9	0.0000	0.0000
1655	А	2.34	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1656	А	0.01	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1657	А	0.02	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1658	В	0.19	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000
1659	А	0.65	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000
1660	А	9.98	Highway	124.4	16.694	394.6	2830.4	24.2825	9.5612
1661	А	1.99	Highway	24.8	3.326	78.6	563.9	4.8377	1.9048
1662	А	16.36	Highway	204.0	27.365	646.8	4639.6	39.8034	15.6726
1663	В	0.32	Highway	4.1	0.546	12.9	92.6	0.7941	0.3127
		Raw Poll	utant Load Total (lb/yr) =	357.8	48.0	1133.6	8130.4	69.7	27.5

Outfall: Receiving Body of Water: Wetland POLK County: State Road: SR 400

OF16320-3409-01

Water Quality Treatment Summary											
Best Management Practice	TN	TP	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn					
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	3.6	0.480	11.3	81.3	0.6972	0.2745					
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	3.5	2.240	0	0	0	0					
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%					
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%					
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency											
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	60%	80%	70%	96%	74%	96%					
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	210.5	36.189	785.6	7686.9	51.0751	25.9538					

Overall Summary									
	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	357.8	47.957	1133.6	8130.4	69.7176	27.4513			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	217.5	38.909	796.9	7768.2	51.7723	26.2283			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	140.3	9.0	336.7	362.2	17.9	1.2			

Outfall:	FDOT-35-45
Receiving Body of Water:	Peace River
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 35

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1664	A	0.24	Residential. low density	0.3	0.039	1.0	4.7	0.0016	0.0064	
1665	A	0.37	Residential, low density	0.5	0.060	1.5	7.2	0.0025	0.0097	
1666	А	0.06	Residential, low density	0.1	0.011	0.3	1.3	0.0004	0.0017	
1667	А	25.23	Residential, medium density	142.7	22.545	544.7	2585.4	1.1031	4.2745	
1668	А	7.85	Residential, medium density	44.4	7.013	169.4	804.3	0.3432	1.3298	
1669	А	0.06	Residential, medium density	0.4	0.056	1.3	6.4	0.0027	0.0106	
1670	А	0.43	Commercial, low intensity	3.9	0.587	25.2	188.4	0.0590	0.3080	
1671	А	0.36	Commercial, low intensity	3.2	0.489	21.1	157.2	0.0492	0.2570	
1672	А	5.00	Commercial, low intensity	44.9	6.818	293.3	2190.2	0.6856	3.5805	
1673	А	1.32	Commercial, low intensity	11.9	1.800	77.4	578.2	0.1810	0.9452	
1674	А	0.10	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.004	0.0	0.4	0.0001	0.0002	
1675	В	0.19	Agriculture, general	0.3	0.040	0.4	4.0	0.0012	0.0019	
1676	А	0.05	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.2	0.0001	0.0001	
1677	А	0.00	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000	
1678	А	0.17	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.006	0.1	0.6	0.0002	0.0003	
1679	В	0.01	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.2	0.0001	0.0001	
1680	А	8.41	Agriculture, general	2.0	0.315	2.8	31.6	0.0095	0.0153	
1681	А	0.18	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000	
1682	А	0.21	Highway	2.6	0.351	8.3	59.6	0.5112	0.2013	
1683	В	0.40	Highway	5.1	0.680	16.1	115.3	0.9889	0.3894	
1684	А	0.42	Highway	5.2	0.704	16.6	119.4	1.0241	0.4032	
1685	А	0.72	Highway	9.0	1.204	28.5	204.1	1.7508	0.6894	
1686	А	0.45	Highway	5.6	0.751	17.7	127.3	1.0918	0.4299	
1687	А	0.60	Highway	7.4	0.998	23.6	169.1	1.4510	0.5713	
	R	aw Polluta	ant Load Total (lb/yr) =	289.6	44.5	1249.2	7355.2	9.3	13.4	

Outfall:FDOT-35-45Receiving Body of Water:Peace RiverCounty:POLKState Road:SR 35

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.9	0.445	12.5	73.6	0.0926	0.1343				
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	2.2	1.418	0	0	0	0				
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%				
Grassed Swale Total Removal (lb/yr)	142.3	21.306	494.7	5097.1	3.2077	9.3042				

Overall Summary										
	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)				
Raw Pollutant Load	289.6	44.475	1249.2	7355.2	9.2574	13.4260				
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	147.4	23.169	507.2	5170.7	3.3003	9.4385				
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	142.3	21.3	742.0	2184.5	6.0	4.0				

Outfall:Polk4Receiving Body of Water:WetlandCounty:POLKState Road:SR 400

	Water Quality Summary										
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)		
1745	А	0.44	Residential, medium density	2.5	0.389	9.4	44.6	0.0190	0.0738		
1746	А	2.16	Residential, medium density	12.2	1.928	46.6	221.1	0.0943	0.3655		
1747	А	1.87	Industrial, light	17.1	3.706	108.3	855.3	0.0428	0.8126		
1748	А	0.00	Industrial, light	0.0	0.004	0.1	1.0	0.0001	0.0010		
1749	А	1.76	Industrial, light	16.1	3.492	102.1	805.9	0.0403	0.7656		
1750	А	1.68	Industrial, light	15.3	3.324	97.2	767.1	0.0384	0.7288		
1751	D	0.42	Industrial, light	4.1	0.881	25.7	203.2	0.0102	0.1931		
1752	А	1.26	Agriculture, general	0.3	0.047	0.4	4.7	0.0014	0.0023		
1753	А	0.55	Agriculture, general	0.1	0.021	0.2	2.1	0.0006	0.0010		
1754	А	2.48	Agriculture, general	0.6	0.093	0.8	9.3	0.0028	0.0045		
1755	А	0.27	Agriculture, general	0.1	0.010	0.1	1.0	0.0003	0.0005		
1756	С	0.00	Agriculture, general	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0001		
1757	А	0.31	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000		
1758	А	0.09	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1759	А	0.60	Water	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1760	А	0.01	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1761	А	0.00	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	0.0000		
1762	А	0.62	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.5	0.0000	0.0000		
1763	А	0.60	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.1	0.003	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000		
1764	С	0.41	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.5	0.024	0.6	3.7	0.0000	0.0000		
1765	А	0.15	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.001	0.0	0.1	0.0000	0.0000		
1766	А	0.49	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.1	0.4	0.0000	0.0000		
1767	А	20.14	Highway	251.1	33.681	796.1	5710.4	48.9900	19.2898		
1768	А	4.17	Highway	52.0	6.981	165.0	1183.7	10.1548	3.9985		
1769	А	2.39	Highway	29.7	3.991	94.3	676.6	5.8045	2.2855		
1770	А	0.32	Highway	4.0	0.535	12.6	90.7	0.7778	0.3063		
1771	А	2.54	Highway	31.6	4.241	100.2	719.1	6.1691	2.4291		
1772	А	0.48	Highway	5.9	0.795	18.8	134.8	1.1569	0.4555		
1773	А	1.91	Highway	23.8	3.197	75.6	542.0	4.6498	1.8309		

Outfall:	Polk4
Receiving Body of Water:	Wetland
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 400

	Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)	
1774	С	0.31	Highway	4.0	0.539	12.7	91.3	0.7835	0.3085	
Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =		471.4	67.9	1667.3	12069.5	78.7	33.9			

Water Quality Treatment Summary										
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn				
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	4.7	0.679	16.7	120.7	0.7874	0.3385				
Streetsweeping Removal (Ib/yr)	1.9	1.192	0	0	0	0				
Grassed Swale Removal Efficiency, Eff1 (%)	50%	50%	40%	70%	35%	70%				
Wet Pond Removal Efficiency, Eff2 (%)	20%	60%	50%	85%	60%	85%				
Treatment Train Removal Efficiency										
Eff=Eff1+(1-Eff1)*Eff2) (%)	60%	80%	70%	96%	74%	96%				
Treatment Train Total Removal (lb/yr)	278.9	52.816	1155.4	11411.1	57.6824	32.0060				

Overall Summary								
	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn		
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)		
Raw Pollutant Load	471.4	67.892	1667.3	12069.5	78.7366	33.8527		
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	285.5	54.688	1172.1	11531.8	58.4698	32.3446		
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	185.9	13.2	495.2	537.7	20.3	1.5		

Outfall:	Polk5
Receiving Body of Water:	Wetland
County:	POLK
State Road:	SR 539

Water Quality Summary									
GIS ID	Soil Hydrologic Group	Basin Area (acres)	Land Use Description	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (Ib/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
1775	А	1.64	Residential, medium density	9.3	1.463	35.3	167.8	0.0716	0.2774
1776	В	7.01	Industrial, light	65.3	14.141	413.3	3263.2	0.1632	3.1000
1777	А	0.94	Industrial, light	8.6	1.869	54.6	431.3	0.0216	0.4097
1778	А	10.61	Industrial, light	96.9	21.003	613.9	4846.9	0.2423	4.6046
1779	А	1.75	Industrial, light	16.0	3.468	101.4	800.2	0.0400	0.7602
1780	А	2.28	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.2	0.011	0.3	1.7	0.0000	0.0000
1781	А	0.31	Undeveloped/Natural Areas	0.0	0.002	0.0	0.2	0.0000	0.0000
1782	В	0.10	Commercial, low intensity	0.9	0.136	5.9	43.8	0.0137	0.0716
1783	A	0.10	Commercial, low intensity	0.9	0.131	5.7	42.2	0.0132	0.0690
1784	A	2.27	Highway	28.2	3.789	89.6	642.4	5.5111	2.1700
Raw Pollutant Load Total (lb/yr) =			226.4	46.0	1320.0	10239.7	6.1	11.5	

Water Quality Treatment Summary								
Best Management Practice	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn		
1 % Education Credit Removal (lb/yr)	2.3	0.460	13.2	102.4	0.0608	0.1146		
Streetsweeping Removal (lb/yr)	0.0	0.000	0	0	0	0		
No Structural Treatment (%)	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

Overall Summary									
	TN	ТР	BOD₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn			
Totals	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)			
Raw Pollutant Load	226.4	46.012	1320.0	10239.7	6.0767	11.4626			
BMP Pollutant Load Reduction	2.3	0.460	13.2	102.4	0.0608	0.1146			
Estimated Pollutant Load to Water Body	224.1	45.6	1306.8	10137.3	6.0	11.3			

Section E:

Major Outfall Drainage Maps

















































































































P:\Projects\1-1400-1499\1-1464-029\4_figures and drawings\Model_v4.mxd





P:\Projects\1-1400-1499\1-1464-029\4_figures and drawings\Model_v4.mxd













P:\Projects\1-1400-1499\1-1464-029\4_figures and drawings\Model_v4.mxd





































Section F:

Total Annual Pollutant Load Estimates

Lee County Total Estimated Pollutant Loading to Water Bodies								
	State	Country	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn
Outfall ID	Road	County	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)
Lee1	SR 41	LEE	224.2	30.4	1047.2	7699.1	26.7	17.7
Lee2	SR 41	LEE	84.9	12.1	343.7	2483.4	11.7	6.2
Lee4	SR 80	LEE	52.6	3.4	120.9	130.2	6.3	0.4
OF12060-3535-02	SR 78	LEE	100.6	6.6	255.8	537.9	5.5	1.3
OF12010-1957361-01	SR 41	LEE	416.7	31.6	1698.1	1859.5	16.3	3.5
OF12020-1956101-11	SR 80	LEE	102.6	6.6	242.9	262.5	12.8	0.9
OF12060-3535-03	SR 78	LEE	85.0	5.5	222.8	234.0	8.6	0.7
OF12060-3533-03	SR 78	LEE	238.6	16.9	797.3	1770.0	8.8	3.5
LE303	SR 31	LEE	55.8	7.5	313.9	2327.3	0.7	3.7
OF289	SR 867	LEE	189.8	29.0	998.9	6525.4	2.2	10.7
FM001	SR 80	LEE	202.8	15.7	644.3	1362.5	6.0	2.5
OF295	SR 41	LEE	125.4	17.8	631.7	4664.1	12.1	9.9
FM059	SR 41	LEE	179.5	25.7	954.4	7057.0	14.5	14.1
FM073	SR 80	LEE	487.2	72.4	2949.4	21497.8	13.3	36.5
FM078	SR 80	LEE	43.3	6.6	339.0	1265.7	0.9	2.1
OFS206	SR 80	LEE	306.8	46.1	1621.7	10719.6	5.6	18.0
OF12020-3530-02	SR 80	LEE	691.4	47.0	1546.0	3340.2	59.3	9.9
OF12040-3515-02	SR 867	LEE	305.8	20.5	787.2	1671.2	20.5	4.2
OF12040-3515-03	SR 867	LEE	111.7	7.4	257.4	539.7	8.7	1.5
OF12040-3514-01	SR 867	LEE	183.1	12.5	428.4	894.4	13.5	2.4
OF12004-3505-03	SR 865	LEE	116.9	7.6	280.8	611.4	9.6	1.7
OF12004-3505-04	SR 865	LEE	134.0	9.3	360.6	788.6	8.8	1.9
Lee County Totals:			4438.9	438.2	16842.5	78241.3	272.6	153.3

Wet Season Total Pollutant Load (June - Sept., 55%)								
2441.4	241.0	9263.4	43032.7	149.9	84.3			

Dry Season Total Pollutant Load (Oct May, 45%)								
1997.5	197.2	7579.1	35208.6	122.7	69.0			

Polk County Total Estimated Pollutant Loading to Water Bodies								
	State	C	TN	ТР	BOD ₅	TSS	Total Cu	Total Zn
Outfall ID	Road	County	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)	(lb/yr)
OF16320-3408-11	SR 400	POLK	226.7	18.4	645.3	682.7	18.7	1.6
FDOT-37-50	SR 37	POLK	430.8	78.1	2788.7	10054.5	17.7	15.6
FDOT-540-70	SR 540	POLK	126.3	19.1	687.4	2497.4	17.7	5.5
FDOT-540-60	SR 540	POLK	338.0	49.2	1503.7	9789.7	23.9	20.4
FDOT-37-20	SR 37	POLK	106.5	15.8	615.0	4340.6	5.4	7.9
FDOT-37-15	SR 37	POLK	350.0	55.3	1467.5	8426.7	11.3	15.2
FDOT-37-10	SR 37	POLK	201.0	29.6	1129.9	8222.7	10.4	15.1
FDOT-37-65	SR 37	POLK	300.0	46.5	1461.2	8997.6	3.2	14.8
FDOT-35-170	SR 35	POLK	176.5	27.5	903.7	6258.2	8.4	11.3
FDOT-563-15	SR 563	POLK	191.3	38.1	881.5	5735.6	3.0	7.6
FDOT-563-25	SR 563	POLK	135.3	18.2	550.5	4001.2	18.6	10.2
FDOT-600-10	SR 92	POLK	29.0	6.3	219.7	867.1	0.1	0.8
FDOT-544-90	SR 544	POLK	163.3	23.6	892.3	6606.1	11.8	12.8
FDOT-600-275	SR 92	POLK	322.7	51.6	1473.6	9933.2	18.7	17.3
EDOT-60-25	SR 60	POLK	112.2	16.6	473.6	2969.9	7.8	63
FDOT-546-30	SR 92	POLK	656.1	99.2	3345.2	22318.3	22.5	39.7
FDOT-546-75	SR 92	POLK	214.3	31.9	1282.3	9524.7	9.2	16.9
FDOT-600-30	SR 92	POLK	191.8	27.9	809.1	59973	26.5	14.1
FDOT-600-210	SR 92	POLK	42.2	79	208.0	1560.7	3.0	23
EDOT-655-10	SR 655	POLK	252.2	7.5	208.0	10541.5	1.0	10.0
FDOT-555-25	SP 17	POLK	96.4	12.0	420.4	2018.0	1.9	5.5
EDOT-35-65	SP 17		56.0	77	278 1	2918.0	4.4 7.0	2.5
EDOT-555-30	SP 17		120.0	10.6	764.9	5466.9	2.5	0.2
FDOT-35-100		POLK	387.1	63.6	/104.8	8089.5	6.8	11.3
EDOT-37-60	SR 30		/12 1	62.2	2110.1	12572.2	0.8	22.2
EDOT-60-130	SR 60	POLK	413.1	6.5	196.7	664.8	4.7	1.0
FDOT-555-35	SP 17		49.2 82.4	10.5	22/10	2360.6	9.0 12.1	6.5
	SP 17	POLK	105 1	6.7	2/1.2	E 28 6	65	1.2
FD0T-555-55	SP 17		251.2	20.1	241.5 1551 /	11202.2	0.5	1.5
05197	SP 17	POLK	271 5	55.1	215/1.4	1503.2	3.4	24.6
EDOT-555-85	SR 17	POLK	2/1.0	51.3	2134.2	16502.3	4. <i>3</i>	24.0
EDOT 542.05		POLK	240.1	27.7	1699.0	10392.3 5797.6	J.2 4 1	27.1
FD0T-540-65	SR 542	POLK	249.1	37.7	1/65.6	5787.0	4.1	9.5
FDOT-60-45	SP 60		233.2	34.5	5625.0	5752.8	24.4	2 0.0
EDOT 60.25	SR 60	POLK	00 7	12.0	2247.2	2271.0	23.1 6 9	2.5
FD01-00-33		POLK	00.7 84 7	14.0	501 5	2271.0	0.0	2.2
FD01-000-280	SR 92	POLK	04.7 102.2	14.9	129.0	2207.4	4.5	3.5
FD01-000-233	SR 52		96.7	14.0	436.0	3200.4	14.0	8.0 6.5
		POLK	142.2	12.0	762.0	E220.6	10.2	0.3
	SR 92	POLK	145.5	25.1	705.9 2480.6	7079.4	4.9	0.7
		POLK	402.1	79.7	2460.0	11004.8	25.4	12.5
		POLK	504.0	01.4 100.4	3276.5	11094.8	45.9	21.9
	SK 17	POLK	751 4	112.0	3595.0	23900.5	0.1 42.2	39.3
	SR 239	POLK	751.4	112.8	1222.0	12025.2	42.2	ZZ./
	3K 54U	POLK	235.5	40.4	1232.8	4098.8	18.4	7.4
		POLK	720.0	1174		443.4	0.1	0.7
	SK 544	POLK	153.0	117.4	3/04.1	20291.8	37.0	40.0
	SK 503	POLK	152.8	4.2		3110.5	9.8	7.0
	SK 563	POLK	03.2	4.3	149.6	325./	5.2	0.9
FD01-60-30	SK 60	POLK	/86.2	133.1	6686.2	21112.4	36.4	31.4
Polk County Total Estimated Pollutant Loading to Water Bodies								
---	---------------	--------	---------------	---------------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------	---------------------
Outfall ID	State Road	County	TN (lb/yr)	TP (lb/yr)	BOD₅ (lb/yr)	TSS (lb/yr)	Total Cu (lb/yr)	Total Zn (lb/yr)
FDOT-659-15	SR 659	POLK	707.4	115.4	3348.3	20404.7	6.8	32.2
FDOT-35-105	SR 98	POLK	18.4	2.5	89.4	326.2	3.6	0.9
FDOT-35-155	SR 98	POLK	743.7	63.1	2313.9	5059.5	25.7	8.9
OF16120-3504-03	SR 540	POLK	11.2	1.4	40.3	143.6	2.6	0.5
OF16118-3503-03	SR 540	POLK	119.1	7.9	359.7	376.1	10.2	1.0
OF16300-3511-01	SR 540	POLK	41.9	6.2	262.4	923.2	3.0	1.7
OF16300-3511-03	SR 540	POLK	44.7	3.0	130.3	140.8	4.4	0.4
OF16300-3511-05	SR 540	POLK	23.7	3.5	141.7	481.5	1.6	0.9
OF16320-3409-01	SR 400	POLK	140.3	9.0	336.7	362.2	17.9	1.2
FDOT-35-45	SR 35	POLK	142.3	21.3	742.0	2184.5	6.0	4.0
Polk4	SR 400	POLK	185.9	13.2	495.2	537.7	20.3	1.5
Polk5	SR 539	POLK	224.1	45.6	1306.8	10137.3	6.0	11.3
Polk Cou	nty Totals:		15007.8	2243.5	88174.7	401029.7	738.2	689.8

Wet Season Total Pollutant Load (June - Sept., 55%)						
8254.3	1233.9	48496.1	220566.3	406.0	379.4	

Dry Season Total Pollutant Load (Oct May, 45%)						
6753.5	1009.6	39678.6	180463.4	332.2	310.4	

Section G:

Comparison of Annual Pollutant Load Estimates

Florida Department of Transportation, District One Lee and Polk County NPDES Phase I MS4 Permit (Cycle 3, Year 3)

Section G – Comparison of Annual Pollutant Load Estimates

The NPDES Phase I MS4 Cycle 3 permits for Lee and Polk counties Part V.A.1. Annual Loadings and Event Mean Concentrations require permittees to provide estimates of the annual pollutant load and event mean concentration for six parameters at each major outfall or major watershed within the MS4. The permit states that a table should be included to compare the current estimated annual pollutant loadings with those from the previous two Year 3 annual pollutant loading estimates from FDOT's MS4.

A comparison of the estimates of annual pollutant loads from FDOT's MS4 cannot be provided due to a change in pollutant load calculation methods and lack of historic data. The previous Year 3 annual pollutant loading estimates were developed by the lead permittee (Lee and Polk County) on a watershed basis. For the Cycle 3 permit, FDOT District One developed annual pollutant load estimates for each major outfall. FDOT District One believes this approach is a more accurate and appropriate method for estimating annual pollutant loads from FDOT's MS4. Further, this approach will allow FDOT to better evaluate the effectiveness of its stormwater management program.

The estimated annual pollutant loads reported this year will be used as FDOT District One's baseline for future Year 3 pollutant load comparisons as well as to assist in the evaluation of the effectiveness of District One's stormwater management program.

Parameter	Cycle 3, Year 3 Estimate (lb/yr)	Cycle 4, Year 3 Estimate (lb/yr)
Total Nitrogen	4,438.9	-
Total Phosphorus	438.2	-
BOD	16,842.5	-
TSS	78,241.3	-
Total Cu	272.6	-
Total Zn	153.3	-

Lee County Comparison of Annual Pollutant Loadings

Polk County Comparison of Annual Pollutant Loadings

Parameter	Cycle 3, Year 3 Estimate (lb/yr)	Cycle 4, Year 3 Estimate (lb/yr)
Total Nitrogen	15,007.8	-
Total Phosphorus	2,243.5	-
BOD	88,174.7	-
TSS	401,029.7	-
Total Cu	738.2	-
Total Zn	689.8	-



APPENDIX C

NPDES Fiscal Analysis (Permit Section IV.A and B)

Fiscal Analysis (Permit Section IV.A and B)

Item	Documentation/Record	Totals
Total expenditures for the NPDES		\$1,822,180.00
stormwater management program		
for the current reporting year	FDOT Work Program	
Total budget for the NPDES		\$1,739,921.00*
stormwater management program		
for the subsequent reporting year		

* Please note that FDOT's expenditures are restricted to legislative approval. Funding for the Department's NPDES stormwater management program are anticipated to be slightly less from the previous year. However, FDOT believes this will not have any negative impact on the continued successful implementation of the Department's stormwater management program.